BROOKINGS

Helping students to and through college: What does the evidence say?

Sarah Reber

Center for Economic Security and Opportunity (CESO)

December 10, 2024

Motivation

- Completing a college degree is the most reliable pathway to upward mobility and economic stability
- Access to college is unequal
 - Gaps by socioeconomic status are large
- Many struggle to complete college
- Students who complete some college but don't get a degree have poor financial outcomes
- How can we better support students to enroll in college and complete their degrees?

This report

- What are promising strategies to improve college access and completion?
- Many factors affect whether someone enrolls in college and completes a degree
- Many interventions have been developed and evaluated in the last two + decades
- What lessons can we draw from this evidence?
 - for practice
 - for policy
 - for research

Roadmap

- Types of interventions
 - Advisor and navigator
 - Comprehensive programs
 - Low-touch
- Some lessons
- Directions for future work
- Concluding thoughts

Advisor/Navigator

- Advisor, navigator, counselor, mentor or coach works directly with students one-on-one or in a group
 - Help with college applications
 - Coaching on time management and study skills
 - Academic and career planning
 - Connect students with other resources
 - Wide range of costs reflecting staffing and intensity
- Many modest positive effects
- Advising interventions can help

Comprehensive programs

- Multiple components address a broad range of personal, academic, financial and other needs
- For example, CUNY ASAP participants received
 - academic and career advising, tutoring, "last dollar" financial aid, MetroCard, and more
- ASAP and other comprehensive approaches have substantial effects on completion
 - Across settings and subgroups

"Low-touch" interventions

- Low-cost and scalable approaches to increasing access and completion
 - Information, reminders, nudges
- Information alone typically does little
- Nudges and reminders help for clear, time-limited tasks
- Task-based better than outcome-based goalsetting
- Insights could be incorporated into other programs
- Enthusiasm greatly diminished compared to a decade ago

Institutions should focus on what they can do well and sustain

- ASAP produced impressive results
 - Institutions that have the resources should strongly consider
- Reasons to consider less-than-comprehensive programs
- Comprehensive programs are expensive and difficult to sustain
- Comparing cost-effectiveness is difficult
 - Focus on additional degrees (or credits) per dollar
 - Some less-expensive interventions that have smaller effects could be cost-effective
- Implementing with fidelity in new settings is difficult

Strategies that induce students into more selective institutions are promising

- Students are more likely to graduate if they attend more selective institutions
 - Interventions that encourage this improve completion
- Only possible if high-quality, affordable options are available
- Need to account for additional spending at more selective institutions
- How do institutions respond?
 - Where does the additional financial aid come from?
 - Displacement?

Effectiveness of FAFSA information and support is limited by underlying complexity

- Considerable attention to FAFSA
 - Some interventions have been successful
 - Completing FAFSA not enough
- Students need to know how they will pay for college before it is time to apply, or even earlier
- Look for ways to move aid determination early
 - Could combine with direct admissions
- Pricing transparency only works if the prices are reasonable

More to learn

- What are the best ways to encourage students to use support services?
- What are the barriers to simplification?
- What role could faculty play?
- How do these programs affect long-run outcomes?
- What is the value of credits that don't lead to a credential?

Concluding thoughts

- Structural problems will limit progress
 - Many post-secondary institutions do not have enough resources to serve their students effectively
 - Effectiveness of access programs is limited by the availability of high-quality, affordable options
- Evidence suggests we can achieve incremental improvements – and that is important to do
- More great research is already in the field



Thank you