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The theme.

Major fiscal packages

- 2020: CARES act (March) 2.2 tr. Covid package (December): 0.9 tr
- 2021 American Rescue Plan (March) 1.9 tr

Two views at the time, focused mostly on the labor market.

- Optimists: Phillips curve flat, expectations anchored.
- Pessimists: Given size of package, Phillips curve may steepen, expectations may deanchor.

The outcome: Each view was partly right. There was inflation, but main source not the labor market.

- Action came from the goods market: commodity prices, other price spikes

What we have experienced:

- Headline inflation dominated by price shocks.
- Behind the scene, overheating has led to a sustained increase in wage/price inflation

As price shocks recede, headline inflation will decrease (has decreased)

- Dynamic effects of overheating will become dominant
- Probably require a substantial decrease in v/u. Implications for u?
The approach.

A simple analytical model. (very much in the Tobin-Gordon Brookings tradition)

- Wage equation, reflecting labor market state, expectations, catch up effects
- Price equation, reflecting labor costs and other input shocks
- Short and long run inflation expectations

Estimation of the model on pre-covid sample. Same specification, more generous lag structure.

Conclusions:

- Given state of labor market and price shocks, pre-covid relations held up well
- Little evidence of catch up or deanchoring of expectations

Show the implications, looking at impulse response functions and shock decompositions:

- Strong but short-lived effects of price shock
- Sustained direct and indirect effects of overheating
- Increasing role of the second relative to the first. Worries for the future
The model

The wage equation

\[ w = p^e + \omega^a + \beta x \]

\( \omega^a \) aspiration wage. \( x \): labor market variable

\[ \omega^a = \alpha \omega^a(-1) + (1- \alpha) (w(-1)-p(-1)) + z_w; \]

\( \alpha \) catchup coefficient \( >0: \alpha \) limited catchup

So:

\[ w - w(-1) = (p^e - p(-1)) + \alpha (p(-1) - p^e(-1)) + \beta (x - \alpha x(-1)) + z_w; \]

The price equation

\[ p = w + z_p \]

\( z_p \) price shock: e.g. energy price/wage or food price/wage

or shortage price spike

\[ p - p(-1) = w - w(-1) + (z_p - z_p(-1)) \]

Short run expectation equation

\[ (p^e - p(-1)) = \delta \pi^* + (1- \delta) (p(-1) - p(-2)) \]

\( \pi^* \) long run inflation expectation

\( \delta \) degree of anchoring of short run expectations

Long run expectation equation

\[ \pi^* = \gamma \pi^*(-1) + (1- \gamma) (p(-1) - p(-2)) \]

\( \gamma \) degree of anchoring of long run expectations
Effect of a permanent increase in $zp$. (one time rate of change in $zp$)
Effect of a permanent increase in $x$. 

![Graph showing the effect of a permanent increase in $x$. The graph compares weak and strong feedback over 20 quarters.]
The empirical model.

Estimate the four equations, using quarterly data, allowing for 4 lags of all included variables.

No playing around...

Identification: Wage inflation responds only to lagged variables.

Sample. 1990: 1 to 2019:4 (except for price equation: full sample. Explained later)

Main variables

Price level: CPI (parallel estimation with PCE)
Wage variable. ECI
Expectations: Cleveland Fed measure 1year, 10-year. (parallel estimation with SPF)
Price shocks. CPI energy component, CPI food component.
“Shortage” (from Google trends. Explained later)
Labor market variable. v/u rather than u. Why?
(Productivity growth. 8-quarter moving average)

Homogeneity restriction imposed (but easily accepted by the data), implying no long run trade off.
Wage and Price equations. Regression results, actual and predicted values post 2020:1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>gw</th>
<th>v/u</th>
<th>catch-up</th>
<th>cf1</th>
<th>gpty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lags</td>
<td>-1 to -4</td>
<td>-1 to -4</td>
<td>-1 to -4</td>
<td>-1 to -4</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of coefficients</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td><strong>0.693</strong></td>
<td><strong>-0.024</strong></td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-stat (sum)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-stat (joint)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td><strong>0.994</strong></td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. observations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>gp</th>
<th>gw</th>
<th>grpe</th>
<th>grpf</th>
<th>shortage</th>
<th>gpty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lags</td>
<td>-1 to -4</td>
<td>0 to -4</td>
<td>0 to -4</td>
<td>0 to -4</td>
<td>0 to -4</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of coefficients</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td><strong>0.066</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.126</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.018</strong></td>
<td><strong>-0.143</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-stat (sum)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-stat (joint)</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td><strong>0.026</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.947</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. observations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Commodity prices: Coincidence or aggregate demand?
Short and Long run expectations. Regression results, actual/predicted values post 2020:1

### Short Run

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>cf10</th>
<th>gp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lags</td>
<td>-1 to -4</td>
<td>0 to -4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of coefficients</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td><strong>0.025</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-stat (sum)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-stat (joint)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. observations</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Long Run

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>cf1</th>
<th>cf10</th>
<th>gp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lags</td>
<td>-1 to -4</td>
<td>0 to -4</td>
<td>0 to -4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of coefficients</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td><strong>0.124</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-stat (sum)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-stat (joint)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-squared</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. observations</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Empirical impulse responses of inflation to a 1 sd price shocks

Small second round effects
Empirical impulse responses of inflation to a 1 sd permanent increase in v/u

Effects build up but build up slowly.
The decomposition of price inflation post 2020:1
Model projections under alternative paths for the ratio of vacancies to unemployment

Percent

vu = 1.8
vu = vu* = 1.2
vu = 0.8

Q1 2023 Q3 2023 Q1 2024 Q3 2024 Q1 2025 Q3 2025 Q1 2026 Q3 2026 Q1 2027
Conclusions

No need for a major revision of our understanding of inflation. The traditional wage-price analytical framework still works well.

The episode however has shown the complexity of the shocks, and the relevance of both the labor and the goods market in the determination of inflation.

Price shocks in the goods markets have dominated headline inflation, but with mostly short-lived effects. This is good news, in large part due to the anchoring of expectations and credibility of the Fed.

Overheating in the labor market has played a minor role but an increasing one over time. As price shocks fade, it is likely to be the dominant factor, requiring a slowdown of the economy to return inflation to target.