

Tax-exempt Tender Transactions: A Critical Examination

BROOKINGS

2024 Municipal Finance Conference

July 17-18, 2024

Andrew Kalotay

Kalotay Advisors

Martin Luby

University of Texas at Austin

Background: 5% Non-Call 10 Munis Are Designed for Churning

- Issued at a premium, *par call price* guarantees refunding
 - Savings easily exceed low threshold recommended by the GFOA
 - Can you find one over 10 years old?
- Advance refunding with T/E bonds was disallowed in 2017
 - What to do with *not-yet-callable* bonds to 'save'?
 - Alternative: refund on the call date
- 2018 to 2021: *advance refund with taxable* bonds
 - 'Savings Lost' article in PFJ shows that *billions more would have been saved by waiting until the call date*
- Since 2021: *tender and refund with tax-exempt bonds* (today's topic)
 - Tender prices 2 to 4 points over fair value, refund with 5% NC-10s

The Refunding Decision: Act Now or Wait?

- Outcome depends on future interest rates
 - Lots of possibilities, outstanding and refunding bonds *callable*
- Common municipal finance approach: consider various interest rate scenarios, and then make a subjective speculative decision
 - In an analytical vacuum, *without considering call option values*
- Recommendation: compare savings to *net* loss of option value
 - Straight-forward calculation, common-sense
- **Refunding Efficiency: Savings/Net Loss of Option Value (since 1977)**
 - Should be over 90%

Refunding Efficiency for MWRA 5%'s due 2043, Callable in 2028, Tender Price *109.86*

- Refunded with maturity-matched 5% NC-10 's due 2043, priced at *112.50*
 - Call feature reduces nominal savings
 - Transaction costs disregarded, to demonstrate calculation
 - *Need 0.976 new bond per old bond (109.86/112.50)*
- Step 1: Determine *optionless par rates*, to calculate savings and option-values
 - By stripping call options from new bonds using 30% interest rate volatility
 - Sanity check: ratios to Treasuries; see table on next slide

MWRA Optionless Yields at 30% Volatility

Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, Series 2023 Refunding Bonds Implied Optionless Yields at 30% Volatility

Maturity (years)	5% NC-10 Yield	Implied Optionless Yield	Treasury Yield	Ratio
2	2.50	2.50	4.24	0.59
5	2.39	2.40	3.71	0.66
10	2.53	2.54	3.60	0.71
15	3.20	2.91	N/A	N/A
20	3.51	3.40	3.90	0.87

Refunding Efficiency for MWRA 5% due 2043, Callable in 2028, Tender Price 109.86 (continued)

- Step 2: Savings **2.91%**
 - PV to maturity of both outstanding and replacement bonds 123.77
 - PV savings: $123.77 - (0.976 * 123.77) = 2.91\%$

Related results:

- Fair value of outstanding bond: 106.36
 - Tender premium: **3.50%** (109.86-106.36); not disclosed
 - Savings with optionless refunding bonds: 13.91%; >> GFOA threshold
- Step 3: Net loss of option value **5.11%**
 - Old OV – New OV, or $16.16 - (0.976 \times 11.32) = 5.11\%$
- Step 4: **Refunding Efficiency 57% (2.91/5.11)**

Review of Tender/Refunding Transactions

- Several dozen transactions to date, promotion on-going
 - Data not readily available (three documents needed)
- Investor response poor, acceptance rate under 30%
 - Capital gains taxable
 - Hold to maturity/call accounting of financial institutions inhibits selling
 - Retail investors unsuitable tender candidates
- Refunding efficiencies low, indicating that waiting would be preferable
 - Expected 'Savings Lost' is the tender premium over fair value (2 to 4 points) plus extraordinary transaction costs
 - Transaction is entirely speculative

Corporate Tender/Refunding Experience

- For savings, interest rates must decline below their *level at issuance*
 - Because bonds are issued at par
 - *5% NC-10 munis are refunded even if rates increase*
- Premium over par is tax-deductible to corporations
 - Applicable tax rate over 40%
- 1977: Tenders by Bell System telephone companies
 - For NC-5 bonds issued in 1974, acceptance rate about 80%
 - Decision based on *refunding efficiency*
- 1984: Large-scale tenders by electric utilities
 - For NC-5 and NC-10 bonds issued in 1981, acceptance rate about 80%
 - *Refunding efficiency* presented to corporate prospects

Optionless Bonds Are Preferable to 5% NC-10's

- Expected cost of optionless bonds is lower
 - Proof is not hard

However,

- Infrastructure prefers the churning of callable bonds
 - Underwriters, bond attorneys, municipal advisors
- Issuers chase and tout savings
 - Callable bonds offer an opportunity to save
 - Cost and value of call option routinely ignored

The Road to Municipal Waste Reduction: Financial Literacy

- Competency certification of municipal advisors by the MSRB
- Education of issuers by the GFOA