DISCUSSION OF SHILLER & THOMPSON "WHAT WERE THEY THINKING?" TEN-YEAR RETROSPECTIVE

Adam Guren Boston University

BPEA March 25, 2022

OUTLINE

Survey Evidence in Housing Markets

- Impact of CST (2012)
- ► Comparison to Other Surveys, What We Learn From 10-Year Update
- e Housing Market Dynamics In Last 10 Years
 - ► The Second Boom 2012-2020
 - The COVID Boom 2020-Present

CST (2012): Influential and Ahead of Its Time

In 1988, idea one would ask people their expectations was outlandish.
 Even in 2012, was novel.

- In 1988, idea one would ask people their expectations was outlandish.
 Even in 2012, was novel.
- But now it is standard and widespread. CST is a big reason why.

- In 1988, idea one would ask people their expectations was outlandish.
 Even in 2012, was novel.
- But now it is **standard and widespread**. CST is a big reason why.
- Inspired huge literature (Survey: Kuchler-Piazzesi-Stroebel, 2022)

- In 1988, idea one would ask people their expectations was outlandish.
 Even in 2012, was novel.
- But now it is standard and widespread. CST is a big reason why.
- Inspired huge literature (Survey: Kuchler-Piazzesi-Stroebel, 2022)
 - Key CST predictions borne out.
 - * Underreaction of 1 yr, overreaction of longer-run (Armona et al., 2019).
 - * Social networks (Bailey et al., 2018); expectations matter for behavior.

- In 1988, idea one would ask people their expectations was outlandish.
 Even in 2012, was novel.
- But now it is standard and widespread. CST is a big reason why.
- Inspired huge literature (Survey: Kuchler-Piazzesi-Stroebel, 2022)
 - Key CST predictions borne out.
 - * Underreaction of 1 yr, overreaction of longer-run (Armona et al., 2019).
 - * Social networks (Bailey et al., 2018); expectations matter for behavior.
 - Surveys like theirs proliferate.
 - * High-quality and frequency surveys by NY Fed and Michigan.
 - * Across countries as well, providing more data. See KPS.

- In 1988, idea one would ask people their expectations was outlandish.
 Even in 2012, was novel.
- But now it is standard and widespread. CST is a big reason why.
- Inspired huge literature (Survey: Kuchler-Piazzesi-Stroebel, 2022)
 - Key CST predictions borne out.
 - * Underreaction of 1 yr, overreaction of longer-run (Armona et al., 2019).
 - * Social networks (Bailey et al., 2018); expectations matter for behavior.
 - Surveys like theirs proliferate.
 - * High-quality and frequency surveys by NY Fed and Michigan.
 - * Across countries as well, providing more data. See KPS.
- Motivates literature on non-standard expectations to explain cycle.
 - CST is major data point for models that explain cycle.
 - Vast majority of legitimate explanations of 2000s housing cycle include overoptimistic or out-of-line expectations.

WHAT IS NOVEL ABOUT AUTHORS' SURVEY TODAY?

- Success of CST 2012 in inspiring high-quality house price surveys makes analysis of last 10 years less novel.
 - NY Fed and Michigan in particular use newest methodologies, released at high frequency.
 - But slightly different, especially for long-term expectations.
 - ★ NY Fed: Expected growth between years 2 and 3.
 - * Michigan: Expected average annual growth over 5 years.
 - * Different language, survey design, etc. These details matter.

WHAT IS NOVEL ABOUT AUTHORS' SURVEY TODAY?

- Success of CST 2012 in inspiring high-quality house price surveys makes analysis of last 10 years less novel.
 - NY Fed and Michigan in particular use newest methodologies, released at high frequency.
 - But slightly different, especially for long-term expectations.
 - ★ NY Fed: Expected growth between years 2 and 3.
 - ★ Michigan: Expected average annual growth over 5 years.
 - * Different language, survey design, etc. These details matter.

• What is special about the Case-Shiller-Thompson survey?

Authors: Longest, "home buyers rather than public opinion at large."

WHAT IS NOVEL ABOUT AUTHORS' SURVEY TODAY?

- Success of CST 2012 in inspiring high-quality house price surveys makes analysis of last 10 years less novel.
 - NY Fed and Michigan in particular use newest methodologies, released at high frequency.
 - But slightly different, especially for long-term expectations.
 - ★ NY Fed: Expected growth between years 2 and 3.
 - ★ Michigan: Expected average annual growth over 5 years.
 - ★ Different language, survey design, etc. These details matter.

• What is special about the Case-Shiller-Thompson survey?

- Authors: Longest, "home buyers rather than public opinion at large."
- My view: Only survey that covers the 2000s boom and bust.
 - * This is the Great Depression for housing cycles.

CROSS-CITY AVERAGES IN SHILLER-THOMPSON



COMPARISON WITH NY FED MONTHLY SERIES



COMPARISON WITH MICHIGAN MONTHLY SERIES



HUGE GAINS FROM HARMONIZING DATA

- CST shines in its ability to compare to 2000s boom-bust.
- Given frequency, NY Fed and Michigan are early warning system.
 Need to know what they would have looked like in 2000s cycle.

HUGE GAINS FROM HARMONIZING DATA

- CST shines in its ability to compare to 2000s boom-bust.
- Given frequency, NY Fed and Michigan are early warning system.
 Need to know what they would have looked like in 2000s cycle.
- Encourage Shiller and Thompson to work with NY Fed and Michigan to compare survey designs and questions.
 - Analogy: Like having only data set covering Great Depression, but does not quite line up with modern BLS and BEA data.
 - ★ Huge returns to harmonizing.
 - ► These surveys should be seen as complements not competitors.

What To Make of the 2012-2020 Boom?

• I see this boom not as an second boom to compare to 1997-2006, but instead as the **rebound of a 20-year-long boom-bust-rebound**.

What To Make of the 2012-2020 Boom?

- I see this boom not as an second boom to compare to 1997-2006, but instead as the **rebound of a 20-year-long boom-bust-rebound**.
- Chodorow-Reich, Guren, and McQuade (2022): Areas with largest booms and busts had largest rebounds, long-run price growth.

WHAT TO MAKE OF THE 2012-2020 BOOM?

• Chodorow-Reich, Guren, and McQuade (2022): Areas with largest booms and busts had largest rebounds, long-run price growth.



What To Make of the 2012-2020 Boom?

- I see this boom not as an second boom to compare to 1997-2006, but instead as the **rebound of a 20-year-long boom-bust-rebound**.
- Chodorow-Reich, Guren, and McQuade (2022): Areas with largest booms and busts had largest rebounds, long-run price growth.
 - Long-run price growth driven by *fundamentals* (measured empirically using structural urban framework).
 - Model of cycle driven by overreaction to improvements in fundamentals (drift term of dividends).
 - * Boom: **Diagnostic expectations** lead to over-optimism.
 - * Bust: Beliefs correct, overshooting due to foreclosures.
 - * Rebound: Foreclosures recede, converge to high growth BGP.
 - Why diagnostic as opposed to other non-rational expectations?
 - In part, to match CST fact that long-run expectations do not overshoot in bust and instead converge smoothly from above.
- Facts on 2012-2020 in this paper consistent with this story.

WHAT TO MAKE OF THE COVID BOOM?

- Shiller-Thompson suggest high long-run expectations can be used like yield curve inversion to predict housing bubble.
 - Do not seem out of line now, so not "a bubble in the classic sense."
 - But do hedge themselves, saying still a lot of fear of missing out and aspects of frenzy.

WHAT TO MAKE OF THE COVID BOOM?

- Shiller-Thompson suggest high long-run expectations can be used like yield curve inversion to predict housing bubble.
 - Do not seem out of line now, so not "a bubble in the classic sense."
 - But do hedge themselves, saying still a lot of fear of missing out and aspects of frenzy.
- I agree: It does not look like a bubble like last time, so unlikely to experience a correction like the one we had last time.
 - Their observation about expectations is an important data point, but not the only one.
 - Also lack of rapid credit growth and speculation.
 - ★ Greenwood et al. (2021): Financial crises likely with high asset price growth *and* credit growth.
 - $\star\,$ Less likely to be large foreclosure crisis causing overshooting bust.
- Good reasons why demand is so high (increased taste for space) and supply is constrained (supply chains, labor markets, few sellers).

CREDIT AND SPECULATION



MBA Mortgage Credit Avail Index

Share Mortgages Non-Owner Occ



National Mortgage Database (NMDB) Aggregate Data

• Does not mean there will not be a different kind of correction.

- Does not mean there will not be a different kind of correction.
 - Housing economists should look to same factors that are important for broader macroeconomy.

- Does not mean there will not be a different kind of correction.
 - Housing economists should look to same factors that are important for broader macroeconomy.

(1) When and how will supply respond?

- Supply constrained even in long-run elastic housing markets. When will this change? Are agents accounting for a supply response?
- Builders: Supply chains, labor market tightness.
- When will older homeowners start selling and downsizing?

- Does not mean there will not be a different kind of correction.
 - Housing economists should look to same factors that are important for broader macroeconomy.

When and how will supply respond?

- Supply constrained even in long-run elastic housing markets.
 When will this change? Are agents accounting for a supply response?
- Builders: Supply chains, labor market tightness.
- When will older homeowners start selling and downsizing?

Will preferences reverse or are changes permanent?

- Preferences driving demand; will they reverse?
 - $\star\,$ Taste for space. Work from home. Suburbs vs. downtown. etc.
- Malmendier-Nagel work on lived experience is crucial to think about these questions (and not about COVID!).
 - ★ M-N suggests will have long-lasting impact, but how?
 - * Need to be humble: Hard to forecast preference shocks!