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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Brookings Financial and Digital Inclusion Project (FDIP), launched in summer 2014, examines access to and usage of secure, affordable formal financial services among underserved populations. The objective of FDIP is to provide policymakers, the private sector, representatives of non-governmental organizations, and the general public with information that can help improve financial inclusion in their respective countries and beyond. As part of this aim, the FDIP team produces an annual report and scorecard evaluating commitment to and progress toward financial inclusion across a set of geographically, economically, and diverse countries.

The first annual FDIP report, published in August 2015, considered 21 countries across four “dimensions” of financial inclusion: country commitment, mobile capacity, regulatory environment, and the adoption of traditional and digital financial services. The report’s findings highlighted the importance of developing formal commitments to financial inclusion; engaging both public and private sector stakeholders in a national financial inclusion dialogue; and promoting the availability and adoption of appropriate digital financial services among underserved groups through enabling regulation and innovative design.

The 2016 FDIP Report analyzes key changes in the global financial inclusion landscape over the previous year and broadens its scope by adding five new countries to the study: the Dominican Republic, El Salvador, Egypt, Haiti, and Vietnam. The report’s findings show continuing progress across the global financial inclusion landscape. This assessment is driven in part by the recent launch of comprehensive financial inclusion strategies in several countries and, more broadly, by substantial progress in enhancing the digital financial services ecosystem. In the past year, for example, we have seen significant progress in advancing platform interoperability, as well as in the expansion of nontraditional financial access points, including banking agent outlets and mobile money agent outlets. These and other advancements in the digital financial services landscape have helped underserved populations in emerging economies gain access to formal financial services.

Moving forward, we identify four priority areas where action is needed to advance inclusive finance: 1) an increased focus on establishing (and then achieving) specific, measurable financial inclusion targets; 2) promoting more comprehensive data collection and analysis regarding financial access and usage, particularly among traditionally underserved groups such as women; 3) advancing regulatory efforts designed to facilitate financial inclusion; and 4) enhancing financial capability to promote sustainable financial inclusion. Taken together, progress on these action items would amplify opportunities for underserved populations to participate in the digital economy and leverage formal financial services to improve their well-being.
INTRODUCTION

Review of 2015 Findings

Evaluating progress toward adoption of affordable formal financial services matters because financial inclusion is a key ingredient in promoting household well-being and broader economic development.¹ The first annual FDIP report and scorecard, published in August 2015, addressed fundamental questions regarding ways to advance inclusive finance, including 1) Do country commitments make a difference in progress toward financial inclusion?; 2) To what extent do mobile and other digital technologies advance financial inclusion?; and 3) What legal, policy, and regulatory approaches promote financial inclusion?

To answer these questions, the 2015 FDIP Report examined the inclusion landscape across 21 economically, geographically, and politically diverse countries by examining country-specific legislation and news stories, reviewing multinational datasets, and corresponding with financial inclusion experts in the focus countries and beyond. This research and engagement process enabled the FDIP team to compile a picture of the global financial inclusion landscape, and yielded the following key takeaways:

- Country commitments to advancing financial inclusion matter.

- The movement toward digital financial services will accelerate financial inclusion.

- Geography generally matters less than policy, legal, and regulatory factors, although some regional trends in terms of financial services provision are evident.

- Central banks, ministries of finance, ministries of communications, banks, non-bank financial service providers, and mobile network operators have major roles in achieving greater financial inclusion and should coordinate closely with respect to policy, regulatory, and technological advances.

- Full financial inclusion cannot be achieved without addressing the financial inclusion gender gap and accounting for diverse cultural contexts with respect to financial services.

These recommendations regarding digital financial services and digital financial service mechanisms (e.g., merchant payments and smartphones, respectively) are reflected in the 2016 FDIP metrics. As we note below, this year’s study added several new metrics designed to assess progress toward financial inclusion. We also

Financial inclusion intersects with a number of key Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), adopted in September 2015 as part of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.² These goals “call for action by all countries, poor, rich and middle-income to promote prosperity while protecting the planet.”³ Among the SDGs closely connected to financial inclusion are objectives to: end poverty; achieve gender equality; “promote inclusive and sustainable economic growth, employment, and decent work for all” (a goal that is particularly germane to financial inclusion); and reduce inequality within and among countries.⁴ The FDIP team will monitor efforts to reach the key targets associated with these SDGs as countries implement the 2030 Agenda.
extended our analysis to several new countries in addition to the 21 studied last year. For details on the 2016 FDIP metrics, consult pages 110–119.

**New in 2016: Enhancements to the report and scorecard**

Following publication of the 2015 FDIP Report, our team solicited feedback from a diverse array of financial inclusion experts, including private and public sector representatives and experts at non-government entities. We also participated in and hosted a number of public and private convenings to engage with other financial inclusion experts. For example, a Brookings roundtable on gender disparities in access to and usage of financial services informed our recommendations regarding “Financial Inclusion for Women.” Additionally, we sought engagement with financial inclusion stakeholders by providing a dedicated comments portal regarding our work (FDIPcomments@brookings.edu).

Input from diverse financial inclusion stakeholders improved our efforts to identify additional countries for the 2016 FDIP country sample, augment and enhance the 2016 FDIP Report metrics, capture updates on progress toward greater financial inclusion across our focus countries, develop policy recommendations (e.g., regarding financial capability and the gender gap in access to and usage of formal financial services), and focus on key demographics—specifically, women, migrants, refugees, and youth—that are disproportionately affected by barriers to financial services.

The 2016 FDIP team broadened the 2016 country sample by adding the Dominican Republic, Egypt, El Salvador, Haiti, and Vietnam.

The 2016 FDIP research continues to examine a range of traditional and non-traditional financial services relevant to individuals at the margins of, or outside, the formal financial system. As in our 2015 report, the 2016 study focuses primarily on basic, formal financial services (e.g., payments and savings) since these services typically constitute the entry point and area of greatest immediate need for individuals whose previous engagement with the formal financial sector has been limited. While we do not look extensively at informal financial services, consumer engagement in informal or “semiformal” services such as informal savings clubs is quite common among underserved populations globally: According to Global Findex data, “[a]bout 9 percent of adults—or 17 percent of savers—in developing economies reported having saved [semiformally] in the past 12 months” as of 2014. Thus, formalizing certain financial services could provide a valuable pathway into the formal financial system for many underserved populations.

With respect to the 2016 scorecard, we have retained our approach of assessing access to and usage of financial services through four “dimensions”: country commitment, mobile capacity, regulatory environment, and adoption of traditional and digital financial services. Each dimension, in turn, comprises a set of indicators that capture data relating to that dimension. We have made several enhancements to the indicators within the 2016 scorecard, which are detailed in the Methodology section of the report, located on page 110.
Although the United States is not included among the FDIP countries due to our focus on emerging markets, countries such as the United States that boast robust economies and extensive banking infrastructure are not exempt from the need to advance financial inclusion among underserved populations. For example, the 2014 Global Financial Inclusion (Global Findex) database found that about 54 percent of adults age 15 and older in the United States had a savings account at a financial institution within the previous 12 months, and among adults in the bottom 40 percent of the income spectrum, about 13 percent did not have an account with a formal financial institution. Among the approximately 8 percent of American households without a bank account, using financial services often is quite expensive and burdensome due to minimum balance requirements, high fees (e.g., for cashing checks), and other such impediments to financial access.

In recognition of these impediments to financial inclusion, there has been increasing consideration given to the role of U.S. government entities in promoting an inclusive financial ecosystem with sufficient consumer protection mechanisms. For example, there has been discussion regarding the development of regulations to limit the costs associated with access to credit among low-income populations in the U.S. (including via payday loans and other short-term borrowing options).

Initiatives like the U.S. Financial Diaries study (jointly created by Jonathan Morduch of the Financial Access Initiative at NYU Wagner, Rachel Schneider of The Center for Financial Services Innovation, and Daryl Collins of Bankable Frontier Associates) have helped shed light on consumer behavior and barriers to engagement with formal financial services. The U.S. Financial Diaries study collects detailed data from 235 low- and moderate-income households over the course of a year, and these data can be leveraged to generate insights regarding how to improve services for underserved consumers.

Recently, notable government commitment to promoting financial inclusion was demonstrated in December 2015, when the U.S. Department of the Treasury and the U.S. Agency for International Development hosted a Financial Inclusion Forum to discuss how leaders from the U.S. and foreign governments, financial institutions and other corporations, and nonprofits could accelerate progress toward financial inclusion in the United States and globally. One effort highlighted during the conference was the Financial Empowerment Innovation Fund, in which the U.S. Department of the Treasury will invest in research projects “that are developing, testing, and evaluating new ways public, private, and non-profit entities can assist Americans with making financial decisions and obtaining safe and affordable financial services.”

Efforts emerging from these kinds of investments should help increase financial inclusion among marginalized populations, including Roma communities in areas such as Texas, individuals living in colonias (defined in this context as “a residential area along the Texas-Mexico border that may lack some of the most basic living necessities such as potable water, septic or sewer systems, electricity, paved roads or safe and sanitary housing”), and areas such as Appalachia and the Mississippi Delta.
# KEY FINDINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Country Commitment (%)</th>
<th>Mobile Capacity (%)</th>
<th>Regulatory Environment (%)</th>
<th>Adoption (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The 2016 FDIP Report shows that substantial progress has been made toward advancing financial inclusion in many countries. Kenya retained its position as the highest-ranked country in the study by a 5 percentage point margin. The other top-scoring countries include Colombia (earning 79 percent of the total possible score), and South Africa, Brazil, and Uganda (tied at 78 percent each). Kenya, South Africa, Brazil, and Uganda held their places in the top five-ranked countries between 2015 and 2016, while Colombia moved up five percentage points and therefore joined the top performers. Colombia’s progress was driven in part by the development of new financial inclusion targets and its strong mobile capacity as measured by our updated FDIP mobile capacity metrics.

In general, we found that the right blend of stakeholder buy-in among the public and private sectors and an enabling regulatory environment were crucial for amplifying access to formal financial services. That finding was true for a diverse range of nations. According to the World Bank, of the five countries that ranked at the top of the 2016 scorecard, one is a low-income economy, one is classified as a lower middle income economy, and three are characterized as upper middle income economies. As discussed in more detail below, this diversity demonstrates that while there is no single path to facilitating financial inclusion, engagement in multinational knowledge-sharing networks and investing in digital financial services can help countries develop successful and sustainable approaches to making progress toward inclusive finance.

The biggest improvement in scores between 2015 and 2016 was made by the Philippines, which increased its overall score by eight percentage points. The increase was driven in part by the launch of its national financial inclusion strategy, as well as its strong performance in terms of mobile capacity. For example, the Philippines boasts among the highest rates of smartphone penetration across our country sample. Along with Indonesia, it was the only lower middle income country to receive a top score for its level of smartphone adoption.

While the Philippines held the highest adoption rate of mobile money accounts across FDIP countries in Southeast Asia as of 2014, there remains a significant untapped opportunity for increased takeup of digital financial services. Moving forward, one factor that may promote increased adoption of digital financial services in the Philippines is a recent mobile money interoperability arrangement between PayMaya Philippines (formerly Smart eMoney, Inc.) and Globe Telecom’s GCash service.

The lowest income economy among the countries ranked at the top of the FDIP scorecard was Uganda. Uganda’s high score was driven in part by its strong levels of mobile money adoption (the second-highest among the FDIP countries as of 2014) and the amendment of the 2004 Financial Institutions Act. Among other provisions, the amendment provides a legal basis for the regulation of agent banking and empowers the central bank to establish more than one credit reference bureau. These changes should facilitate greater competition within the financial services ecosystem and drive expansion of affordable financial services among low-income consumers.

While there is no single path to facilitating financial inclusion, engagement in multinational knowledge-sharing networks and investing in digital financial services can help countries develop successful and sustainable approaches to making progress toward inclusive finance.

The other low-income country that demonstrated a particularly strong performance on the FDIP scorecard was Rwanda, which ranked among the top 10 countries overall. Rwanda provides an effective example of how country commitment to advancing financial inclusion and the promotion of digital financial services can lead to a more inclusive financial ecosystem. Rwanda is tied for the highest regulatory environment score among the FDIP countries and earned a strong score of 94 percent on the country commitment dimension. Robust data collection initiatives have documented Rwanda’s progress toward financial inclusion. For example, Rwanda’s 2016 FinScope survey, which assesses access to and usage of financial
services in addition to financial capability, behavior, and trust in financial institutions, found that financial exclusion had dropped by 17 percentage points since 2012. This reduction was caused by a significant increase in the proportion of adults who have or use a product or service from a formal financial institution. Mobile money has contributed to enhanced adoption of formal financial services in Rwanda, which ranks fourth among the FDIP countries in terms of mobile money account ownership.

Among the new countries that were added to the FDIP study in 2016, El Salvador demonstrated a particularly strong performance on the FDIP scorecard. It received the highest regulatory environment, mobile capacity, and country commitment scores among the new FDIP countries. While its adoption dimension score was lower than those for the Dominican Republic and Vietnam, El Salvador has made tremendous progress in advancing financial inclusion, more than doubling the percentage of adults with an account at a financial institution between 2011 and 2014. As in Rwanda, mobile money has contributed to the expansion of financial inclusion in El Salvador: Indeed, El Salvador is among the top 15 mobile money markets in the world when measured by 90-day active accounts as a proportion of the adult population.

Countries that experienced scoring improvements tended to demonstrate advances on more than one indicator. Among the countries featured in both the 2015 and 2016 FDIP reports, scoring changes were generally positive. For a comparison of countries’ scores and rankings between 2015 and 2016, please consult the appendix on page 118. Countries that experienced scoring improvements tended to demonstrate advances on more than one indicator. For example, Peru increased its indicator scores within the country commitment, mobile capacity, and regulatory environment dimensions by launching a national financial inclusion strategy, demonstrating a significant increase in its market penetration of unique subscribers, and implementing an interoperable digital payments platform.

**Country commitment**

Since June 2015, there have been several important developments in regard to country commitment. Among the key advances were the July 2015 launches of national financial inclusion strategies by the Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP) in the Philippines and by the Comisión Multisectorial de Inclusión Financiera in Peru. Both documents move beyond a focus on account adoption to building policy, regulatory, and supervisory strategies to ensure a robust financial ecosystem. Each strategy also includes financial education and consumer protection provisions designed to promote financial inclusion.

In addition, Colombia set new quantitative targets for 2016, which were captured in the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s “2015 Maya Declaration Progress Report.” These goals included objectives relating to the percentage of adults with a financial product (76 percent) and the percentage of active savings accounts (56.6 percent). Colombia’s focus on ensuring proper account usage, beyond simply expanding access, is commendable because it facilitates opportunities for individuals to reap the full benefits of financial services.

In Mexico, the Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores released the seventh edition of its National Report on Financial Inclusion with the cooperation of the Consejo Nacional de Inclusión Financiera, the country’s dedicated national financial inclusion body. The report examines both demand and supply-side data, including adoption of savings and credit products and the prevalence of financial service providers across municipalities. The report also considers financial inclusion issues beyond access to and ownership of services, including consumer protection and financial education efforts. Among other updates, the report identified an increase in the number of financial access points per 10,000 adults, as well as in the percentage of municipalities with at least one financial access point.
Mobile capacity

The top-scoring countries on mobile capacity were fairly evenly distributed across sub-Saharan Africa, Latin America, and Southeast Asia. South Africa, Indonesia, the Philippines, and Colombia all received 94 percent of the total possible score within the mobile capacity dimension, with El Salvador following closely behind at 89 percent. The top five scoring countries comprise lower middle income and upper middle income countries.

Interestingly, the top-scoring countries in terms of mobile money adoption—Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, and Rwanda—are not among the top-scoring countries with respect to mobile capacity. This suggests that the increasingly thriving financial inclusion ecosystems present in Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, and Rwanda can be made even stronger with increased build-outs of mobile capacity.

More generally, as the category implies, the mobile capacity dimension indicates the existence of opportunities for enhanced adoption of mobile money and other digital financial services that leverage mobile infrastructure. To be fully realized, this capacity must be supplemented with an enabling regulatory environment, appropriate product development, and awareness of and trust in products and services that accelerate utilization.

Regulatory environment

One of the most significant trends this year pertained to the regulatory environment dimension. In our 2016 research, we saw the emergence of innovative interoperable digital payment platforms that have significant potential to accelerate financial inclusion. For example, the interoperable payments platform “BIM” launched in Peru in February 2016. This interoperability initiative has been described by the Center for Financial Inclusion at Accion as a “historic collaborative effort between the country’s government, financial institutions, telcos, and other players.” The platform promotes coordination across stakeholders to enable interoperable digital financial services across mobile networks and financial service providers. The collaborative model is designed to first bring new customers into the system and then foster competition across providers to offer products targeted to various market segments. While the platform is too new to fully evaluate its impact on financial inclusion, we view this approach to promoting collaboration and competition within an interoperable framework as an important model for other nations to consider in their efforts to promote inclusive finance.

As noted previously, another important development in terms of interoperability occurred in the Philippines, where a digital payments mobile app of PayMaya Philippines (the digital financial services branch of the Philippine Long Distance Telephone Company, or PLDT, and Smart Communications, Inc.), conducted interoperability trials with Globe Telecom’s mobile money service GCash. By interconnecting these services, customers can send funds to one another without needing to own a credit card, have a bank account, or use the same mobile provider. Moving forward, GCash and PayMaya aim to collaborate on other services beyond domestic transfers, including merchant payments and government-to-person payments.

In August 2015, the Reserve Bank of India gave in-principle approval to nearly a dozen entities to set up payments banks, following the issuance of payments banks guidelines in November 2014. This arrangement is expected to broaden the financial services market and enable greater competition and innovation within the sector by opening up the market to providers that are well-positioned to target underserved customers. While implementation is ongoing, we look forward to following the activities of these entities over the coming year and evaluating the impact of these payments banks on financial inclusion in India.
REACHING MARGINALIZED POPULATIONS

While conducting research for our 2016 report, we were repeatedly struck by the challenges in obtaining financial services facing traditionally marginalized groups, including women, under-resourced migrants, and refugees. While we include available demand-side data pertaining to women in the adoption dimension of the FDIP scorecard, at present there is not yet enough sex-disaggregated data to perform a detailed, country-specific assessment of the financial inclusion landscape for women in each of the 26 countries considered in the 2016 FDIP Report. Data surrounding marginalized migrants and refugees are even more limited. In fact, that lack of data has led us in our conclusion to call for stronger country action on data gathering and analysis.

We firmly believe that the financial access challenges faced by women and other marginalized groups merit particular consideration, and we highlight those challenges below. In future years, we hope to have access to sufficient data to address these aspects of inclusion more fully in our country-specific evaluations.

Financial inclusion for women

Women in many countries face particular challenges in accessing and utilizing formal financial services. The 2014 Global Findex data demonstrated a positive trend regarding the increased adoption of formal financial services among women globally, but the database also revealed that the gap between account ownership of men and women has remained flat over the past few years.42

From 2011 to 2014, for example, the percentage of women in developing economies with formal financial accounts increased by about 13 percentage points.43 In relative terms, these gains were comparable to those among men in developing economies during the same time period; however, in absolute terms, about half of women in developing economies are excluded from the formal financial system.44 Globally, the financial inclusion gender gap remained at seven percentage points between 2011 and 2014,45 and in developing economies the gap was at nine percentage points.46

The FDIP focus countries generally reflect the existence of this global gender gap. Of the 26 focus countries examined within the 2016 FDIP Report, only six (the Dominican Republic, Indonesia, the Philippines, Mexico, South Africa, and Vietnam) exhibited either gender parity or a greater percentage of women than men who reported utilizing formal financial institution accounts, according to the 2014 Global Findex.47

As noted in our 2015 report, there are various legal, regulatory, policy, and cultural barriers that impede women’s participation in the financial ecosystem. Moreover, few countries have tracked sex-disaggregated data and established specific quantitative targets by gender.48 Reasons for this data shortfall include the challenges of collecting consistent data across a diversity of institutions, clearly communicating the incentives for such collection and analysis to financial service providers (particularly given the circular issue in some countries of not having sufficient data to support the argument for gathering more data), and the interpretation of regulatory restrictions related to data privacy and gender discrimination, among other factors.49

Addressing this gender gap would yield benefits not only for women, but also for their families, communities, and beyond. From a provider standpoint, the gender gap presents a market opportunity. Evidence has demonstrated that there is a clear business case for serving women, who tend to save more relative to their total income than men, repay loans at a higher rate, buy more products per capita, and be more loyal to their bank if they are satisfied with the customer service environment, according to the Global Banking Alliance for Women.50
From a microeconomic standpoint, increasing access to financial services among women enables them to invest in themselves, in their families, and in their communities. From a macroeconomic perspective, facilitating broader access to and usage of quality financial services enables opportunities for “sustained inclusive and equitable economic growth, and sustainable development,” as noted in a recent study by the Global Banking Alliance for Women in partnership with Data2X and the Multilateral Investment Fund of the Inter-American Development Bank. 51

Based on our research, we identify six action items for addressing the gender gap in financial inclusion. While many of these action items would benefit financially underserved men as well as women, women are often disproportionately affected by legal, cultural, and educational barriers to formal financial services that can be mitigated by the action items described below.

1. **Promote data collection to identify usage of financial products among women and develop and market products accordingly.**

   Organizations such as the Alliance for Financial Inclusion, Women’s World Banking, the Global Banking Alliance for Women, the Inter-American Development Bank, and the Data2X partnership, among others, have noted the importance of gathering and deploying sex-disaggregated data and setting specific targets related to women’s financial inclusion. Given the specific focus on financial inclusion for women as part of the agenda at the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s Global Policy Forum in September 2016, we anticipate that a number of gender-specific commitments will emerge during the data collection period for the 2017 FDIP Report. 52

   Some FDIP countries have already been engaged in efforts on the data collection front—for example, Chile has been consistently tracking sex-disaggregated data pertaining to its financial system for 14 years. 53 As of February 2016, Rwanda’s central bank was also working toward the development of a framework for bank reporting intended to identify product, channel, and distribution reach by sex. 54 The Central Bank of Nigeria has begun collecting sex-disaggregated data, 55 as has the government of Zambia. 56 In the coming years, it will be important for data collection efforts such as these to be adopted in a much wider range of countries in order to identify market gaps and opportunities with respect to advancing financial services among women.

   A recent development related to data collection at the global scale occurred in May 2016, when the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation announced a USD 80 million initiative to support efforts that fill critical gender data gaps, improve the accuracy of data collection regarding gender issues, equip key stakeholders with more timely and clearer evidence regarding the efficacy of existing interventions to advance gender equality, support civil society in holding leaders accountable for commitments regarding women and girls, and amplify platforms that emphasize gender equality. 57

2. **Develop specific targets, initiatives, and strategies for advancing women’s financial inclusion.**

   There are some encouraging examples of countries working to address the financial inclusion gender gap. For example, a 2015 MasterCard study found that 58 percent of respondents in India who were surveyed reported difficulty accessing credit, savings, or jobs due to their gender. 58 Recognizing the existence of these gender-specific barriers, in 2015, the Committee on Medium-Term Path on Financial Inclusion recommended a number of measures to facilitate access to formal financial services among women and girls in India, including the promotion of deposit schemes for female children
and of government-to-person social cash transfers. As discussed in the 2015 FDIP Report, digitized government-to-person payments can be powerful drivers of financial inclusion by providing a convenient and useful “on-ramp” to other formal financial services among marginalized populations, including women.

In Bangladesh, Bangladesh Bank has directed all banks and non-bank financial institutions to set up a “Women Entrepreneur’s Dedicated Help Desk” in all bank branches, and a directive from Bangladesh Bank indicated that each bank branch should identify at least three potential women entrepreneurs. Between 2014 and 2015, the share of women entrepreneurs (out of total SME entrepreneurs) increased from about 8 percent to 26 percent.

In Zambia, the Bank of Zambia has emphasized the need to develop financial products for women enterprises and to enhance women’s financial inclusion. A 2015 FinScope survey in Zambia found that financial inclusion among women had increased to a greater degree than financial inclusion among men since the previous survey in 2009. However, a gap of about four percentage points remained, and about 43 percent of women were still financially excluded as of 2015. The Bank of Zambia is collaborating with Financial Sector Deepening Zambia to develop initiatives pertaining to digital financial services, credit market monitoring, and research on women’s access to financial services.

3. Identify and cultivate “champions” to raise awareness among government entities and private sector representatives regarding the financial inclusion gender gap and the corresponding market opportunities.

Identifying and building relationships with key influencers in the public and private sectors that are well-positioned to advance efforts to promote women’s financial inclusion is a good investment of time. The willingness of financial inclusion leaders to help build these partnerships is a vital component of ensuring the level of coordination needed to promote women’s financial inclusion. The case of Zambia illustrates the importance of identifying and supporting “champions” to promote women’s financial inclusion. A policy brief from Making Finance Work for Africa, New Faces New Voices, the East African Community, and the German Development Cooperation noted that Dr. Tukiya Kankasa-Mabula, Deputy Governor of the Bank of Zambia, had served as an important advocate for advancing women’s financial inclusion.

4. Promote the development and implementation of digital identity programs.

Beyond data collection, advancing access to digital identification is often crucial for facilitating financial inclusion among women, as women are less likely than men to have the formal identification relevant to account opening processes. Of course, any digital identity program should be developed and implemented with a focus on ensuring adequate privacy for users. In Nigeria, MasterCard and UN Women have partnered on an initiative that aims to provide women with information on the benefits of a formal identification program and to enroll half a million Nigerian women in the program. In Pakistan, the government implemented a biometric ID system to ensure that certain government payments could only be collected by women beneficiaries. Women using the new ID cards “reported having higher status and more bargaining power in their families.”

5. Leverage digital channels to promote convenient access to financial services.

Given that half of the 160 million financially excluded adults who receive government wages or transfers in cash are women, government-to-person transfers and direct wage transfers can help smooth access to financial services among women, particularly when conducted through digital vehicles. There are ample opportunities to digitize financial services—for example, the 2014 Global Findex found that in developing economies, nearly a quarter of adults with a formal financial account paid school fees in cash. Many social transfer programs in Latin America have successfully promoted digital platforms. For example, Brazil’s Bolsa Família program enables recipients
to receive funds through channels such as smart cards or direct deposits into “no-frills” bank accounts.74

6. **Ensure products are convenient for customers, and customers are comfortable accessing them.**

Fostering utility and convenience with respect to financial services is crucial for closing the financial inclusion gender gap. Customizing products to meet women’s preferences and ensuring that banks and other formal financial service providers are open during times and in locations that are convenient for women will promote adoption of formal financial products.75

Nonbank entities should be closely involved in the effort to expand women’s financial inclusion, particularly as these entities are often more convenient for women to access than banks. For example, data compiled by the World Bank and Gallup found that post offices are often more inclusive channels for women to conduct financial transactions at than formal financial institutions.76 The breadth of many post office networks, the familiar setting they often provide, and the availability of cheaper services than at many traditional financial institutions render them particularly attractive options with respect to financial access points. For these reasons, a June 2015 report by UN Women and the Universal Postal Union recommended that postal operators be encouraged to offer basic financial services and conduct systematic outreach toward women.77

**Financial inclusion for refugees and under-resourced migrants**

Under-resourced migrants and refugees often face particularly acute financial challenges, including not only poverty, but also a heightened set of barriers with respect to access to the local financial services infrastructure. Unsurprisingly, this can leave them reliant on informal services that can be costly, unreliable, and insecure.

While some of the steps needed to improve financial access and use among refugees and marginalized migrants parallel those recommended for advancing financial inclusion among women, the issues raised by the cultural differences, language constraints, and legal status of migrant and refugee groups present unique challenges that warrant a targeted examination.78

A 2015 report by the Office of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) based on 2014 data provides insight into the staggering rate of global forced displacement, defined as being “forcibly displaced worldwide as a result of persecution, conflict, generalized violence, or human rights violations.”79 At the time, the increase in forced displacement between 2013 and 2014 comprised the single biggest annual increase ever recorded.80 According to the UNHCR, as of June 2015 one in every 122 individuals was a refugee, internally displaced, or seeking asylum.81

This trend continued through 2015, with record-high numbers of global forced displacement.82 As noted by the UNHCR, an “estimated 12.4 million people were newly displaced due to conflict or persecution in 2015.”83 About 54 percent of all refugees globally in 2015 came from three countries: Syria (4.9 million), Afghanistan (2.7 million), and Somalia (1.1 million).84 Three FDIP countries were among the six top hosts of refugees globally: Turkey (2.5 million), Pakistan (1.6 million), and Ethiopia (736,100).85

According to Article I of the 1951 Refugee Convention, a refugee is “someone who is unable or unwilling to return to their country of origin owing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group, or political opinion.”86 In contrast, the UNHCR defines migrants as individuals who “choose to move not because of a direct threat of persecution or death, but mainly to improve their lives by finding work, or in some cases for education, family reunion, or other reasons.”87

To date, research on the financial access challenges faced by these populations has been limited. Organizations such as the Digital Finance Institute have recognized the problem of limited literature and programming surrounding the intersection of financial inclusion and
refugees and are developing initiatives to contribute to the knowledge base surrounding these groups.88

While there is a wide range of reasons underlying why people become refugees or migrants (including war, natural disasters, climate change, fear of violence, and economic factors), members of these populations can face similar financial access challenges. Many refugees and migrants are particularly prone to being underserved given that they are doubly jeopardized—once because of their limited economic resources, and twice because of their status as outsiders in the places where they transition through or settle. In addition, since women and children comprise the majority of refugees and the internally displaced, gender- and age-based barriers present yet another obstacle.89

Below, we identify three action items with respect to promoting financial inclusion among refugees and marginalized migrants. We recognize that the decision of when and how to implement these items will of necessity be complex and context-specific given the nature of refugee and migrant flows. Nonetheless, we believe these action items reflect important principles to consider when developing pathways toward financial inclusion for these groups.

1. **Ensure that the design and delivery of financial services is conducive to the needs of non-native consumers where possible.**

Beyond the targeted approaches to advancing financial inclusion discussed in the breakout section on women, ensuring the availability of agents who speak a language familiar to the migrants and refugees living in a given community can help provide opportunities for individuals to seek information regarding financial services in an approachable and comfortable setting. In places where branchless banking services are in common use, hiring women as agents can also help female migrants and refugees to feel more comfortable engaging in financial transactions, particularly for those who are accustomed to gender-segregated settings.90

2. **Develop financial policies that consider the needs of young refugees and migrants.**

With respect to advancing financial inclusion among young refugees and migrants, there are a few examples of initiatives to integrate children without guardians into the formal financial sector. For example, in 2014 Bangladesh Bank instituted a new policy enabling children living without guardians to open bank accounts if a nongovernmental organization signed on their behalf.91 While this policy is an important first step toward facilitating access to accounts that enable children to steward their finances more safely and efficiently, such initiatives should of course be coupled with careful oversight and financial capability training to protect consumers.

Programs that currently target underserved youth could be leveraged to serve young refugees and migrants specifically. For example, programs and entities such as Child and Youth Finance International, YouthSave, and UNCDF-YouthStart aim to promote access to and usage of innovative, quality financial services among youth.92

3. **Facilitate inclusive access to digital identity mechanisms.**

More broadly, offering a digital ID scheme to residents that does not preclude individuals from eligibility based on citizenship is one important approach to fostering an inclusive financial system. Biometric technologies and other digital mechanisms can help with this effort, as these tools have demonstrated the capacity to enable governments to capture identifying information more efficiently and accurately. One example of a biometric identity initiative is the Aadhaar program in India, which was discussed in the 2015 FDIP Report. The program is available to all residents in India who satisfy the requisite verification process93 and “relies on direct biometric authentication against the central database rather than an ID card.”94 Although the program does not target migrants specifically, it provides an example of an identification mechanism that is not exclusive to citizens.
CALLS TO ACTION

Based on our research, we identify four priority areas that warrant additional action on the part of the international financial inclusion community: 1) establishing specific, measurable financial inclusion targets; 2) collecting and analyzing data relevant to financial access and usage, particularly among underserved groups; 3) advancing regulatory changes designed to facilitate financial inclusion; and 4) enhancing financial capability among consumers.

Establishing measurable financial inclusion targets

- National financial inclusion authorities should set specific, measurable targets with respect to financial inclusion. In doing so, financial inclusion leaders should be attentive to underserved demographics, including women.

  - Why it matters: Quantifiable goals can drive country commitments and policy changes with respect to financial inclusion. Initiatives such as the 2013 Sasana Accord reflect the international community’s recognition of the value of measurable goals in driving financial inclusion progress.95 As an example, a report by the Global Banking Alliance for Women, Inter-American Development Bank, and Data2X found that “financial inclusion plans that had specific gender targets in addition to their gender strategies were most successful in ensuring that sex-disaggregated data was produced.”96

  - Next steps: Scores across the country commitment dimension of the 2016 FDIP Scorecard demonstrate that while the majority of FDIP countries have established a national financial inclusion strategy, there remains a need to hone in on specific quantifiable goals and to disaggregate those goals by target populations (e.g., women) in order to promote accelerated progress toward financial inclusion. For example, of the top five scoring countries across our FDIP scorecard, about 80 percent have established quantifiable goals relating to financial inclusion, indicating that there is still room for progress in terms of establishing concrete financial inclusion targets—even among countries that have demonstrated significant national-level interest in advancing financial inclusion.

Collecting and analyzing data

- Key financial inclusion stakeholders, including industry players, non-government organizations, international financial institutions, and government entities should coordinate with respect to data-sharing and harmonization.

  - Why it matters: For a number of key issues in financial inclusion (e.g., frequency of account usage with respect to formal—and particularly digital—financial services and trust in financial services), publicly available data are often limited to only a few countries, are not nationally representative, and/or subscribe to varying definitions of financial inclusion that inhibit comparability across countries. The lack of consistent, multinational data constrains the ability of researchers to identify what approaches to advancing financial inclusion are working, and why.
- **Emerging opportunities:** In recognition of the challenge posed by disparate or unavailable data, the new insight2impact (i2i) initiative, established by the FinMark Trust and the Centre for Financial Regulation and Inclusion (Cenfri) in 2015, aims to “drive collaboration to improve the sophistication, accuracy, and consistency of data used in the design of effective programmes, policies, and products.”

- **Next steps:** Policymakers, industry leaders, and other financial inclusion experts should participate in multinational knowledge-sharing networks and initiatives such as the i2i initiative and the AFI Financial Inclusion Data Working Group to explore how best to collect, disaggregate, and harmonize data.

  - Banks and other financial service providers should gather and report supply- and demand-side sex-disaggregated data. Public sector financial inclusion authorities should coordinate with financial service providers to collect and harmonize data in order to identify gaps and market opportunities.
  
  - **Why it matters:** Too few countries collect sex-disaggregated data, and this lack of data constrains the ability of financial inclusion authorities to identify market opportunities and make the business case to providers with respect to targeting women customers.

  - **Next steps:** National financial inclusion authorities should leverage this data to inform the development or revision of countries’ financial inclusion strategies, quantifiable targets, product design, and relevant financial and telecommunications sector policies. Data aggregators such as the International Monetary Fund’s Financial Access Survey, the “global supply-side source of data on access to, and use of, basic consumer financial services by resident households and nonfinancial corporations,” could possibly then incorporate this national sex-disaggregated data into their databases to facilitate comparisons across countries.

  - Telecommunications industry representatives and government entities should collaborate to 1) identify and analyze the cost barriers faced by individuals with respect to mobile phones; and 2) promote access to mobile phones and mobile financial services among women and other underserved groups by participating in international knowledge-sharing networks.

- **Why it matters:** Access to digital financial services has the potential to reduce the gender gap in financial inclusion, but the gender gap regarding access to and use of mobile phones constrains the utility of this channel for promoting women’s financial inclusion. A recent study from the GSMA found that women were 14 percent less likely than men to own a mobile phone, and in some regions the gap was much higher—for example, in South Asia, where women were 38 percent less likely to own a mobile phone. The study noted that cost remains the greatest barrier overall to women owning and using a mobile phone. The study found that among women who had not used a mobile phone in the previous three months (including those who would have had to borrow a phone), the cost of handsets was a particularly significant barrier, in addition to other factors such as security concerns and lack of identification documents.

- **Next steps regarding data:** Organizations such as the GSMA have tracked the effect of mobile sector taxation on the cost of mobile ownership, and organizations such as InterMedia have examined user perceptions of the costs associated with mobile money. However, country-specific information on the total cost of mobile ownership (including handset costs, connection costs, and call, SMS, and data usage costs) do not appear to be publicly available. The existence of comprehensive, global data surrounding these costs would provide greater insight into barriers with respect to mobile phone adoption. This data would also enable researchers to generate recommendations for helping ensure that mobile phones (and by extension, digital financial services) are available to consumers who need them most.
Next steps regarding international collaboration: In February 2016, the GSMA announced the launch of the Connected Women Commitment Initiative. This initiative, which involves mobile operators representing over 75 million mobile internet and mobile money customers, aims to connect millions of women in low- and middle-income countries to these services by 2020. Among FDIP countries, operators in Indonesia, Bangladesh, Rwanda, and Turkey had committed to the initiative as of February 2016. Joining such an initiative could help countries to engage in knowledge-sharing regarding mechanisms for facilitating affordable access to mobile phones and mobile financial services and promote enhanced progress toward an inclusive mobile ecosystem.

Financial service providers should consider how best to leverage technology (either directly or through technology companies) to assess non-financial data that can advance access to credit among consumers who need it within the context of strong consumer protection frameworks.

Why it matters: As noted in the 2015 Omidyar Network report “Big Data, Small Credit,” in many emerging markets consumers face barriers to accessing formal credit services, particularly the absence of information about customers’ creditworthiness. However, the rapid proliferation of digital technology among consumers has yielded an increasingly deep “digital footprint,” including social media activity and mobile phone usage patterns, that can offer financial service providers alternative modes of assessing creditworthiness. Thus, these digital mechanisms can provide customers with opportunities to access formal financial services by yielding information relevant to credit assessments.

Next steps: Governments should ensure that strong financial consumer protection frameworks are coupled with regulatory provisions that enable financial service providers to explore means of leveraging the proliferation of available consumer data to facilitate access to financial services among those who need them.

Advancing an inclusive regulatory environment

Regulators should engage in sustained dialogue with private sector representatives and other financial inclusion stakeholders to develop and refine regulations that promote a level playing field for providers and ensure adequate consumer protection for customers. As noted by the Center for Global Development Financial Regulation Task Force’s 2016 Report, “[a] level playing field in financial services is enabled by regulations ensuring that functionally similar services are treated equally as long as they pose similar risks to the consumers of the service or to the financial system as a whole.”

Why it matters: Technological advancements have amplified opportunities for customers to access financial services through digital channels, but they have also increasingly blurred the traditional distinctions between financial and industry sectors for regulators, particularly with respect to the telecommunications field. New service providers often face regulatory barriers or uncertainties that make it difficult to bring financial services to disenfranchised individuals.

Next steps: Ensuring that private sector voices are represented in dedicated financial inclusion bodies will help facilitate coordination among public and private sector bodies with respect to developing new financial regulations or adapting existing regulations to fit emerging services. Both digital and traditional providers should be permitted to adapt know-your-customer requirements and other combating the financing of terrorism and anti-money laundering mechanisms to reflect the level of risk posed by underserved customers engaging in low-value transactions in order to scale adoption of these services among the target market.
Enhancing financial capability

- **Government representatives should work with financial service providers and non-government financial inclusion experts to improve financial capability among consumers.**

  - **Why it matters:** To move beyond the objective of advancing access to financial services to facilitating effective usage of those services, consumers must understand what services are available, how those services will be helpful to them in their daily lives, and how to effectively leverage the given products or services. Consumers who fully understand the scope and impact of their financial options possess a greater ability to confidently access and effectively deploy formal financial services.

  - **Emerging opportunity:** While traditional, classroom-based financial education initiatives to promote financial literacy can provide individuals with a foundation to make healthy financial decisions, an increasing emphasis on translating financial knowledge into corresponding behavior has emerged, particularly given mixed evidence on the effectiveness of traditional financial literacy programs. This is why financial capability, defined by the Center for Financial Inclusion at Accion as “the combination of knowledge, skills, attitudes, and behaviors a person needs to make sound financial decisions that support well-being,” has “emerged as a strategic policy objective that complements the financial inclusion and financial consumer protection agendas.”

  - **Next steps:** Government leaders, non-government entities, and financial service providers should work together to implement policies that recognize the importance of financial capability through 1) targeted data collection and 2) capacity-building programs. Entities such as the World Bank have made important advances in gathering data on financial behavior and attitudes. Developing a framework at the national level for evaluating these topics would enable governments to collect and analyze financial capability data consistently. Moreover, public and private sector stakeholders should work together to develop and evaluate financial capability interventions. Programs that use innovative modes of information delivery (e.g., entertainment), provide helpful reminders, leverage social networks (e.g., family members), and introduce interventions at “teachable moments” (e.g., career transitions) have been shown to promote consumer education and skills that are conducive to financial health.
AFGHANISTAN

OVERALL SCORE
54%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 44%
- Mobile capacity: 83%
- Regulatory environment: 72%
- Adoption: 36%

GDP (billion USD)
$20

Adult population (millions)
17

Unique mobile subscribership
44%

Financial account ownership among adults
10%

Financial account ownership among women
4%

Formal commitment milestone
• Committed to the Alliance for Financial Inclusion in 2009

Selected financial inclusion highlights
• Joined the Better Than Cash Alliance in 2013
• Issued Money Service Providers Regulation in 2008 and implemented electronic money institution-related amendments in 2011
• Participated in an electronic money summit in October 2015 and launched a public awareness campaign in February 2016 surrounding mobile financial services

Next steps
• Consider instituting agent banking regulations to increase regulatory clarity and amplify distribution of financial access points
• Develop a national financial inclusion strategy to enhance coordination across relevant stakeholders and identify specific financial inclusion objectives
Afghanistan

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Low levels of confidence in the formal financial sector, limited financial infrastructure, and regulatory capacity constraints have inhibited access to and usage of formal financial services in Afghanistan. The level of account ownership with a formal financial institution or mobile money provider in Afghanistan—about 10 percent of the adult population age 15 and older as of 2014—is the lowest among the FDIP focus countries. However, national-level interest in advancing financial inclusion is evident from Afghanistan’s participation in multinational financial inclusion-oriented networks such as the Better Than Cash Alliance and the Alliance for Financial Inclusion.

Afghanistan’s increasingly robust mobile ecosystem provides an enabling platform for the increased adoption of digital financial services in particular. For example, the country’s levels of unique mobile subscribership and 3G mobile network coverage have continued to grow over the past year, contributing to Afghanistan’s strong mobile capacity score on the 2016 FDIP scorecard, particularly relative to its national income level. Moreover, Afghanistan’s mobile money market offers a diverse array of services that include mobile-based bill payments and merchant payments. Given that smartphone penetration levels in Afghanistan are below 25 percent, and smartphone-based mobile money services may render these services more accessible to users, we anticipate that adoption of mobile money services will increase in Afghanistan as smartphone penetration continues to rise. Moreover, recent awareness-building initiatives that leverage social media, radio, and other channels to increase consumer familiarity with mobile money services should contribute to enhanced adoption of these offerings in the future.

With respect to the regulatory environment for digital financial services, Da Afghanistan Bank (Afghanistan’s central bank) issued Money Service Providers Regulation addressing the operation of electronic money institutions (EMIs) in 2008. Da Afghanistan Bank, with the technical assistance of Financial Access for Investing in the Development of Afghanistan, or FAIDA, developed several amendments to the regulation that were approved for implementation in October 2011. Risk-based know-your-customer procedures are permitted within the Money Service Providers Regulation, with varying minimum and maximum transaction amounts and customer identification requirements associated with low, medium, and high levels of risk. Following implementation of the new regulation, Da Afghanistan Bank issued new EMI licenses to mobile network operators Roshan, Etisalat, and Afghan Wireless Communications Company.

Regarding interoperability, the Money Service Providers Regulation noted that EMIs “must ensure that the mobile money system must use technological and other standards which will permit eventual interconnection and operation of other mobile money systems.” In 2011, the Afghanistan Payments Systems (APS) was established in an effort to create an interoperable retail payments infrastructure and promote financial inclusion. Full implementation of this payments system was ongoing as of March 2016.

Financial inclusion updates

Over the past year, the government of Afghanistan has engaged in several initiatives aiming to promote adoption of digital financial services. In October 2015, representatives of the Ministries of Commerce and Industries, Communication and Information Technology, Finance, Education, Justice, Labor, Social Affairs, Martyrs and the Disabled, as well as the Governor of the Central Bank,
participated in an “Electronic Money Summit” that was sponsored by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). During the summit, President Ghani directed government agencies to deploy electronic payments when feasible. 24

Additionally, in February 2016, USAID’s FAIDA team partnered with the government of Afghanistan, along with mobile network operators, financial institutions, and mobile money service providers to launch a public awareness campaign to promote the use of mobile financial services among consumers, businesses, government entities, and financial institutions. 25

In March 2016, BPC Banking Technologies partnered with Da Afghanistan Bank on an initiative to connect all banks in a unified payment network to facilitate increased transparency and efficiency across transaction flows. 26 As of March 2016, four banks had been integrated into the processing platform used for the initiative, SmartVista, and the platform is expected to facilitate e-wallet and mobile payments in the future. 27

Moving forward
The government of Afghanistan’s commitment to promoting formal (and particularly digital) financial services to advance financial inclusion, as demonstrated by its membership in multinational financial inclusion knowledge-sharing networks and involvement in high-level events focusing on electronic payments, is an important ingredient in advancing opportunities for more secure and accessible financial services among underserved populations.

In terms of next steps, public and private sector financial inclusion authorities should continue to look beyond electronic wage disbursements for those already included in the formal financial sector to expanding access to financial services among Afghanistan’s marginalized communities. The recent partnership between public, private sector, and civil society representatives in Afghanistan to raise awareness of mobile money services among consumers should help advance this objective. 28

With respect to Afghanistan’s regulatory environment, ensuring regulatory clarity and enhancing institutional capacity are important preconditions for promoting inclusive finance. In terms of specific regulatory mechanisms for advancing financial inclusion, instituting agent banking regulations could facilitate a more cogent regulatory environment to promote the entry and expansion of branchless banking access points.

Additionally, drafting a national financial inclusion strategy and establishing “ownership” of the strategy through the designation of a dedicated financial inclusion authority could help accelerate financial inclusion in Afghanistan by clarifying the roles of diverse financial inclusion stakeholders, promoting collaboration among those entities, and identifying specific financial inclusion goals. Including measurable targets related to the adoption of formal financial services and enhanced financial capability in the strategy could advance the acceleration of sustainable financial inclusion. Particular focus should be directed toward underserved groups: For example, setting specific goals related to women’s financial inclusion could help Afghanistan move toward reducing the gap in formal account ownership between men and women, which was about 12 percentage points as of 2014. 29

Finally, initiatives to broaden financial access will not result in sustainable financial inclusion unless consumers have confidence in the sector. Thus, strengthening financial consumer protection provisions and expanding financial education initiatives could help enhance trust in formal financial services among underserved populations in Afghanistan.

See Afghanistan endnotes on page 123
BANGLADESH

OVERALL SCORE 66%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 89%
- Mobile capacity: 83%
- Regulatory environment: 78%
- Adoption: 39%

GDP (billion USD)
$173

Adult population (millions)
106

Unique mobile subscribership
56%

Financial account ownership among adults
31%

Financial account ownership among women
26%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2012

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Joined the Better Than Cash Alliance in June 2015
- Established the Financial Inclusion Department within Bangladesh Bank in July 2015
- Supported efforts to advance financial literacy and capability among women entrepreneurs

Next steps
- Finalize and implement the national financial inclusion strategy
- Promote affordability of mobile phones to advance access to mobile financial services
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Bangladesh’s robust mobile capacity levels have contributed to increasing takeup of mobile financial services (MFS) in the country, which as of 2014 boasted among the highest mobile account ownership levels among the FDIP countries in Asia. In fact, Bangladesh is one of the fastest-growing mobile money markets in the world when measured by the total number of accounts, in part due to the prevalence of mobile phones (as of March 2016, there were about 131 million mobile phone subscribers out of a total population of about 160 million) and fairly strong 3G network coverage levels. Still, in absolute terms adoption of MFS—particularly among women—presents opportunities for further growth, and high rates of over-the-counter transactions (OTC) may limit individuals from reaping the full benefits of MFS.

Further efforts are needed to address the gender gap in account ownership between men and women, which as of 2014 was about nine percentage points with respect to accounts at formal financial institutions or with mobile money providers.

Key players within Bangladesh’s financial inclusion landscape include Bangladesh Bank (Bangladesh’s central bank), the Microcredit Regulatory Authority (the supervisor for the microfinance operations of NGO-MFIs), and the Ministry of Finance. In terms of national-level commitments, Bangladesh Bank is a principal member of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion, and in June 2015, Bangladesh joined the Better Than Cash Alliance.

A major institutional shift in Bangladesh since the publication of the 2015 FDIP Report was the resignation of then-governor of Bangladesh Bank, Atiur Rahman, in March 2016, after hackers allegedly stole more than USD 81 million from Bangladesh Bank by providing transfer orders to the U.S. Federal Reserve Bank in New York, which were directed to the accounts of four men in the Philippines. Fazle Kabir was appointed the new governor of Bangladesh Bank that same month.

Thus far, financial inclusion initiatives in Bangladesh do not appear to have been significantly disrupted by these events. For example, as of spring 2016, the drafting of a national financial inclusion strategy under a committee chaired by the governor of Bangladesh Bank was underway.

In the interim, a number of government strategy documents highlight the importance of financial inclusion. For example, several references to inclusive finance (e.g., ensuring the expansion of post office savings banks and promoting economic growth through mobile channels) are included in the 7th Five Year Plan FY2016-2020 and the Strategic Plan (2015-2019) of Bangladesh Bank.

With respect to the digital financial ecosystem, Bangladesh was an early leader in MFS, and as of April 2016, more than 13 percent of adults had an active MFS account while around 35 percent of adults were registered MFS clients, according to Bangladesh Bank. The agent network in Bangladesh has continued to expand, from fewer than 400,000 agents in May 2014 to 577,588 as of April 2016.

While MFS adoption is growing, barriers remain for many individuals in Bangladesh regarding access to mobile phones. According to a 2015 GSMA report, a few of these barriers include the “affordability of basic mobile and 3G services for all consumers, 3G availability and the quality of service for mobile customers”; the GSMA report noted that these challenges have been exacerbated by significant taxation on mobile services and an uncertain policy environment in the country. As discussed below, the government of Bangladesh has recognized a number of these hurdles and is formulating policies to mitigate some of the existing barriers to mobile access.

In terms of Bangladesh’s regulatory environment, in September 2011 Bangladesh Bank issued MFS guidelines (amendments followed in December 2011). The 2011
regulations stated that only a bank-led MFS model would be permitted. Under the regulations, mobile accounts are linked with a bank and accessible through the customer’s mobile device. These accounts serve as non-checking accounts that are classified separately from standard banking accounts. Approved MFS include activities such as cash-in/cash-out transactions at agent locations, bank branches, ATMs, and mobile operators’ outlets; person-to-business payments; and government-to-person payments. As of November 2015, Bangladesh Bank was drafting mobile financial guidelines that will establish how new providers can enter the market.

On the banking side, agent banking regulations were issued in December 2013 and subsequently amended in June 2014. The regulations permit the collection of cash deposits and withdrawals and include transaction limits by volume and frequency. In terms of consumer protection, there is a dedicated department titled the “Financial Integrity and Customer Services Department” in Bangladesh Bank to address customers’ grievances.

Financial inclusion updates

In July 2015, a dedicated “Financial Inclusion Department” was established within Bangladesh Bank. The aim of the department is to “further consolidate and better coordinate the financial inclusion initiatives in the central bank and of other public and private sector stakeholder [...]”. The department has highlighted a number of operational areas for engagement, including coordinating among the Alliance for Financial Inclusion member countries, conducting regular surveys to assess the scope of financial inclusion, and formulating the national financial inclusion strategy.

To help address gender disparities in terms of women’s economic participation and financial inclusion, the government of Bangladesh has engaged in several initiatives dedicated to supporting women entrepreneurs. Additional efforts to promote financial access and capability among women consumers more generally could further advance inclusive growth, particularly given that while many women are involved with microfinance institutions, gender disparities remain with respect to engagement with digital finance. As of February 2015, about 18 percent of digital finance users in Bangladesh were women, with even fewer holding registered accounts.

One initiative that might promote greater access to financial services among women is ongoing biometric mobile phone SIM registration, which is linked to Bangladesh’s national ID. The initiative could provide better data surrounding mobile phone ownership among women and identify market opportunities for expanding MFS, including through salary payments.

Moving forward

The forthcoming release of Bangladesh’s national financial inclusion strategy and the institution of the Financial Inclusion Department should enhance coordination among key stakeholders with respect to financial inclusion. Implementation of the various activities identified by the Financial Inclusion Department, including data collection initiatives, will hopefully provide a better sense of market gaps and opportunities with respect to digital financial services.

In terms of mitigating the gender gap, hiring and training more women agents could promote adoption of MFS among women, given that less than 3 percent of agents were women as of 2015. Moreover, the forthcoming national financial inclusion strategy should carefully consider the issue of women’s financial empowerment as a key component of the drive toward inclusive finance. Expanding salary disbursements through mobile channels, as some entities such as garment factories have already begun to do, would enhance opportunities for underserved populations such as women to access digital financial services.

The government of Bangladesh and representatives from the mobile industry should consider how to reduce access barriers with respect to MFS. Current efforts to mitigate barriers to mobile phone adoption and usage should help achieve this objective. For example, the government is working toward greater access to smartphones through low-cost payment installments, considering reducing taxes on mobile handsets and accessories, and promoting the local assembly of smartphones to reduce costs.
Finally, while recent efforts by service providers to promote account registration appear to have had some effect, OTC remains by far the most common approach to using MFS. Promoting greater registration of mobile accounts and helping advance account usage through capacity-building among agents could enable consumers to leverage the maximum benefits of MFS.

See Bangladesh endnotes on page 123
ADVANCING EQUITABLE FINANCIAL ECOSYSTEMS

BRAZIL

OVERALL SCORE
78%

DIMENSION SCORES
Country commitment 89%
Mobile capacity 83%
Regulatory environment 83%
Adoption 67%

GDP (billion USD) 1
$2,417

Financial account ownership among adults 4
68%

Financial account ownership among women 5
65%

Unique mobile subscribership 3
69%

Adult population (millions) 2
144

Formal commitment milestone
• Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights
• Launched the National Partnership for Financial Inclusion in November 2011
• Released the third report on financial inclusion in Brazil in 2015
• Held the first Forum on Financial Citizenship in November 2015 to examine key financial inclusion issues facing small businesses and consumers

Next steps
• Finalize and launch the Plan to Strengthen the Financial Citizenship
• Coordinate across stakeholders to implement the Plan to Strengthen the Financial Citizenship in order to enhance the quality component of financial inclusion
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Access to formal financial services in Brazil has increased steadily in recent years, although the current recession and political uncertainty in the country may constrain the acceleration of financial inclusion in the immediate future. According to the Banco Central do Brasil (BCB)’s latest “Relatório de Inclusão Financeira” study, released in fall 2015, about 73 percent of municipalities in Brazil had more than 15 financial access points (including bank branches, service centers, and electronic service stations) per 10,000 adults as of 2014, compared with only 14 percent in 2005. Moreover, the percentage of adults with an account at a formal financial institution reached nearly 85 percent in 2014.

With respect to financial inclusion commitments at the national level, Brazil launched the National Partnership for Financial Inclusion in November 2011. Brazil is also actively involved with the international financial inclusion community. For example, the BCB committed to the Maya Declaration on Financial Inclusion in September 2011.

Moreover, in September 2012, the BCB created the Financial Education Department (Depef), which is responsible for coordinating the National Partnership for Financial Inclusion. The same year, the BCB created the department of Institutional Relations and Citizenship to be “responsible for customer service, financial inclusion and education, and institutional communication.”

An “Action Plan to Strengthen the Institutional Environment” was issued by the BCB National Partnership for Financial Inclusion in May 2012. This action plan highlighted progress toward financial inclusion and identified next steps for advancing financial inclusion, including improving the regulatory environment for microcredit and diversifying financial services to meet the needs of different customer segments.

The regulatory environment pertaining to branchless banking has evolved over time to incorporate a diverse array of providers and services. In particular, banking correspondents, or BCs (known more commonly outside Brazil as banking agents), have driven much of Brazil’s progress toward greater financial inclusion. In 1999, Brazil developed initial legislation pertaining to BCs, and legislation in 2003 established simplified bank accounts to facilitate access to financial services among marginalized populations. The original functions of BCs were quite constrained, primarily limited to bill payment services and distributing social payments. In 2003, Resolutions 3.110/03 and 3.156/03 modified regulations for BCs, enabling nearly any retailer to become a BC and rendering authorization for each BC/bank relationship by the BCB no longer mandatory.

Further, Resolution 3.110 permitted a broader range of financial institutions (e.g., credit cooperatives and microcredit institutions) to hire BCs, which could be any type of commercial entity or financial institution. Under Central Bank Resolution 3954 of 2011, correspondents were permitted to receive and forward deposit account opening applications, complete payment orders, and receive and forward loan and leasing requests and credit card applications, among other services.

In May 2013, a legal framework on payment arrangements—including mobile payments—was created. In October 2013, Brazil passed a law that instituted a “category of electronic payment institutions regulated by the Central Bank” and established the principles of non-exclusion and interoperability. These principles were intended to foster competition and facilitate greater access to financial services.

In November 2013, the BCB introduced additional regulations that broadened the digital financial landscape for nonbank entities, noting that nonbanks could issue e-money as “payment institutions.” Resolutions 4282 and 4283 of November 2013 provided requirements for
companies to become payments institutions that could operate as a card issuer, the owner of a payment scheme, or a card processor. Subsequently, Circular 3680, issued in November 2013, and Circulars 3704 and 3705 (amending Circulars 3681, 3682, and 3683), issued in May 2014, provided additional information regarding capital requirements and interoperability.

Financial inclusion updates
Beyond releasing its third report on the state of financial inclusion in Brazil in fall 2015, the BCB has been active in hosting events pertaining to financial inclusion. For example, in November 2015, the BCB and Sebrae Nacional held the first Forum on Financial Citizenship in Brazil. The discussions centered around four topics: financial inclusion of small businesses, the relationship between citizens and the financial system, financial well-being, and citizenship and financial vulnerability.

Moreover, in December 2015, the BCB hosted a program to enable Alliance on Financial Inclusion members and other guests to engage in knowledge-sharing with respect to financial inclusion. Key topics discussed during the event included agent banking, consumer protection, and financial education.

Moving forward
Given that Brazil has made significant strides toward increasing financial access, conversations surrounding financial inclusion have recently focused upon the usage and quality dimensions of financial inclusion. For example, discussions at the first Forum on Financial Citizenship have informed the forthcoming Plan to Strengthen the Financial Citizenship, expected to be launched later in 2016; the purpose of the plan is to strengthen the quality dimension of financial inclusion in Brazil through efforts from both public and private sector stakeholders.

In the future, as part of its efforts to address the quality dimension of financial inclusion among consumers, government entities and financial service providers should coordinate closely on financial capability initiatives, including for youth, rural residents, and women, in order to enhance sustainable financial inclusion—particularly in the context of increasing household indebtedness.

See Brazil endnotes on page 125
**CHILE**

**OVERALL SCORE**

74%

**DIMENSION SCORES**

- Country commitment: 89%
- Mobile capacity: 72%
- Regulatory environment: 61%
- Adoption: 75%

---

**Formal commitment milestone**

- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2012

**Selected financial inclusion highlights**

- Instituted a national financial inclusion council in 2014
- Ranked in the top-five with respect to formal financial institution account penetration among the FDIP countries as of 2014
- Recognized by Data2X, the Inter-American Development Bank, the Global Banking Alliance for Women, and the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean in 2016 as the only country that has consistently monitored sex-disaggregated data with respect to its financial system over a significant period of time

**Next steps**

- Diversify mobile money ecosystem by expanding the number of providers and offerings
- Leverage findings from national demand- and supply-side studies to identify interventions targeted at enhancing financial inclusion among women and other underserved groups

---

**GDP (billion USD)**: $258

**Adult population (millions)**: 12

**Unique mobile subscribership (%):** 93%

**Financial account ownership among adults (%):** 63%

**Financial account ownership among women (%):** 59%
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

The macroeconomic landscape and extent of financial and digital infrastructure in Chile are among the most developed of the FDIP countries, and Chile features fairly robust rates of formal financial account adoption. Chile boasts one of the highest-income economies among the FDIP focus countries and ranks fourth in terms of the percentage of adults age 15 and older with an account at a formal financial institution or mobile money provider (about 63 percent as of 2014). Chile has demonstrated clear commitment to advancing financial inclusion and strong leadership in terms of addressing the gender gap in engagement with formal financial services, as discussed below. Diversifying its mobile money ecosystem and developing specific electronic money (e-money) regulations could help Chile further expand access to financial services.

The government of Chile has made a number of financial inclusion commitments at the national and international levels. For example, the Ministerio de Desarrollo Social de Chile (Ministry of Social Development) made a number of commitments under the Maya Declaration, including a proposal to offer electronic payments as the default option for most of the benefits delivered by the state. In 2011, the Ministry of Planning created the Financial Inclusion Unit to work with various government entities, including the Ministry of Finance, Superintendency of Banks and Financial Institutions, and Central Bank of Chile, as well as with members of the private sector, to advance Chile's financial inclusion agenda.

Chile's financial inclusion strategy defines financial inclusion as “a process that allows all Chileans, especially the ones that are more excluded, to access quality financial services that are adequate to their needs, providing protection to families and opportunities in order to improve their life conditions.” The strategy highlighted several financial inclusion objectives, including pursuing mobile payment solutions, expanding other electronic payment systems, and increasing financial points of service in order to foster financial inclusion. One example of an initiative advancing these financial inclusion objectives is Banco Estado’s CajaVecina agent banking network, which facilitates money withdrawals, deposits, and transfers for consumers in remote communities of Chile.

In March 2014, Chile’s government announced the establishment of the Consejo Nacional de la Inclusion Financiera (CNIF), which aims to coordinate with public institutions striving toward financial inclusion and greater financial literacy. The CNIF is responsible for designing and implementing initiatives pertaining to Chile’s national financial inclusion strategy, such as advancing the development of financial services to meet the needs of marginalized groups, expanding financial access points, promoting enabling regulation, and fostering financial education initiatives.

Chile has made a concerted effort to collect data regarding underserved populations across diverse government entities. For example, the Superintendencia de Bancos e Instituciones Financieras (SBIF) produces technical studies on indicators of access to and usage of financial services. A study published by the SBIF in November 2013 disaggregated its findings by the type of financial product deployed, as well as by geographical region and income level of consumers.

Another relevant data collective initiative is the “Encuesta Financiera de Hogares,” which is led by the central bank. The survey was initiated in 2007 and aims to collect information to better understand the financial situation of households in Chile and contribute to the design of corresponding policies. The most recent iteration of the survey (as of spring 2016) featured 2014 data. Survey findings include that only 26 percent of households reported having saved over the previous 12 months, and of that percentage, about 65 percent did so...
Thus, there are clearly opportunities to advance formal savings products in Chile.

In addition to disaggregating data by financial products, location, and income, Chile has been recognized by members of the international financial inclusion community for its efforts to collect and analyze sex-disaggregated data. A January 2016 case study by Data2X, the Inter-American Development Bank, the Global Banking Alliance for Women, and the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean noted that Chile is the only country that has consistently monitored sex-disaggregated data regarding its financial system over a significant period of time. The case study found that high-level buy-in surrounding the initiative was crucial, as was cross-departmental collaboration among government agencies. A recent example of Chile’s sex-specific financial data is the “Género en el Sistema Financiero 2014” report, which presents the latest government findings regarding women’s participation in the labor market, as well as access to financial services such as savings and credit products by sex.

With respect to advancing digital financial services, a bill was introduced in 2013 to permit non-financial institutions to issue prepaid cards. Also in 2013, the Ministerio de Desarrollo Social de Chile set a goal to implement an electronic payment system by September 2014 that would target the country’s financially disadvantaged population; the system had been piloted in nine municipalities as of 2014.

Nonetheless, Chile remains a cash-intensive market: A 2015 article noted that cash remains crucial for about 57 percent of the population. However, cashless mechanisms are becoming more prevalent in Chile. While cash transactions increased by 1 percent in 2014, card payments and electronic transactions increased by 3 percent and 14 percent, respectively.

### Financial inclusion updates

Beyond the release of the surveys detailed previously, Chile has engaged in a number of regulatory and institutional financial inclusion efforts. For example, as of May 2016, Chile’s congress was considering a new legal framework granting nonbank entities access to the country’s retail payment system. Additionally, the Technical Secretariat on Financial Inclusion has been created by the Chilean government and is expected to launch in summer 2016. The development of this body should help promote implementation of the country’s national financial inclusion strategy.

### Moving forward

On the regulatory side, the current legislative initiative to promote access of nonbank entities to the retail payments system is expected to facilitate the expansion of mobile money and other digital payments in the future. With respect to data collection and analysis, public and private sector entities in Chile should leverage the findings from national surveys surrounding household and individual financial behavior to enhance the design, marketing, and delivery of financial products for customers. Finally, diversifying mobile money offerings and developing targeted e-money regulations could augment adoption of digital financial services by enhancing regulatory clarity, promoting competition, and fostering innovation with respect to developing a breadth of services to meet consumer needs.

---

See Chile endnotes on page 126
**COLOMBIA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIMENSION SCORES</th>
<th>Country commitment</th>
<th>Mobile capacity</th>
<th>Regulatory environment</th>
<th>Adoption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formal commitment milestone</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GDP (billion USD)¹</th>
<th>Adult population (millions)²</th>
<th>Unique mobile subscribership³</th>
<th>Financial account ownership among adults⁴</th>
<th>Financial account ownership among women⁵</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$378</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Formal commitment milestone**
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2012

**Selected financial inclusion highlights**
- Launched Colombia’s national financial inclusion strategy in March 2014
- Decree 2338 established Colombia’s Intersectoral Financial Inclusion Commission in 2015
- Decree 1491, issued in July 2015, implemented Colombia’s financial inclusion law (Law 1735 of 2014)

**Next steps**
- Monitor progress toward the quantifiable financial inclusion goals detailed in Colombia’s National Development Plan 2014-2018
- Implement the work plan for the creation of the National Financial Education Strategy
Colombia

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Colombia has demonstrated strong national-level commitment to financial inclusion, as reflected in its top-ranked score within the country commitment dimension of the FDIP scorecard. Public sector leaders in Colombia, including the Superintendencia Financiera de Colombia (SFC) and Banca de las Oportunidades (BDO), regularly produce financial inclusion-related data and analysis that have informed Colombia’s national financial inclusion policy and related initiatives. These data demonstrate that Colombia has made significant progress toward expanding financial access. For example, as of 2015 all of Colombia’s municipalities had at least one financial access point in place, and about 75 percent of Colombia’s adult population had at least one financial product. Government leadership in promoting electronic payments in particular has contributed to the fact that about 69 percent of the value of money changing hands in Colombia each month was paid electronically as of 2012. However, given that this represented less than 10 percent of the payments made monthly as of 2012, opportunities for further takeup of digital financial services remain.

The Ministerio de Hacienda y Crédito Público de Colombia serves as the country’s Maya Declaration signatory. The government of Colombia is also a member of the Better Than Cash Alliance. The Ministerio de Hacienda y Crédito Público helps coordinate an array of stakeholders in national financial inclusion discussions, including the Banco de la República (Colombia’s central bank), the Department for Social Prosperity, BDO, and representatives of the private sector. In 2014, the Intersectoral Economic and Financial Education Committee was established under Decree 457, and Colombia launched its national financial inclusion strategy.

Colombia took a significant step toward opening up the digital financial services market in 2014, when its Financial Inclusion Law was approved by the Colombian Congress. Law 1735 instituted a new category of financial institutions (Sociedades Especializadas en Depósitos y Pagos Electrónicos, or SEDPEs) specializing in electronic deposits, payments, and money transfers. The new law enabled SEDPEs to offer electronic deposits covered under the Guarantee Fund for Financial Institutions; however, these entities are not permitted to intermediate funds and are required to hold 100 percent of the funds raised in overnight deposits with a commercial bank or the central bank.

Regarding data collection, the SFC-BDO annual financial inclusion reports, BDO’s quarterly financial inclusion reports, and the SFC-BDO financial inclusion demand study have helped quantify the extent of financial inclusion in Colombia. Analyses of these findings help drive the development of national financial inclusion priorities and activities.

Colombia has established a number of quantifiable financial inclusion targets as part of its national commitment to promoting inclusive finance. For example, Colombia’s national development plan for 2014–2018 established specific goals regarding financial inclusion, including to increase financial inclusion from 71.5 percent to 84 percent in 2018; increase the number of SEDPEs in operation from zero to five; increase the percentage of active savings accounts from 52.9 percent to 65 percent; and reduce the usage of cash from 11.7 to 8.5 percent. Shorter-term targets for 2016 are featured among the Ministerio de Hacienda y Crédito Público de Colombia’s Maya Declaration commitments, including a goal of 76 percent of adults having a financial product by 2016.
Looking to the financial ecosystem, banking correspondents, microfinance institutions, and private sector offerings have helped facilitate financial inclusion among underserved populations. Banking correspondents, which can be natural persons or corporate entities, were authorized through Decree 2233 in July 2006. The number of services these correspondents are able to offer has increased over time: 2009’s Decree 112 permitted correspondents to open savings accounts, while 2012’s Decree 2672 enabled correspondents to provide services to “credit institutions, investment management companies, stock market brokerages, pension fund administrators, trust fund companies, and foreign exchange agents.”

In 2009, External Circular 53 established identification requirements for simplified accounts and placed limits on the value of debit transactions and balances. In 2013, Circular 013 “extended the maximum amount of debit operations of simplified savings accounts from 2 SMMV to 3 SMMV” (approximately USD 628) and permitted authorized clients to have more than one of these accounts. The emergence of these simplified accounts is considered one of the key enabling conditions for financial inclusion in Colombia.

With respect to the mobile ecosystem, as of December 2015 the mobile provider market share was distributed as follows: COMCEL S.A (52 percent), Colombia Telecomunicaciones S.A. E.S.P. (22 percent), Colombia Móvil S.A. E.S.P. (18 percent), Virgin Mobile S.A.S. (4 percent), Almacenes Éxito Inversiones S.A.S. (0.86 percent), and other mobile providers (2 percent). About 96 percent of households in Colombia had at least one mobile phone as of December 2015, and as of September 2015, around 9 percent of the adult population had electronic deposits.

SFC and BDO’s 2015 demand-side study found that about 74 percent of Colombians were aware of the existence of mobile banking products, although only about 14 percent used them. In the case of businesses, the study found that 83 percent of respondents were familiar with mobile financial services, while 13 percent of them actually used these services. According to the SFC, transactions completed through banking correspondents increased from 2.1 to 3.4 percent between 2012 and 2015, and through mobile banking from 1.1 to 3.1 percent during the same period. Thus, while engagement with branchless banking services is increasing, opportunities to amplify usage of these services remain.

**Financial inclusion updates**

Two financial inclusion-oriented bodies provide examples of the government’s drive toward enhancing financial inclusion. In 2015, Decree 2338 established Colombia’s Intersectoral Financial Inclusion Commission, which is responsible for guiding, advising, and providing recommendations regarding the adoption and implementation of initiatives and policies that are aimed at advancing financial inclusion in the country. Moreover, a work plan was created for the development of a National Financial Education Strategy. The work plan was developed under the auspices of the Intersectoral Economic and Financial Education Committee, chaired by the Ministry of Education since 2015.

Regarding regulatory and policy developments, Decree 1491 was issued in July 2015, implementing the financial inclusion law and identifying the regulatory conditions for Colombia’s mobile money market. Decree 1491 contains four components, including details regarding the client registration process for opening an electronic deposit, the use of correspondents, prudential regulation regarding capital requirements and liquidity management requirements, and a “fair access” clause for low-value payment systems. The decree provided further regulation surrounding SEDPEs, including with respect to account opening proceedings (e.g., a national ID number is needed to open the account, but account opening does not necessarily need to occur in person) and cash management (e.g., the maximum amount of money permitted per transaction was increased).
Moving forward

As demonstrated by demand- and supply-side data gathered by government entities, Colombia has made significant progress in expanding access to formal financial services. Further improvements regarding product design and financial capability should help promote usage of these services among underserved populations, particularly with respect to digital financial services. The implementation of the forthcoming national financial education work plan should help advance efforts to amplify usage of formal financial services by enhancing financial knowledge and promoting healthy financial behaviors.

See Colombia endnotes on page 126
DOMINICAN REPUBLIC

OVERALL SCORE
62%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 61%
- Mobile capacity: 78%
- Regulatory environment: 56%
- Adoption: 58%

GDP (billion USD)
$64

Adult population (millions)
7

Unique mobile subscribership
53%

Financial account ownership among adults
54%

Financial account ownership among women
56%

Formal commitment milestone
- Previously a member of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Launched mobile money deployments in 2014
- Passed legislation permitting agent banking in 2014
- Conducted the National Survey of Economic and Financial Education in 2014

Next steps
- Develop a comprehensive electronic money regulatory framework
- Engage with multinational financial inclusion networks to foster knowledge-sharing
Dominican Republic

Overview

According to the World Bank’s Global Financial Inclusion (Global Findex) database, about 54 percent of adults age 15 and older in the Dominican Republic had an account with a formal financial institution as of 2014.¹ In terms of the gender distribution of accounts, about 56 percent of women in the Dominican Republic held accounts with a formal financial institution, compared with about 52 percent of men.² These statistics place the Dominican Republic as the only new 2016 FDIP country in the Latin America & Caribbean (LAC) region in which women either exceeded or equaled men in terms of the percentage of individuals who held accounts with a formal financial institution. Moreover, the Dominican Republic was one of only a handful of the 2016 FDIP focus countries to receive that distinction. While the Dominican Republic has demonstrated significant momentum toward greater financial inclusion in recent years, enhancing regulatory clarity regarding electronic money and establishing explicit national financial inclusion priorities through the institution of a national financial inclusion strategy could help accelerate the emergence and adoption of branchless banking services.

Access and usage

Banking landscape

In terms of banking infrastructure, according to the International Monetary Fund’s 2015 Financial Access Survey, there were about 11 commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults in the Dominican Republic and 33.4 ATMs per 100,000 adults as of 2014.³ As a point of comparison, El Salvador, the country that was most comparable to the Dominican Republic in terms of GDP per capita (in USD) among the Latin American 2016 FDIP focus countries as of 2014,⁴ had similar density figures with respect to both commercial bank branches (12 per 100,000 adults) and ATMs (33 per 100,000 adults).⁵ In contrast, the Dominican Republic’s neighbor, Haiti, had far less prevalent traditional banking infrastructure, with about 3 commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults.⁶

Account penetration rates with respect to banks and other financial institutions exceeded the average among other countries in the LAC region, which was about 51 percent of adults as of 2014.⁷ The 2014 Global Findex data demonstrated considerable growth in account ownership in the Dominican Republic since the previous survey, as the level of adults with an account at a formal financial institution as of 2014 constituted a 16 percentage point increase from the 2011 Global Findex findings.⁸

In particular, the Dominican Republic merits recognition for its efforts to bring more women in the formal financial system. As of 2014, clear progress had been made in terms of account penetration among women, with about a 19 percentage point increase in the percentage of women with an account at a formal financial institution between 2011 and 2014.⁹ One program catering to female customers is being led by Banco BHD León of the Dominican Republic, a member of the Global Banking Alliance for Women, which launched its “Mujer Mujer” initiative in 2015 that offers guidance on products and services surrounding education, health, family well-being, and business.¹⁰

Ownership of debit and credit cards and usage of card-based financial services in the Dominican Republic were considerably below the LAC average as of 2014. The Global Findex found that about 23 percent of adults had a debit card, significantly below the LAC average of 40 percent, and about 11 percent of adults had used a debit card to make payments within the previous year, compared with an average of about 28 percent in the LAC region.¹¹ The percentage of adults who had used a credit card to make payments in 2014 was about half the LAC average, at about 9 percent.¹²
One initiative relating to card-based payments was a partnership between the government of the Dominican Republic and Visa to distribute benefits via a reloadable Visa card. As noted by Visa, “in 2004, the Dominican Administradora de Subsidios Sociales partnered with Visa and four financial institutions to launch the Solidaridad prepaid card to beneficiaries of the Comer es Primero (“Eat First”) subsidy.” The partnership has since been expanded to include nine subsidies, and as of November 2011, nearly USD 850 million had been distributed to about 850,000 beneficiaries via these Visa cards.

In terms of consumer behavior with respect to saving, as of 2014 about 27 percent of adults in the Dominican Republic had saved at a financial institution within the previous year — a significantly higher rate than in the LAC region generally (about 14 percent) but lower than the average for other upper middle income countries (32 percent). Given that about 57 percent of adults in the Dominican Republic saved any money within the previous year as of 2014 (a considerably higher rate than across the LAC region, which was about 41 percent), there remains considerable opportunity to facilitate greater rates of saving with formal financial institutions.

Rates of borrowing at financial institutions (18 percent of adults) within the previous year were lower than rates of formal saving within the past year but higher than the LAC regional average for borrowing at financial institutions (about 11 percent of adults). Overall, about 54 percent of adults borrowed any money within the previous year as of 2014, a considerably higher rate than either the LAC average (33 percent) or across other upper middle income countries (38 percent). The disparity between overall borrowing and borrowing from a financial institution can in part be ascribed to the prevalence of borrowing from family or friends (about 22 percent of adults) or from a private informal lender (about 21 percent of adults).

Mobile ecosystem
As of 2014, there were about 79 mobile subscriptions per 100 people in the Dominican Republic. This figure constitutes the second-lowest penetration level among the new 2016 LAC FDIP countries (only Haiti had fewer subscriptions, at about 65 mobile subscriptions per 100 people).

In 2014, the Dominican Republic was one of six markets that launched mobile money deployments for the first time. According to the GSMA Mobile Money Deployment Tracker, as of April 2016 the Dominican Republic’s mobile money market was limited to two deployments: Orange M-Peso and e-fectivoMóvil, which offered services such as domestic person-to-person transfers and bill payment.

Particularly considering how recently these mobile money services were deployed, mobile money usage in the Dominican Republic is quite robust compared with economically and geographically similar countries. According to the Global Findex, about 2.3 percent of adults in the Dominican Republic used mobile money as of 2014. This rate was both above the LAC average of 1.7 percent and well above the average of upper middle income countries, at 0.7 percent. Mobile money takeup among individuals in the lowest 40 percent of the income spectrum was comparable to the overall average, at about 2.1 percent. However, mobile account penetration as of 2014 was significantly lower among women, at about 0.8 percent. Among adults who received wages, about 1.6 percent received wages via mobile phone as of 2014. Payment of utility bills via mobile phone among those who paid utility bills was lower, at about 0.5 percent.

Country commitment and regulatory environment
In terms of involvement with multinational financial inclusion-oriented organizations, the Superintendencia de Bancos de la República Dominicana joined the Alliance for Financial Inclusion (AFI) as a principal member in March 2013, although as of 2016 it was no longer a member of AFI. While the Dominican Republic does not appear to have published a specific strategy regarding financial inclusion, it does have a national development strategy that identifies increasing access to financial services as a priority.

Further, at the end of 2013, the Banco Central de la República Dominicana, with the support of the Inter-American Development Bank and the U.S. Department of Treasury, asked other public and private
institutions in the country to form a working group in order to develop a National Strategy for Financial Education. As part of this strategy, in 2014 the group conducted the National Survey of Economic and Financial Education, which aimed to assess the financial attitudes, knowledge, and behavior of the Dominican population.34

Moving forward, creating a national financial inclusion strategy could help the Dominican Republic better identify the roles of key stakeholders in promoting financial inclusion, locate any gaps in existing regulation, and facilitate coordination among the public and private sectors. This strategy should leverage the findings of the National Survey of Economic and Financial Education to help target financial inclusion and capability initiatives to underserved populations.

With respect to electronic money (e-money), according to the Banco Central de la República Dominicana, the Regulation of Payment Systems defines e-money as “the monetary value represented by a claim on the issuer, expressed in units of currency, with its corresponding registration and stored in electronic or magnetic media, which allows payment transactions.”35 While the Dominican Republic does not have exclusive and extensive guidelines on e-money,36 the Banco Central de la República Dominicana notes that electronic transfers have increased due to “reform and improvement of the Payment System and Settlement of Securities of the Dominican Republic (SIPARD) promoted by the Central Bank along with the banking sector, within which the implementation of a Real Time Gross Settlement System (RTGS) has played a decisive role.”37 The Regulation of Payment Systems also establishes criteria for the issuing and recharging of both physical and virtual prepaid cards, which can be deployed by underserved populations at agent locations.38

In terms of agent banking, legislation permitting agent banking was passed in 2014, although to date adoption of agent banking services has been limited.39 Regulations permit banks and credit unions to have agents, and a wide array of non-financial institutions may serve as agents (including “mom and pop” stores).40, 41 According to information from la Superintendencia de Bancos, between January and September 2015, the country’s agent banking system transferred DOP 779.3 million (about USD 17 million)42 through its more than 2,600 banking agents.43

With respect to consumer protection, the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “2015 Global Microscope” report noted that recent revisions to financial consumer protection regulations in the Dominican Republic included provisions for disclosure of financial services costs and consumer rights.44 Moreover, the Monetary and Financial Authority instituted a department in the Superintendencia de Bancos called “ProUsuario,” which provides customer service for queries, claims, and complaints related to financial products and services.45

Finally, the Superintendencia de Bancos de la República Dominicana has clearly made an effort to disseminate financial education information to consumers, which should supplement the financial education survey initiative mentioned previously. For example, its website contains a dedicated section on financial education content, including responses to frequently asked questions concerning credit and other relevant topics.46 Additionally, the Banco Central de la República Dominicana’s AulaCentral program aims to enhance financial education through workshops, conferences, and educational tools. For example, the Banco Central de la República Dominicana hosts an annual “Economic and Financial Week” that features involvement from various public and private institutions.47

See Dominican Republic endnotes on page 128
EGYPT

OVERALL SCORE

49%

DIMENSION SCORES

Country commitment 50%
Mobile capacity 61%
Regulatory environment 67%
Adoption 33%

GDP (billion USD)
$301

Adult population (millions)
56

Unique mobile subscribership
60%

Financial account ownership among adults
14%

Financial account ownership among women
9%

Formal commitment milestone

• Joined the Alliance for Financial Inclusion in 2013

Selected financial inclusion highlights

• Agent banking is permitted under the 2003 Banking Law, and banks are permitted to issue electronic money under the 2010 regulations on mobile payments and transfers
• Law 141 of 2014 provided guidance regarding microfinance
• A field survey to identify barriers to financial inclusion was underway as of September 2015

Next steps

• Advance interoperability among mobile money providers
• Develop a national financial inclusion strategy
Egypt

Overview

With about 14 percent of all adults age 15 and older holding an account with a formal financial institution or mobile money provider, according to the World Bank’s 2014 Global Financial Inclusion (Global Findex) database, Egypt’s level of adoption of formal financial services is roughly comparable to other countries in the Middle East. However, this adoption level falls far below the average for other lower middle income countries, which was about 43 percent as of 2014. Ongoing political tensions in Egypt are expected to complicate the country’s financial inclusion landscape for the foreseeable future, although recent efforts, including an initiative to assess the financial services landscape, suggest an increasingly cogent national commitment to bolstering financial inclusion.

Access and usage

Banking landscape

On the supply-side of the financial services landscape, according to the 2015 International Monetary Fund Financial Access Survey, there were about five commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults in Egypt and about 13 ATMs per 100,000 adults in Egypt as of 2014. With respect to demand-side dynamics, the gender gap in Egypt echoes the 9 percentage point gap across developing countries in terms of account ownership. According to the 2014 Global Findex, about 9 percent of women had an account with a bank or other formal financial institution in Egypt, compared with about 18 percent of men. Account penetration among low-income individuals was even lower, with about 5 percent of adults in the bottom 40 percent of the income spectrum holding an account with a formal financial institution as of 2014.

Mobile ecosystem

In 2014, there were about 114 mobile subscriptions per 100 people in Egypt, placing it among the top ten 2016 FDIP countries with respect to mobile penetration. Yet while mobile subscriptions are prevalent, and four mobile money deployments were active in Egypt as of January 2016, adoption of mobile money has been limited to date. About 1.1 percent of all adults in Egypt used mobile money as of 2014, and only 0.6 percent of low-income adults used mobile money. Among those who received wages, use of mobile money to receive wages was about 1.2 percent, while among those who paid utility bills, use of mobile money to pay those bills was negligible. With respect to the quality of mobile money agents, consumers generally appear satisfied with agent performance: A 2015 GSMA report found that trust issues regarding agents were among the least-reported barriers to mobile money in Egypt.
A 2015 report from the GSMA noted that among its focus sample, Egypt was one of “two interesting exceptions to the correlation between wealth and mobile phone ownership”: Like Kenya, Egypt demonstrated “very small gender gaps in mobile ownership relative to [...] income levels.” However, a significantly lower percentage of women used mobile money than men as of 2014—0.1 percent compared with 2.1 percent.

One reason for the disparity may be that some women in Egypt must receive permission to spend money. Also, significantly more women surveyed in Egypt considered ID requirements for owning a mobile phone or using mobile services to be a barrier than did men (44 percent versus 26 percent, respectively). A recent initiative (discussed below) may help advance access to these identification documents for underserved groups such as women.

Country commitment and regulatory environment

While Egypt is not a signatory of the Maya Declaration, the Central Bank of Egypt (CBE) has served as a principal member of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion since 2013. Egypt has not yet published a specific national financial inclusion strategy, but it does have a variety of legislation relating to microfinance, including Law No. 141 (2014), the first law in Egypt to regulate microfinance services.

With respect to digital financial services-related legislation, the 2010 CBE regulations on mobile payments and transfers designated banks as electronic money issuers. An International Finance Corporation (IFC) survey conducted in 2011 noted that the involvement of two regulators in the mobile payments space generated some confusion within the mobile money market. As of 2011, the National Telecom Regulatory Authority initiated “conditional” approval for mobile financial services, including to mobile network operators (although non-banks were required to have a bank partner). The CBE indicated it aimed for interoperability between mobile money providers, but to date interoperability has not been instituted.

In terms of agent banking, while a 2003 Banking Law established that only banks and national post offices could accept deposits and offer financial services beyond loans, banks are permitted to choose entities to be established as agents, and these agents are able to perform a wide variety of activities (including cash-in and cash-out).

The 2011 IFC report noted that since Egyptian citizens have a national ID, and agents can perform know-your-customer (KYC) checks, KYC requirements did not constitute a significant barrier to financial access. More recently, in March 2015 the Egyptian government and MasterCard collaborated on a project to roll out a digital ID program that links individuals’ national IDs to the national mobile money platform. Further details of this program are expected to be forthcoming.

Another recent initiative is the implementation of a national financial inclusion survey intended to inform a national financial inclusion strategy: As of September 2015, the CBE was conducting a field survey to identify barriers to financial inclusion. Moving forward, Egypt’s forthcoming national financial inclusion survey findings should contribute to a better understanding of financial inclusion pathways and barriers. Egypt also has a Financial Literacy National Committee within the CBE that includes regulators, academic institutions, banks, and other financial institutions that conduct financial education awareness and training. The findings of this committee could contribute to a more holistic understanding of the issues raised in the forthcoming national financial inclusion survey results.

See Egypt endnotes on page 129
EL SALVADOR

OVERALL SCORE
68%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 72%
- Mobile capacity: 89%
- Regulatory environment: 83%
- Adoption: 47%

GDP (billion USD)
$25

Adult population (millions)
4

Unique mobile subscribership
81%

Financial account ownership among adults
37%

Financial account ownership among women
32%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2013

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- As of 2015, El Salvador was among the top 15 mobile money markets in the world as measured by the proportion of active accounts relative to the total adult population
- Approved a financial inclusion law in August 2015
- Initiated a survey in September 2015 to assess access to and usage of financial services among underserved populations

Next steps
- Augment digitization of government-to-person and person-to-business transfers
- Implement initiatives to foster financial education among underserved populations and define indicators to measure the progress of financial inclusion
El Salvador

Overview

According to the World Bank’s 2014 Global Financial Inclusion (Global Findex) database, between 2011 and 2014 El Salvador experienced one of the highest rates of growth in terms of adoption of formal financial services of any country in the Latin America & Caribbean (LAC) region.7 Mobile money has contributed to financial inclusion growth, with El Salvador among the top 15 mobile money markets in the world as measured by the proportion of active accounts relative to the total adult population.8 The recent emergence of specific regulations pertaining to financial inclusion, including provisions contained within El Salvador’s 2015 financial inclusion law, should bolster the country’s ongoing efforts to promote access to and usage of formal financial services among underserved populations.9

Access and usage

Banking landscape

According to the 2014 Global Findex, about 35 percent of adults age 15 and older in El Salvador had an account at a bank or other formal financial institution, up from about 14 percent in 2011.10 While El Salvador has undoubtedly made significant strides in increasing access to and usage of formal financial services, room for growth remains. For example, financial institution account penetration among adults in El Salvador was about 16 percentage points below the LAC average as of 2014.11

Moreover, as with the vast majority of FDIP countries, a noticeable gap in terms of account ownership among men and women is present in El Salvador. As of 2014, about 29 percent of women in El Salvador had an account with a formal financial institution; in contrast, men’s account ownership was about 10 percentage points higher, at around 40 percent.12 Adults whose income was within the bottom 40 percent of the income spectrum had even lower levels of account ownership than women, at about 22 percent as of 2014.13 As a point of comparison, low-income individuals in the Dominican Republic, the other 2016 FDIP LAC country closest to El Salvador in terms of GDP per capita (in USD) as of 2014,14 were banked in much greater proportion than in El Salvador: about 42 percent of adults in the bottom 40 percent of the income spectrum in the Dominican Republic had an account with a formal financial institution.15

Use of card-based digital payment instruments in El Salvador was generally lower than in other LAC countries. As of 2014, about 13 percent of adults in El Salvador had used a debit card to make payments within the previous year, significantly below the LAC regional average of about 28 percent.16 Credit card penetration was even lower—7 percent of adults had used a credit card to make payments within the previous year, compared with a LAC regional average of 18 percent.17

In terms of savings behavior, rates of savings at a financial institution within the previous year in El Salvador were comparable to the LAC region, at about 14 percent of adults in El Salvador versus about 13.5 percent of adults across the LAC region.18 The overall percentage of adults in El Salvador who saved any money within the previous year as of 2014 was significantly higher, at about 58 percent—a considerably larger percentage than either the LAC average (41 percent) or the average among lower middle income countries (46 percent).19

With respect to credit, about 17 percent of adults in El Salvador borrowed from a financial institution within the previous year as of 2014, more than quadruple the percentage who reported doing so during the 2011 Global Findex and slightly higher than the 11 percent of adults who reported doing so across the LAC region as of 2014. Interestingly, the percentage of adults who borrowed from a financial institution in El Salvador within the
previous year was about five percentage points higher than those who borrowed from family or friends. On the supply-side, according to the International Monetary Fund (IMF)’s 2015 Financial Access Survey, there were about 12 commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults in El Salvador in 2014, the highest rate among the five new 2016 FDIP countries (the Dominican Republic, Egypt, El Salvador, Haiti, and Vietnam). El Salvador and the Dominican Republic held the highest number of ATMs per 100,000 adults among the five new FDIP countries, with about 33.1 and 33.4 ATMs per 100,000 adults, respectively. However, access to financial services among rural customers remains a concern: The World Bank noted in October 2014 that while “financial access has expanded, most credit and deposit-taking activities still occur in the capital of San Salvador. Often, banks do not open branches elsewhere, because the volume of transactions is too low to be cost effective.” Thus, digital financial services such as mobile money play an important role in providing cost-effective access to finance among underserved groups.

**Mobile ecosystem**
While the IMF’s 2015 Financial Access Survey did not contain information on registered or active mobile money agent outlets in El Salvador, the Global Findex revealed high mobile money usage in the country as of 2014, compared with the average for the LAC region and other lower middle income countries. About 4.6 percent of adults in El Salvador had a mobile money account as of 2014, compared with 1.7 percent in the LAC region and 2.5 percent across lower middle income countries. About 4.1 percent of the poorest 40 percent of adults in El Salvador had a mobile account as of 2014, as did about 4.4 percent of women.

Opportunities for further growth with respect to mobile money in El Salvador include greater digitization of government-to-person and person-to-business transfers—according to the 2014 Global Findex, among individuals who received wages and paid utility bills, the percentages who did so via mobile phone were 1.1 percent and 1.7 percent, respectively. Given that mobile penetration levels in El Salvador are high (about 144 mobile cellular subscriptions per 100 people in 2014), and usage of mobile money is also quite well-developed, digitization of transfers could serve as a cost-saving mechanism for companies and individuals alike.

In terms of mobile money offerings, as of May 2016 there were three active mobile money deployments in El Salvador: MoMo Mobile Money, Tigo Money, and PAGOS724. Having a robust digital remittance offering is particularly important in El Salvador since a 2015 GSMA article noted that as of 2013 “remittance flows from abroad constitute[d] more than 10% of the gross domestic product of most Central American economies, including 18% of El Salvador’s GDP.” The GSMA article also noted that “[s]ince its launch in 2011, Tigo Money has substantially expanded the access footprint with over 2,000 agents across the country. Having achieved over 20% penetration of Tigo’s mobile subscriber base to date, Tigo Money in El Salvador ranks amongst Millicom’s strongest deployments globally.” As of April 2016, MoMo had over 500 agents in the country, while PAGOS724 had over 100 agents.

**Country commitment and regulatory environment**
The Banco Central de Reserva de El Salvador joined the Alliance for Financial Inclusion as a principal member in 2012. Additionally, the Banco Central de Reserva de El Salvador and Superintendencia Del Sistema Financiero de El Salvador made a joint commitment under the Maya Declaration. One of their commitments with respect to digital financial services was to issue regulations related to mobile financial services. Other commitments included developing a strategy to foster financial education among underserved populations and defining indicators to measure the progress of financial inclusion. Updates on these commitments are expected to be provided in future iterations of the Maya Declaration Progress Report.

Gathering and analyzing publicly available demand-side data on financial services (particularly among low-income populations) will help enable the assessment of the forthcoming financial inclusion indicators. El Salvador has made progress on this front: The Banco Central de Reserva de El Salvador initiated
a survey project in September 2015 regarding access to and usage of financial services among underserved populations; the results are expected to be finalized by the third quarter of 2016. This effort should enhance understanding of the preferences of and barriers facing underserved individuals.

In terms of branchless banking initiatives, the World Bank supported the development of 2013 regulations in El Salvador establishing a framework for the use of financial correspondents, defined as “third parties such as grocery stores, pharmacies and gas stations authorized to provide basic financial services for banks.” A 2014 update by the World Bank noted that two banks had since received approval under the new framework. As noted by the Alliance for Financial Inclusion, the regulations were revised in March 2015 to enable the provision of financial services “by both correspondent agents and correspondent managers with the goal of increasing access and usage by low income populations.”

Another positive development in El Salvador’s financial inclusion landscape is the passage of a decree and associated regulations surrounding e-money, e-money providers, and deposits in savings accounts with simplified requirements. In June 2014, a national financial inclusion decree was submitted to the Legislative Assembly for approval, and in August 2015 the Legislative Assembly of El Salvador approved Legislative Decree 72 (the “Ley para Facilitar la Inclusión Financiera”). Additional guidance surrounding e-money has been developed by the Banco Central de Reserva de El Salvador, and e-money providers were expected to begin operating under the new legal framework around June 2016. These efforts by policymakers and regulators are likely to augment adoption of digital financial services in El Salvador moving forward.

See El Salvador endnotes on page 130
ETIOPIA

OVERALL SCORE
53%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 67%
- Mobile capacity: 56%
- Regulatory environment: 72%
- Adoption: 36%

GDP (billion USD) 1
$56

Adult population (millions) 2
55

Unique mobile subscribership 3
32%

Financial account ownership among adults 4
22%

Financial account ownership among women 5
21%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Established several financial inclusion goals in areas such as digital financial services, financial literacy, and payment systems as part of the country’s Maya Declaration commitments
- Initiated the creation of a Financial Inclusion Council in 2014
- Coordinated with the World Bank Group to develop a national financial inclusion strategy

Next steps
- Strengthen mobile and other digital infrastructure to enhance adoption of digital financial services
- Disseminate and implement national financial inclusion strategy
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

As one of the lowest-income FDIP countries, Ethiopia faces economic and infrastructural constraints pertaining to financial inclusion. However, while Ethiopia’s mobile capacity and adoption levels are among the lowest of the FDIP countries—according to the 2014 World Bank Global Financial Inclusion (Global Findex) database, about 22 percent of adults in Ethiopia had an account with a formal financial institution or mobile money provider—the government of Ethiopia has engaged in a number of activities to promote financial inclusion.

For example, in 2011 the National Bank of Ethiopia made commitments under the Maya Declaration. The National Bank of Ethiopia has established several financial inclusion goals in areas such as digital financial services, financial literacy, and payment systems. Moreover, Ethiopia’s Growth and Transformation Plan (GTP) identifies promoting equitable economic growth as one of its goals. The GTP noted that Ethiopia should improve access to finance levels significantly by 2015.

In 2014, the National Bank of Ethiopia established a Financial Inclusion Council (FIC) to advance inclusive finance and centralize the services offered by various different bodies. The FIC comprises five members selected from NGOs, the public sector, and banks.

Regarding the financial regulatory environment, Proclamation 657/2009 provided guidance on the prevention of money laundering and terrorist financing. Ethiopia’s National Payment System No. 718/2011 established rules surrounding the regulation and operation of Ethiopia’s national payment system. In 2013, the government of Ethiopia approved a mobile and agent banking regulatory framework to enable banks and microfinance institutions to offer financial services through mobile phones and agents. The directive established parameters on the geographic and financial channels pertaining to these services, stating that “mobile and agent banking service shall be carried out only within the geographical boundary of Ethiopia and with only Ethiopian Birr.” The directive specified maximum balances (ETB 25,000) and limits on daily mobile banking transactions (ETB 6,000).

Financial inclusion updates

In October 2015, two regional banks announced their entry into Ethiopia’s financial market. Kenya’s KCB Group and South Africa’s Standard Bank, two of the largest banks in their respective countries, were permitted to set up representative offices in Ethiopia by the Ethiopian government. This development should help promote greater competition and service provision within Ethiopia’s financial market.

A November 2015 World Bank article noted that the World Bank Group was actively coordinating with the National Bank of Ethiopia to develop and launch a national financial inclusion strategy, and an April 2016 article indicated that this financial inclusion framework had been finalized. The National Bank of Ethiopia also provides select data surrounding the financial services ecosystem. For example, a quarterly bulletin published in April 2016 highlighted the growth of bank branches. The report noted that “[d]uring the review quarter, a total of 185 new bank branches were opened, raising the total number of bank branches to 2,972. As a result, one branch is serving 31,024 people on average. About 35.4 percent of the total bank branches were located in Addis Ababa.” Thus, considerable opportunity remains to extend financial services into rural communities.

In terms of digital financial services, the M-Birr mobile money service has continued to grow. A January 2016 article indicated that “in 2015, M-Birr has been able to facilitate 273,620 transactions and has served almost 50,000 account holders.” Additionally, a May
2016 article noted that BPC Banking Technologies, a provider of payment solutions, and EthSwitch S.C. had announced the launch of Ethiopia’s national payment system, using SmartVista technology. This system will enable Ethiopia’s 17 retail (i.e., commercial) banks to link to a unified payment system, which will enable account holders to withdraw cash from any ATM in the country. The EthSwitch was formed by Ethiopian Banks with the support of the Ethiopian Bankers’ Association and the National Bank of Ethiopia.24

Moving forward

The entry of regional banks into Ethiopia’s financial ecosystem and the movement to promote interoperability across banks through the national payment system may help improve competition among formal financial service providers, reduce certain access barriers for customers, and enhance the utility of formal financial services for customers.

Establishing clear electronic money guidelines and opening up the digital financial services market to a diverse array of nonbank providers could help increase the proliferation of electronic money offerings. Expanding access points to financial services is important, as the distribution and availability of physical financial service locations is limited and concentrated primarily in urban areas.25

Finally, implementation of the new national financial inclusion strategy and efforts to strengthen mobile capacity, including 3G network coverage and mobile phone penetration, are also needed to help drive greater financial inclusion.

See Ethiopia endnotes on page 131
## Haiti

### Overall Score

**60%**

### Dimension Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country commitment</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile capacity</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory environment</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Indicators

- **GDP (billion USD)**: $9
- **Adult population (millions)**: 7
- **Unique mobile subscribership**: 55%
- **Financial account ownership among adults**: 19%
- **Financial account ownership among women**: 16%

### Formal Commitment Milestone

- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2013

### Selected Financial Inclusion Highlights

- Permitted customers to use electronic wallet accounts under 2010 guidelines on electronic money
- Developed a national financial inclusion strategy with the support of the World Bank in 2015
- Haiti’s central bank is working on the development of a comprehensive consumer protection framework

### Next Steps

- Move forward with implementation of the new financial inclusion strategy
- Launch a national financial inclusion council
Overview

Widespread poverty, exacerbated by a devastating earthquake in 2010, and a history of political instability have complicated Haiti’s financial inclusion landscape. As of 2014, Haiti had the lowest GDP per capita (in USD) of any of the 2016 FDIP countries in the Latin America & Caribbean (LAC) region and was within the bottom six FDIP countries overall in terms of GDP per capita (in USD). A 2015 GSMA report noted that Haiti tied with Nicaragua for the highest rate of “unbanked” adults in the LAC region. However, the recent development of a national financial inclusion strategy should crystallize Haiti’s approach to advancing access to and usage of quality financial services. Moreover, mobile financial services have offered opportunities for facilitating greater engagement with formal financial services in Haiti, which could enable pathways for underserved individuals to leverage their resources more efficiently and safely to improve their families’ welfare.

Access and usage

Banking landscape

Haiti’s traditional banking infrastructure is limited. For example, according to the International Monetary Fund (IMF)’s 2015 Financial Access Survey, as of 2013 there were about 3 commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults in Haiti. As a point of comparison, in the neighboring Dominican Republic, there were about 11 commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults.

On the demand side, according to the World Bank’s Global Financial Inclusion (Global Findex) database, about 17 percent of adults age 15 and older in Haiti had an account with a bank or other financial institution as of 2014. In terms of account ownership disaggregated by gender, there was about a 7 percentage point gap between men and women: 14 percent of women in Haiti had an account with a bank or other formal financial institution in 2014, compared with about 21 percent of men. An even lower percentage of low-income individuals had access to formal financial accounts, with only 13 percent of adults within the bottom 40 percent of the income spectrum holding accounts with a bank or other formal financial institution.

Debit card ownership and usage are significantly lower in Haiti than in other parts of the LAC region. Only about 4 percent of adults in Haiti had a debit card in 2014, compared with an average of about 40 percent in the LAC region. The percentage of adults who used a debit card to make payments within the previous year was even lower, at 1.8 percent (compared with about 28 percent across LAC countries). Use of credit cards to make payments within the previous year was comparable to use of debit cards, at about 1.6 percent. However, according to a representative of Haiti’s central bank, the launch of simplified bank accounts with corresponding debit cards by two of the largest banks in Haiti, Unibank and Sogebank, is expected to promote adoption of card-based transactions in the future, particularly as these cards may be used across a network of agents using biometric mechanisms.

Rates of saving and borrowing with formal financial institutions are also low. About 9 percent of adults in Haiti reported having saved at a financial institution within the previous year as of 2014, below the LAC average of 14 percent and about half of Haiti’s 2011 Global Findex rate. In contrast, about 45 percent of adults reported that they had saved any money within the previous year, indicating a substantial opportunity for greater adoption of formalized savings. About 5 percent of adults had borrowed from a financial institution within the previous year, below the LAC average of 11 percent. Informal methods of borrowing were significantly more prevalent: About 27 percent of adults had borrowed from family and friends, higher than the LAC average of 14 percent.
Mobile ecosystem

With respect to mobile penetration, as of 2014 there were about 65 mobile subscriptions per 100 people in Haiti. This mobile penetration rate was the lowest among the 2016 FDIP LAC countries. However, takeup of mobile money in Haiti as of 2014 was the third-highest among the FDIP LAC countries. About 3.8 percent of all adults in Haiti used mobile money as of 2014, compared with about 2.9 percent of low-income individuals. Haiti’s prevalence of mobile account ownership was fairly strong compared with the average mobile financial account penetration in the LAC region, which was 1.7 percent of adults in 2014.

As noted by the Consultative Group to Assist the Poor, “[i]n Haiti, mobile money has received enormous attention and donor support.” As of January 2016, the GSMA Mobile Money Deployment Tracker identified two mobile money services in Haiti, Lajancash and Mon Cash (formerly Tcho Tcho). Both deployments offered services such as domestic person-to-person transfer, merchant payment, and bill payment, although neither was listed as offering international remittance services.

According to the IMF’s 2015 Financial Access Survey, there were about 15 registered mobile money outlets per 100,000 adults in Haiti as of 2013.

A government initiative concerning government-to-person transfers highlights valuable insights for the digital financial services landscape in Haiti. A 2014 focus note by the Consultative Group to Assist the Poor examined Haiti’s conditional cash transfer program Ti Manman Cheri (TMC), begun in May 2012. Target recipients of the program were mothers of school-age children, and transfers were made via mobile money and cash. As of May 2013, recipients of the transfers could only withdraw and deposit money at TchoTcho mobile agents and specific partners. The study found that the mobile money agent network was not robust enough outside the capital to scale rapidly; agents were inundated with registrants, and at least 15 to 25 percent of payments in each payment cycle had to be rejected due to data errors. Many customers also experienced difficulty remembering their PIN, which contributed to an overload of customer service demands on agents, although a TMC-only call center helped to alleviate some of these challenges. The program demonstrates the value of proactively building out the payments infrastructure for mobile money services and educating consumers about these services.

Country commitment and regulatory environment

Haiti has made strides toward facilitating greater financial inclusion, although implementation of certain enabling initiatives is still underway. The Banque de la République d’Haiti (BRH) serves as the country’s Maya Declaration signatory. Haiti developed a national financial inclusion strategy, the “Stratégie Nationale d’Inclusion Financière,” with the assistance of the World Bank; according to the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “2015 Global Microscope” report, this strategy had not yet been fully implemented. However, the central bank is moving forward with a number of initiatives related to consumer protection, financial literacy, payments systems, remittances, and the regulation and supervision of microfinance institutions and financial cooperatives.

A climate of political transition may delay the formation of a formal financial inclusion council. Instituting such a council should remain a priority for financial inclusion leaders in Haiti, as such a council could facilitate more effective implementation of the national financial inclusion strategy by establishing an accountable entity with a mandate to coordinate across relevant stakeholders.

While specific legislation for agent banking has not been developed, agent banking by a wide array of entities is permitted under the “Lignes Directrices Relatives À La Banque À Distance” guidelines that govern mobile money use. Agent relationships are approved on a case-by-case basis by the BRH.

In terms of electronic money (e-money) regulations, 2010 guidelines on e-money permit customers to use e-wallet accounts or money through their phones. While regulations have facilitated access to these services, parameters on account usage have been identified by some financial inclusion experts as problematic. For example, experts have expressed concerns that maximum balance and maximum daily transfer limits on basic accounts may have constrained mobile money initiatives.
in Haiti. A 2012 article illustrates this dynamic, noting that authorities in Haiti aimed to facilitate easy account opening by enabling the two active mobile money services to offer entry-level accounts without requiring face-to-face registration and identification requirements, but balances for these accounts were capped at about USD 62.

With respect to consumer protection, the Center for Financial Inclusion at Accion (CFI) has stated that “consumer protection law as a separate legal framework for users of financial services is relatively non-existent” in Haiti. The CFI has cautioned that a lack of formal regulation pertaining to non-cooperative microfinance institutions has constituted an obstacle to strengthening protections for users of financial services. However, specific regulations in Haiti contain consumer protection provisions. For example, a 1980 decree limited consumer over-indebtedness by prohibiting banks from granting mortgages to members of the general public for amounts exceeding 70 percent of the value of the guarantee. Moreover, the central bank is working on the development of a comprehensive financial consumer protection framework.

While Haiti’s political, economic, and infrastructural environment present challenges for the financial inclusion community, commitment at the national level to advancing adoption of formal financial services indicates opportunities for inclusive growth moving forward. Moving forward, efforts to enhance coordination among key stakeholders and ensure that regulations surrounding digital financial services promote the utility and safety of these services are needed to accelerate financial inclusion in Haiti.

See Haiti endnotes on page 132
FORMAL COMMITMENT MILESTONE

- Committed to the Alliance for Financial Inclusion in 2012

SELECTED FINANCIAL INCLUSION HIGHLIGHTS

- Launched the Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana program in 2014
- Joined the Better Than Cash Alliance in September 2015
- Issued provisional payments bank licenses to diverse entities, including nonbank institutions such as India Post, in August 2015

NEXT STEPS

- Amplify financial capability initiatives to reduce account dormancy rates and incentivize adoption of digital payments at merchant locations to enhance the digital financial services ecosystem
- Move forward with implementation of recommendations contained in the December 2015 “Report of the Committee on Medium-term Path on Financial Inclusion,” as appropriate
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

India has accelerated its efforts to promote financial inclusion through implementation of the historic Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY) program, launched in 2014. The program has demonstrated considerable impact with respect to expanding financial access, although dormancy rates indicate that efforts to promote financial capability and enhance the utility of financial products and services are still needed. Government efforts to advance shared public infrastructure have contributed to the success of the PMJDY program.

As of 2014, India contained about 21 percent of the world’s unbanked population. Recognizing the opportunity to envelop underserved individuals into the formal financial sector, India has amplified its commitment to financial inclusion through a number of policy and regulatory initiatives. One of the key objectives of the PMJDY initiative was to provide all households in the country with banking facilities by January 26, 2015. As of January 2015, approximately 100 percent of households were considered financially included, according to the government of India. By May 2016, a PMJDY progress report noted that about 200 million bank accounts had been opened under the PMJDY program.

Other assessments have found less widespread account penetration—for example, an InterMedia survey of adults age 15 and older conducted from June to October 2015 found that 63 percent of adults had a “full-service” account at a bank. While the exact account adoption figures vary, the PMJDY program has certainly helped drive access to formal financial services across India and amplify the discourse surrounding financial inclusion among public and private sector stakeholders.

The PMJDY program features a number of key pillars that build upon its aim of fostering universal access to banking facilities, including providing basic bank accounts with overdraft facilities and a debit card (called an RuPay card) to all households, promoting financial literacy, advancing the development of a credit guarantee fund to mitigate risks from overdraft facilities, and offering opportunities for microinsurance and pensions.

In terms of international financial inclusion commitments, while India has not made commitments under the Maya Declaration, it is a member of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has served as a principal member of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion since 2012. Moreover, in September 2015, the government of India joined the Better Than Cash Alliance.

Digital mechanisms are key components of India’s financial inclusion drive. For example, the PMJDY program seeks to channel all government benefits to beneficiaries’ accounts and promote its Direct Benefits Transfer (DBT) scheme. The program explicitly notes a desire to reach out to youth and to leverage nontraditional mechanisms, such as mobile devices and telecommunications companies’ cash-out-points. In this vein, the Jan Dhan Yojana, Aadhaar and Mobile numbers initiative (also known as the “JAM trinity”) was developed to combine biometric identification initiatives with mobile access to financial services in order to directly transfer subsidies to beneficiaries and consequently advance efficiency and reduce leakages.

On the regulatory side, both mobile wallets and mobile banking are offered in India. The 2007 Payments Act served as the relevant regulation for electronic wallets. More recently, in 2014, the government of India issued guidelines regarding specialized “payments banks.” Although payments banks are not permitted to extend loans, these entities can accept deposits and sell third party products. The payments banks guidelines opened up the market to nonbank providers by permitting entities such as mobile operators, retail chains, and current agent managers to apply for licenses to provide
payments and deposit accounts, which will be eligible for risk-proportionate know-your-customer (KYC) processes.26 The RBI also undertook other enabling regulation in 2014, such as removing a requirement for any banking correspondent27 to be within a 30 km range of a bank branch.28

While efforts to improve financial access and promote usage have brought a staggering number of individuals into the formal financial services sector within a relatively brief period of time, as of May 2016 the percentage of accounts with no balance was at 26 percent across public sector, regional, and private banks.29 Thus, concerted efforts to reduce dormancy rates are needed in order for individuals to reap the full benefits of financial inclusion.

With respect to nonbank financial access points, the Helix Institute’s 2015 “Agent Network Accelerator Survey” found that agent networks30 in India were still quite nascent.31 Still, India features a considerable number of agent outlets overall, with annual growth of urban and rural agent outlets in the range of 50-60 percent as of 2015.32 While agent locations are relatively prolific, sustainability is a challenge: Key issues for agents include constraints regarding profitability, agent capacity, and dormancy rates.33 Moreover, the prevalence of agent exclusivity (i.e., agents who only work for one service provider) and dedication (i.e., agents whose only income source is through digital financial services) are high compared with most other countries, which render it challenging for agent outlets to maintain a viable business model.34 Agents are also often inexperienced, with more than half of agents in India having operated for less than two years as of 2015.35

The transition to digital financial channels has proved an often challenging one within India’s cash-centric culture.36 A recent United States Agency for International Development (USAID) study found that about 97 percent of retail transactions in India were conducted in cash or check.37 Part of the challenge is the lack of a digital ecosystem—for example, the USAID study found that less than 10 percent of merchants accepted digital payments, and only about 10 percent of consumers in India had used a debit card for payments within the previous year.38

**Financial inclusion updates**

In August 2015, the RBI issued provisional payments bank licenses to eleven different entities, including select mobile network operators and other nonbank entities such as India Post.39 Involving mobile network operators and postal centers more directly in the financial services market should help enhance financial inclusion by facilitating greater convenience for, and confidence among, customers. For example, India Post boasts about 155,000 branches, with about 90 percent of those branches located in rural areas; moreover, given that the Post has offered services such as basic accounts, remittance services, and even life insurance in the past, the brand has already built awareness and trust among many customers.40 As of January 2016, India Post was expected to begin its operations as a payments bank around December 2016.41

Findings from a November 2015 USAID publication regarding digital payments in India highlighted low levels of awareness and adoption of digital financial services. For example, only about 30 percent of individuals who did not hold debit cards were aware of debit cards,42 and among those who did not use mobile money, only about 20 percent were aware of mobile money or e-wallets.43 However, the survey also found that those who did use digital payments were generally satisfied with these services.44 Among merchants, the top-ranked reasons for not accepting payments via mobile phones were “not knowing anyone who had one” and security concerns.45 (Interestingly, the top-ranked reason for interest in accepting payments through mobile phones was that it was perceived as safer to handle than cash.46)

While digital merchant payments are limited, there has been a trend of increased adoption of debit cards, spurred by the government’s financial inclusion drive. For example, as of January 2016 about 75 percent of RUPay card holders were first-time users.47 Additionally, a November 2015 article noted that the value of electronic payments in India had continued a trend of surpassing the value of cash-based transactions.48

In December 2015, the “Report of the Committee on Medium-term Path on Financial Inclusion” was released by the RBI.49 The report included a series of recommendations for improving financial inclusion, including
enhancing utilization of digital government-to-person payments, creating a geographical information system to map all banking access points, and accelerating development of a multi-lingual mobile application for customers using non-smartphones.

Finally, a significant development in terms of strengthening the digital ecosystem occurred in April 2016, when the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) launched the unified payments interface (UPI), a common platform through which consumers can transfer money from one bank account to any other bank account instantly. The UPI is based on India’s Immediate Payment Service platform. This platform is expected to enhance convenience and utility for consumers by promoting efficiency and interoperability of digital payments.

The UPI interface is a component of India’s increasingly complex and interconnected digital ecosystem, which features an identity and payments infrastructure referred to as the “India Stack.” The four layers of the “stack” include a presence-less layer, paperless layer, cashless layer, and consent layer. The first layer comprises Aadhaar authentication, a process in which a 12-digit identification number (Aadhaar number) is issued based on biometric data and e-KYC (in which customers can enter their Aadhaar number and biometric information to identify themselves at banking agents). Another layer features e-sign (in which customers can request a secure digital signature from a central government server to sign documents remotely) and DigiLocker (a “digital locker” that permits customers to store and retrieve legal and other official documents) capabilities.

The third layer, of which the UPI is a part, comprises interoperable payments infrastructure, including the Immediate Payment Service (which enables mobile account holders to transfer funds to/from any domestic account), the Aadhaar Payments Bridge (which links an individual’s Aadhaar number to his/her bank’s routing code and thus enables payments to a recipient’s Aadhaar number to be routed to his/her bank), the National Unified USSD Platform (which enables customers to enter a USSD short-code on any handset with any mobile network to launch their bank’s mobile banking menu), and the UPI.

The final layer permits customers to authorize sharing of their personal information with service providers digitally.

**Moving forward**

The Helix Institute survey highlighted that the largest barrier perceived by agents with respect to conducting more business was a lack of awareness of the service among customers. Thus, coordination among government entities and financial service providers to increase awareness of financial access points and services could help improve adoption of formal financial services.

The RBI has recognized the need for improved financial literacy, dedicating a portion of the PMJDY initiative to this objective. A number of financial literacy initiatives are in development or underway. For example, in January 2016, NABARD Bank and J&K Bank agreed to launch a financial literacy campaign affecting an estimated 50,000 households in India.

While advancing access to formal financial services is a key priority of the government, financial inclusion authorities recognize that the quality of these services is critical to promoting financial health. The RBI recognized systemic concerns regarding over-indebtedness in its “Report of the Committee on Medium-Term Path on Financial Inclusion,” which underscores the fact that ongoing efforts to promote financial access must be complemented with due attention to consumer protection.

Over the coming months, payments banks must surmount logistical challenges involving the setup of subsidiaries and hiring staff, as well as determining a viable business model, in order to expand access to financial products and services among marginalized communities. Mobile-enabled payments bank accounts are expected to be included in the UPI, which should facilitate account interoperability.

Additionally, government and private sector stakeholders must work together to address barriers to financial inclusion among women, migrants, and other underserved populations. Ensuring that risk-based KYC requirements are implemented effectively is one necessary step to reducing barriers surrounding financial access and usage. Increasing adoption of digital financial services in particular could help drive financial inclusion among these groups. USAID has provided several recommendations for increasing takeup of digital financial services among non-users in India, including better training of agents and more clearly communicating...
specific use cases to prospective customers. Additionally, accelerating interoperability of electronic wallets could advance usage of these services by promoting convenience for customers.

See India endnotes on page 133
**INDONESIA**

**OVERALL SCORE**

71%

**DIMENSION SCORES**

- Country commitment: 72%
- Mobile capacity: 94%
- Regulatory environment: 94%
- Adoption: 47%

**GDP (billion USD)**: $889

**Adult population (millions)**: 173

**Unique mobile subscribership**: 67%

**Financial account ownership among adults**: 36%

**Financial account ownership among women**: 37%

---

**Formal commitment milestone**

- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2012

**Selected financial inclusion highlights**

- Developed a national financial inclusion strategy in 2012
- Implemented mobile money platform interoperability in 2013
- Tied for first place on the mobile capacity dimension of the 2016 FDIP scorecard

**Next steps**

- Harmonize electronic money and branchless banking guidelines to enhance regulatory clarity and advance a level playing field for financial service providers
- Identify dedicated financial inclusion staff to assist with implementation of the national financial inclusion strategy and facilitate coordination across key stakeholders
Indonesia

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Indonesia’s government has clearly expressed its commitment to promoting the adoption of digital financial services, and the country’s strong mobile capacity levels are conducive to this effort: As of 2014, Indonesia was the fourth largest mobile market in the world and held the distinction of being among the first countries to achieve mobile money platform interoperability. However, opportunities for improving the regulatory environment for digital financial services remain, particularly in terms of harmonizing regulations regarding branchless banking and electronic money (e-money) and ensuring an even playing field for financial service providers.

In terms of country commitment, Indonesia’s National Strategy for Financial Inclusion was solidified in 2010 and later revised to include a financial education component for mobile banking. The program was launched by the government in June 2012. At the international level, Bank Indonesia (BI) made commitments under the Maya Declaration in 2012.

Recent legislation, such as e-money regulations from BI and branchless banking regulations from Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK, Indonesia’s financial services authority), as well as recent digital government-to-person (G2P) initiatives, are indicative of Indonesia’s willingness to advance financial inclusion beyond traditional “brick and mortar” channels. However, room for improvement remains regarding various constraining elements of the regulations, including exclusivity arrangements and restricted options for agent selection among certain financial service providers.

As background, in 2009 BI published the first regulations on e-money, which enabled banks and mobile network operators (MNOs) to offer cash-in/cash-out services from e-wallet accounts. However, banks and MNOs could not appoint agents to perform cash-out unless the agent outlet received a money remittance license from BI. Additionally, customers were required to travel to one of the few outlets that were managed directly by their mobile operator in order to withdraw funds from their mobile money wallet. However, in 2013 the Regulation on Funds Transfer allowed “cash payment points” to provide cash-out services without requiring each agent to have an individual funds transfer license.

Other regulatory and policy initiatives related to branchless banking followed. Branchless banking pilot guidelines were issued in 2013, and the 2013 guidelines for banks and MNOs permitted them to outsource certain banking activities (including cash-in/out) to agents known as UPLKs (financial intermediary service units). In April 2014, BI announced new rules on e-money for banks, MNOs, and third-party providers that established a “multi-tier approach to appointing agents for cashing out.” The rules clarified arrangements surrounding issuing, acquiring, clearing, and settling e-money, opened up opportunities for nonbanks to participate in this sector, and did not permit agent exclusivity. However, the e-money regulations favored larger banks with arguably less incentive to serve under-resourced customers. BUKU IV banks, which are commercial banks that possess capital of at least IDR 30 trillion (about USD 2.6 billion), could appoint unregistered business entities (e.g., “mom and pop” stores and airtime sellers) as agents; however, smaller banks and telecommunications companies could not form partnerships with unregistered entities. Given that many businesses in Indonesia are not formally registered, the regulatory disparity has been identified as restrictive for smaller providers that could potentially reach a wide swathe of underserved individuals.

On the banking side, regulations regarding branchless banking have been recently issued by Indonesia’s new financial services authority, OJK, which was developed in 2011 and came into effect in January 2014.
for the establishment of a bank and supervise all bank activities (bank business plans, mergers, consolidations and acquisitions, and articles of association), (ii) regulate and supervise a bank’s financial health (capital ratio, liquidity, reserves, reports, and accounting standards), and (iii) assess prudence such as risk management, bank governance, and know your customer principles in order to prevent money laundering and terrorism and banking crimes. 30

In 2014, OJK issued branchless banking regulations that permitted banks and financial institutions to contract with a wide array of agents to offer a diverse suite of products, including basic savings accounts, microloans, microinsurance, and transfers. 31 In March 2015, OJK launched the Laku Pandai program, in which an initial four banks—Bank Rakyat Indonesia, Bank Mandiri, Bank Tabungan Pensiunan Nasional (BTPN), and Bank Central Asia—planned to offer a basic savings account with no minimum balance, along with other financial services, through a network of more than 125,000 agents. 32

The OJK regulations exhibit a number of characteristics that should enable greater financial inclusion, including allowing service providers to appoint any individuals and business entities with legal status as agents and implementing simplified customer due diligence requirements. 33 However, other components of the regulations, including certain geographical restrictions and an exclusivity clause that prevents agents from partnering with more than one provider, could limit accessibility and utility of the services for customers and constrain growth. 34 35

Financial inclusion updates

Six banks (Bank Tabungan Negara and Bank Negara Indonesia, in addition to the four banks mentioned above) 36 received branchless banking licenses from OJK in 2015. 37 Under the branchless banking license, providers can offer a basic savings account with a limit of IDR 20 million (approximately USD 1,478), in addition to other services such as microloans, microinsurance, remittances and bill payments. 38 In January 2016, OJK also granted licenses to Bank Kaltim and BRI Syariah. 39 The latter is the first Islamic lender to participate in the Laku Pandai program. 40 OJK anticipates there will be at least 300,000 branchless banking agents in Indonesia by the end of 2016. 41

In April 2016, Bank BTPN’s BTPN Wow service and Telkomsel’s TCash service launched an interoperable product. This arrangement enables TCash users to move funds to a BTPN Wow account in order to earn interest on their savings and permits BTPN Wow users to shift funds to TCash in order to access e-wallet services such as airtime top-up and bill payments. 42 Moving forward, this collaboration is expected to produce additional products and services such as instant credit and interoperable agent networks. 43

Another development regarding digital financial services was BI’s agreement with Telkomsel to conduct an MNO-led pilot for making G2P payments, in collaboration with the National Team for the Acceleration of Poverty Reduction. The project was launched in December 2015 with 2,000 households in Jakarta, Cirebon, and Semarang in West and Central Java and will have three disbursement phases. 44

Moving forward

Harmonizing and/or consolidating the regulations between BI and OJK could render the regulatory environment less challenging for new and prospective financial service providers to navigate and less restrictive for providers and consumers. 45 Specifically, policymakers should consider amending the e-money regulations to enable MNOs to partner with individual entities that are not considered formally registered. Modifying the branchless banking regulations to open up opportunities for agent interoperability could also drive the expansion of branches into underserved communities.

Additionally, enhancing coordination with respect to the implementation of the national financial inclusion strategy could accelerate progress toward the strategy’s objectives. A forum is expected to be held over the coming year that will crystallize an approach to the implementation of the strategy and facilitate discussion concerning ownership of the strategy. 46

Finally, although access to digital financial services is expanding, adoption of these services remains
low. Capacity-building initiatives to train agents and raise awareness surrounding electronic payments are important conditions for improving usage of these services—particularly as only about 8 percent of Indonesians were aware of mobile money providers as of November 2015. Ongoing financial literacy initiatives led by OJK and select banks should help improve adoption of formal financial services moving forward.

See Indonesia endnotes on page 136
KENYA

OVERALL SCORE 84%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 89%
- Mobile capacity: 83%
- Regulatory environment: 94%
- Adoption: 78%

GDP (billion USD)
- $61

Adult population (millions)
- 25

Unique mobile subscribership
- 57%

Financial account ownership among adults
- 75%

Financial account ownership among women
- 71%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Joined the Better Than Cash Alliance as a founding member
- Received the highest score on the adoption dimension of the 2016 FDIP scorecard, primarily due to its considerable rates of mobile money adoption among low-income adults and women
- Achieved a 50 percent increase in financial inclusion within the previous decade, according to a 2016 FinAccess household survey

Next steps
- Continue to strengthen digital infrastructure to reduce network challenges at agent locations
- Promote financial education and capability initiatives among underserved populations, including women, to expand and deepen financial inclusion
Kenya

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

According to a 2016 FinAccess household survey, Kenya has experienced a 50 percent increase in financial inclusion over the last decade. Much of the progress in Kenya’s financial inclusion landscape has been credited to the country’s vibrant mobile money ecosystem, which features exceptionally high adoption rates—the highest of any FDIP country by about 23 percentage points, as of 2014. Indeed, Kenya is considered the most mature mobile money market in the world, driven by the widespread success of Safaricom’s M-PESA service.

Kenya has made a number of high-level commitments to financial inclusion. In 2011, the Central Bank of Kenya (CBK) made a commitment under the Maya Declaration. The Republic of Kenya is also a founding member of the Better Than Cash Alliance. Other key players in the financial inclusion arena include entities such as the Retirement Benefits Authority of Kenya, the Financial Sector Deepening Trust (FSD Kenya), and the FinMark Trust. Kenya’s Vision 2030 National Development Strategy highlighted the importance of inclusive finance and set a target for decreasing the proportion of the population without access to finance from 85 percent to below 70 percent. Moreover, the 2014 National Payment System Regulations highlighted several key priorities of the CBK, including the objective to increase access to financial services, lower the risk of fraud, and promote competition and interoperability.

Kenya has supported branchless banking activities through regulations surrounding agent banking and electronic money. In 2009, the Finance Act introduced modifications to the Banking Act to allow agent banking, and the CBK released specific agent banking guidelines a year later. The agent banking guidelines permitted banks to appoint third parties as agents, including post offices, supermarkets, pharmacies, gas stations, and various other entities. However, a report from the GSMA noted that “banks faced difficulties in building effective agent networks due to the higher compliance requirements for bank agents than mobile money agents, for instance, the requirement for specific agent approval.” Revised agent guidelines for commercial banks were issued and operationalized in January 2013.

In 2011, the National Payment Systems Act was issued, followed by associated regulations in 2014. The legislation requires electronic money issuers to have open back-end systems with interoperable capacity, although to date mobile money services in Kenya are not yet interoperable. In 2014, the government of Kenya launched a Government Digital Payments program to facilitate person-to-government payments through digital channels. By accessing the www.ecitizen.go.ke web portal, individuals can remit digital payments for services such as passport and driver’s license applications and renewals.

With respect to consumer protection issues, prudential guidelines on consumer protection were launched in January 2013. In August 2015, the Competition Authority of Kenya (CAK) issued an order to Safaricom to promote greater transparency on its Lipa Na M-PESA merchant payment platform, which allows consumers to buy goods at merchant outlets and pay bills through their M-PESA accounts. The order required Safaricom to improve their disclosure processes regarding transaction fees for point of sale devices at merchant outlets and to “inform consumers that settling bills through the service may attract a fee based on the transaction value and that the applicable charges can be accessed through the *234# USSD [Unstructured Supplementary Service Data] code.”

As of 2015, there were more mobile money accounts than bank accounts in Kenya, one of 19 markets globally where that is the case. While mobile money is tremendously popular, improving network connectivity and...
liquidity could help enhance customers’ experiences: A 2014 InterMedia survey found that the top three problems with mobile money agents, as described by mobile money users, were agents not having enough cash or e-float to perform a transaction, the GSM/mobile network being down, and the agent’s system being down.  

In terms of promoting competition within the digital ecosystem, in April 2014 the Kenyan government approved mobile virtual network operator (MVNO) licenses, in which MVNOs provide their SIM cards and mobile money services over existing networks. In July 2015, Equitel Bank’s subsidiary MVNO launched its thin SIM card, which permits customers of Equity Bank to use its new mobile phone service (Equitel) with other mobile network operator services, following the company’s defense of an injunction made by Safaricom. While some experts have raised concerns that the product pricing would be prohibitive for low-income customers, and the need for in-person registration and thin SIM installation would constitute additional access barriers for low-income individuals, the Communications Authority noted that Equitel signed up over one million subscribers by September 2015.

Financial inclusion updates

The suite of mobile financial services available in Kenya continues to expand over time. In March 2015, a partnership between Safaricom and Kenya Commercial Bank (KCB) led to the rollout of the “KCB M-PESA” mobile phone-based savings and loan account. While similar to the M-Shwari account offered by Safaricom and the Commercial Bank of Africa, there are a number of differences between the products, including higher loan limits for KCB M-PESA and an extended repayment period (up to six months, compared with two months for M-Shwari). The KCB account registered about 640,000 subscribers in its first three weeks.

Additionally, improvements to mobile infrastructure were made over the previous year. In April 2015, Safaricom completed the migration of M-PESA servers from Germany to Kenya. This transition to local hosting is expected to help minimize service outages and enhance the speed of Kenya’s mobile-based services.

In September 2015, Safaricom publicly released the application programming interface for the M-PESA mobile money payment platform. This step enables developers and merchants to insert their payments applications into the M-PESA platform, allowing them to bypass third-party payment providers. The process is hoped to encourage greater adoption and usage of M-PESA among users as a result of enhanced design and delivery generated by the input of developers and merchants.

Cross-border remittance arrangements are now available between customers in Kenya and Rwanda, as well as between Kenya and Tanzania. In November 2015, Safaricom partnered with MTN Rwanda to offer mobile money transactions for M-PESA customers in Kenya and Rwanda. Earlier in 2015, Safaricom partnered with Vodacom Tanzania to facilitate cross-border transactions between customers on their respective networks. This effort aims to deepen financial inclusion among consumers in the two countries.

In February 2016, the 2016 FinAccess household survey findings were released. The survey was conducted from August to October 2015 by a multi-stakeholder body that included the CBK, the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, and FSD Kenya. The survey found that financial exclusion in Kenya had more than halved since 2006. The findings of a September 2015 InterMedia Financial Inclusion Insights survey support the observation that financial inclusion, particularly with respect to digital products, is expanding and deepening in many cases. The survey found that about eight in ten adults in Kenya use mobile money services, and most mobile money users have a registered mobile money account.

Moving forward

While several efforts have been undertaken to improve pricing disclosures, the implementation of a dedicated financial consumer protection framework could help strengthen the consumer protection environment and enhance trust in and usage of formal financial products among underserved customers. Moreover, further efforts to promote financial education and capability among women could help deepen
financial inclusion. As noted in the 2016 FinAccess survey, formal inclusion among women accelerated between 2009 and 2013 due to considerable adoption of mobile financial services. However, findings from a recent GSMA study concluded that while women in Kenya are as likely as men to be aware of and use mobile money, they are typically more “passive” users of mobile money services. In other words, they are more likely to be recipients of mobile money than to be senders and are less likely to try new services.

The findings from the September 2015 InterMedia survey support the GSMA report’s observations, noting that “advanced financial services use is higher for males than females.” The GSMA study’s findings suggest that better product design, enhanced awareness campaigns, and possibly pricing adjustments could promote greater use of diverse digital financial services among women in Kenya.

See Kenya endnotes on page 137
MALAWI

OVERALL SCORE 61%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment 83%
- Mobile capacity 67%
- Regulatory environment 83%
- Adoption 36%

GDP (billion USD) $4
Adult population (millions) 9
Unique mobile subscribership 25%
Financial account ownership among adults 18%
Financial account ownership among women 14%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Joined the Better Than Cash Alliance in June 2013
- Established a number of measurable financial inclusion goals, including a target specific to women, within its national financial inclusion strategy
- Issued Mobile Payment System Guidelines in 2011 and introduced agent banking in 2012

Next steps
- Amplify coordination of financial literacy initiatives to drive increased adoption of formal financial services
- Finalize and issue the draft electronic money regulations
Malawi

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

High poverty levels, a highly agrarian population, and limited digital infrastructure pose considerable challenges for advancing financial inclusion in Malawi. Malawi has the lowest GDP per capita (in USD) of the 2016 FDIP countries, and financial inclusion growth has been limited to date. While adoption of electronic payments in particular is low, the government of Malawi has made concerted efforts to advance financial inclusion, including through the development of its payment system infrastructure.

The Reserve Bank of Malawi (RBM) committed to the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s Maya Declaration in 2011, and the government of Malawi is a member of the Better Than Cash Alliance. As part of its commitment to advancing financial inclusion, the RBM set a quantifiable goal of increasing the percentage of banked adults to 40 percent by 2014. A 2014 FinScope survey indicated that Malawi made progress toward that goal, as about 27 percent of adults were banked. Moreover, Malawi has been recognized for developing a strategy that provides a quantifiable goal relating to women in particular: specifically, to “[i]ncrease the number of women clients in the sector to a level of 60 percent.”

Malawi’s financial inclusion strategy also includes several objectives related to financial literacy, including conducting a baseline survey on financial literacy, developing a financial literacy strategy, and establishing a national financial literacy network. The strategy highlights the need for client protection and notes several associated objectives, including developing and enacting a consumer bill of rights and conducting a public awareness campaign. The government expects to provide an updated financial inclusion strategy sometime in 2016.

Regarding the digital financial services ecosystem, Malawi has committed to promoting mobile payment solutions. One manifestation of this commitment is Malawi’s Mobile Money Coordination Group, which comprises members from the RBM, consumer associations, the telecommunications industry, and international organizations (e.g., the United States Agency for International Development and the World Bank).

In terms of formal financial account adoption rates, Malawi was among the five lowest-scoring 2016 FDIP countries. While digital financial services provide opportunities for individuals to engage with formal financial services in a country that has low penetration of physical banking infrastructure, limited mobile capacity levels and a lack of interoperability have constrained adoption of digital financial services to date.

With respect to the regulatory environment for financial services, the 2010 Banking Act and Financial Services Act provide the regulatory framework for banks in Malawi. In 2001, the National Payments Council, along with the RBM and the Bankers Association of Malawi, endorsed the Malawi National Payment Systems Vision and Strategy Framework. The Malawi Switch Centre was created by the RBM with reference to this framework; however, the National Switch (NatSwitch) instituted under the Financial Sector Technical Assistance Project in February 2015 subsequently replaced the Malawi Switch. The NatSwitch could allow mobile network operators (MNOs) to integrate with commercial banks’ payment systems in the future, but to date implementation of interoperability has not been enforced.

The Malawi National Payment Systems Bill was drafted in 2014 and was shared in the Malawi Gazette Supplement in October 2015. With respect to branchless banking in particular, Malawi introduced agent banking in 2012, and three banks were granted permission to implement agent networks. On the mobile side, Malawi’s 2011 Mobile Payment System Guidelines enabled a nonbank led model of mobile money operation, which permitted MNOs in Malawi to provide mobile money services. Draft Reserve Bank E-Money
Regulations are expected to replace the 2011 Mobile Payment System Guidelines. These regulations will allow electronic money service providers to utilize tiered know-your-customer (KYC) procedures, which should reduce access barriers for under-resourced consumers. In the interim, the Financial Intelligence Unit can permit use of simplified KYC requirements.

Financial inclusion updates

A 2015 Malawi Country Diagnostic Report produced by the Centre for Financial Regulation and Inclusion (Cenfri) as part of the Making Access Possible initiative noted that formal financial access in Malawi is limited and has experienced very low levels of growth within the previous five years. The report stated that macroeconomic, infrastructural, and political challenges were the primary drivers of financial exclusion. Recognizing that about 99 percent of transactions still occur in cash, the report noted that improving the payments infrastructure was critical to overcoming access barriers to financial inclusion.

Moving forward

Beyond strengthening the macroeconomic environment over the long term, promoting digitization of government-to-person payments (e.g., social welfare payments) could help facilitate financial inclusion by introducing underserved customers to formal financial service mechanisms. Additionally, investments in the country’s digital infrastructure, particularly with respect to rural connectivity, could build capacity for expanded financial services provision and usage.

On the regulatory side, finalizing the draft e-money regulations in order to formalize tiered KYC processes and enhance regulatory clarity could also reduce last-mile barriers and encourage the entry of diverse financial service providers into the digital finance market.

See Malawi endnotes on page 139
MEXICO

**OVERALL SCORE**

74%

**DIMENSION SCORES**

- Country commitment: 94%
- Mobile capacity: 83%
- Regulatory environment: 78%
- Adoption: 58%

---

**GDP (billion USD)** $1,295

**Adult population (millions)** 84

**Unique mobile subscribership** 65%

**Financial account ownership among adults** 39%

**Financial account ownership among women** 39%

---

**Formal commitment milestone**

- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

**Selected financial inclusion highlights**

- Created a national council on financial inclusion, the Consejo Nacional de Inclusión Financiera, in 2011
- Conducted a national survey to assess financial inclusion in 2015
- Released the latest national report on financial inclusion in April 2016

**Next steps**

- Publish and implement the national financial inclusion strategy
- Implement account-to-account interoperability to advance adoption of mobile money services
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Mexico has demonstrated a clear focus on financial inclusion through involvement with multinational knowledge-sharing networks, development of a national financial inclusion body, and other initiatives. On the regulatory side, Mexico’s tiered know-your-customer processes have served as a model for many other countries seeking to implement risk-based anti-money laundering/combating the financing of terrorism regimes. In the future, efforts to promote interoperability of mobile money services and ensure affordability of mobile devices for under-resourced individuals could further advance financial inclusion.

The Comisión Nacional Bancaria y de Valores (CNBV) serves as Mexico’s Maya Declaration signatory, and a wide array of other entities are invested in efforts to advance financial inclusion in Mexico. Key financial inclusion stakeholders include La Secretaría de Hacienda y Crédito Público de México (SHCP), which is responsible for economic, fiscal, and financial policy; the CNBV, which is the SHCP agency mandated to supervise most financial entities, formulate prudential regulation, and license banks and other financial intermediaries; and Banco de México (Banxico), which serves as the regulator and supervisor of the payment system.

Bansefi, a national development bank, is another important player in the financial inclusion space. The bank aims to promote savings among consumers, support the development of technological platforms for expanding access to finance, and provide technical assistance and training. For example, Bansefi supports the Technical Assistance Program for Rural Microfinance, which focuses on advancing financial inclusion among marginalized rural populations through access to a variety of financial services such as savings accounts, investment, credit, remittances, insurance, payments, and government-to-person transfers.

The National Council for Financial Inclusion (CONAIF) was created by presidential decree in 2011. The council was tasked with establishing guidelines of the National Financial Inclusion Policy (discussed later in this summary), coordinating financial education initiatives with the Financial Education Committee, and proposing regulatory changes at the federal, state, and municipal levels. More broadly, the National Commission for the Protection and Defense of Financial Users (or CONDUSEF, as the acronym is known in Spanish), is responsible for “developing strategies to protect and defend the rights and interests of the users of financial services in the country.”

Regarding Mexico’s financial ecosystem, Popular Savings and Credit Cooperatives (SOCAPS) and Popular Financial Societies (“Sociedades Financieras Populares” (SOFIPOS) reach many low-income individuals. The legal framework for SOCAPS was established in 2009. Also in 2009, the CNBV enabled the introduction of banking agents through the Ley de Corresponsales Bancarios. Banking agents can offer cash deposits and withdrawals, credit and utilities payments, check cashing, balance inquiries, and account opening for simplified accounts. On the issue of simplified accounts, the SHCP issued “Disposiciones de carácter general a que se refiere el artículo 115 de la Ley de Instituciones de Crédito” in August 2011, which included a scheme of tiered bank accounts that modify transaction limits and identification processes in accordance with the perceived risk associated with the customer.

Mexico has a robust mobile ecosystem, with strong 3G network coverage and a number of mobile money providers that offer a range of services. In 2010, mobile network operators were permitted to set up agent networks and manage mobile money accounts on behalf of banks. In contrast to banking agents, mobile money agents fulfill more limited roles—primarily cash-in/cash-out services for person-to-person transfers. All banks can link customers’ accounts to mobile phones, and banks...
must permit interbank electronic transfers regardless of
the mobile carrier of the beneficiary.26

A number of interesting private sector offerings
have emerged in Mexico, including Kueski, an online
lending company in Mexico that offers real-time micro-
loans to Mexican customers. In April 2016, the platform
announced the “close of a [USD] 35 million round of
equity and debt funding, with the potential to increase
to [USD] 100 million in total funding, the largest capital
funding in Mexico for a fintech startup.”27

**Financial inclusion updates**

In April 2016, the Consejo Nacional de Inclusión Finan-
ciera released the latest national report on financial
inclusion.28 The report covers data points surrounding
access, usage, consumer protection, and financial educa-
tion. The report found that the number of access points
for making withdrawals and/or deposits per 10,000
adults increased from 9.9 to 10.1 from December 2013
to June 2015. The percentage of municipalities with at
least one access point for conducting withdrawals and/or
deposits increased from 68.5 percent to 68.9 percent.29

On the demand side, preliminary findings from Mex-
ico’s 2015 national survey of financial inclusion indicated
growth in the penetration of savings, insurance, and
formal credit products. More specifically, the percentage
of adults with a formal savings account rose from 35.5
percent in 2012 to 41.1 percent in 2015; the proportion
of adults with private insurance rose from 22 to 24.8
percent; and the number of adults with formal credit
increased from 19.3 to 22.1 million.30

During the National Banking Convention in March
2016, Mexico’s president highlighted a number of financial
inclusion initiatives and described the results of recent
financial reforms.31 One such initiative was Mexico’s
“Crédito Joven” (“Young Credit”) program, introduced
by President Enrique Peña Nieto in February 2015. The
objective of the program is to advance financial inclusion
among young people between 18 and 30 years of age
who do not have a credit history in an effort to promote
broader economic growth.32 Another effort related to
youth is Bansefi’s “Financial Education Children’s Pro-
gram” pilot, in which several thousand children from
about 100 schools received financial education training
between November and December 2015.33

In March 2016, Bankable Frontier Associates
released the Mexico Financial Diaries study.34 The study
was conducted in three communities of Mexico City,
Puebla, and rural Oaxaca between November 2013
and January 2015. The project aimed to highlight how
low-income Mexican families manage their money and
to examine the constraints they face in doing so. Among
the report’s findings was that households in the study
primarily relied on informal credit options for health and
schooling expenses; this finding suggests that formal
instruments for these purposes could be improved and/
or awareness surrounding available credit options could
be heightened.35

**Moving forward**

In terms of national commitments to financial inclusion,
according to the latest Maya Declaration Progress Report,
a draft of Mexico’s objectives and targets relating to
financial inclusion has been developed and is being evalu-
ated by the members of CONAIF.36 The drafting of a new
financial inclusion strategy is underway and is expected
to be published in summer 2016.37 The dissemination of
this strategy will help provide a roadmap to advancing
financial inclusion and help promote coordination among
key stakeholders.

With respect to mobile platform interoperability, the
GSMA has noted that mobile money services in Mexico,
which are already interoperable with the banking sector,
are working toward full account-to-account interoper-
ability.38 In addition to implementing interoperability,
developing specific e-money regulations could promote
greater clarity within the digital financial services sector.
Augmenting the role of nonbanks in financial services
provision could also expand Mexico’s financial distribu-
tion network.39

Regarding financial stability and consumer protec-
tion, growing concerns regarding over-indebtedness,
particularly among clients of non-regulated institutions,
should be carefully considered by public and private
sector stakeholders as they develop micro-credit and
alternative lending approaches.40
Finally, regulators and industry leaders should consider approaches to balancing mobile-related taxation in order to facilitate greater affordability of handsets among low-income individuals. As noted in a September 2015 GSMA report, in Mexico “a basic device accounts for over 5% of annual income for the poorest 10% of households, and more advanced smartphones are even more unaffordable.”41

See Mexico endnotes on page 140
NIGERIA

**OVERALL SCORE**

72%

**DIMENSION SCORES**

- Country commitment: 94%
- Mobile capacity: 78%
- Regulatory environment: 83%
- Adoption: 53%

---

**GDP (billion USD)**: $569

**Adult population (millions)**: 97

**Unique mobile subscribership**: 47%

**Financial account ownership among adults**: 44%

**Financial account ownership among women**: 34%

---

**Formal commitment milestone**

- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

**Selected financial inclusion highlights**

- Launched a national financial inclusion strategy in 2012
- Published guidelines on agent banking in February 2013
- Released new guidelines on mobile money services in April 2015

**Next steps**

- Expand distribution of financial access points through super-agent networks and other nonbank entities
- Execute study to identify constraints and drivers of agent banking for consumers and financial institutions
Nigeria

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

While mobile money has not yet reached scale in Nigeria,6 several recent initiatives led by the government of Nigeria to advance digital payment services, agent banking, and consumer protection initiatives should promote increased adoption of formal financial services by expanding distribution points, increasing consumer confidence, and reducing crowding within the mobile money market.7

Nigeria has demonstrated clear national-level commitment to advancing financial inclusion. The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) is a signatory of the Maya Declaration,8 and as part of its Maya Declaration commitments, Nigeria launched a national financial inclusion strategy (NFIS) in 2012.9 The NFIS outlined the roles and responsibilities of key stakeholders, acknowledged the challenges of broadening financial inclusion in Nigeria, and established specific “targets for payments, savings, credit, insurance, pensions, branches of deposit banks and microfinance banks.”10 Initial targets within the NFIS included more than halving the number of financially excluded Nigerians and expanding the penetration of payment products for the adult population to 70 percent by 2020.11

With respect to the banking sector, Agent Banking Guidelines were released in February 2013, and a circular was issued in August 2013 to provide guidance on the approval process for financial institutions aiming to deploy agent banking services in Nigeria.12 The CBN also established tiered know-your-customer (KYC) requirements in 2013 in an effort to promote inclusive participation in the formal economy.13

In terms of financial infrastructure, the Nigeria Central Switch was operational as of 2013 and allowed interoperability among deposit-taking institutions and licensed payment service providers; it also facilitated inter-scheme card and mobile payments.14 Mobile money platform interoperability has not yet been realized.15 Regarding the regulatory environment for digital financial services, the Regulatory Framework for Mobile Payments Services in Nigeria was published in 2009 and specified that mobile network operators (MNOs) are not permitted to become licensed mobile money operators.16 Instead, MNOs must work with sponsoring banks, which hold customer funds that are covered under the Nigeria Deposit Insurance Corporation.17 Limiting the role of MNOs in the mobile money sector has been identified as a potentially constraining factor within the digital financial services market in Nigeria.18

However, in April 2015 the CBN issued a licensing framework for “super-agents” that banks and other regulated financial service providers can leverage to extend access to financial services among underserved groups.19 Companies that are approved as super-agents are permitted to monitor and supervise the activities of agents, among other measures.20 This framework enabled MNOs to operate as super-agents for banks.

Several super-agent arrangements have emerged. In September 2015, the “GloXchange” network—a partnership between Globacom and Firstmonie (a subsidiary of First Bank Nigeria), Ecobank, Stanbic IBTC, and Zenith Bank—was launched commercially.22 MTN has formed similar arrangements, including with Diamond Bank’s Y’ello account.24 The super-agent framework is expected to help advance financial inclusion by broadening the financial services distribution network.

Financial inclusion updates

In April 2015, the CBN released new Guidelines on Mobile Money Services in Nigeria, which among other provisions raised the mobile money operator capitalization requirement to NGN 2 billion from the initial NGN 0.5 billion required.25 The deadline for mobile money operators
to reach the capitalization requirement was set at June 2016.\textsuperscript{26} This effort is expected to reduce the number of mobile money operators in the market, which at 21 (as of September 2015) was the highest number globally.\textsuperscript{27,28} The CBN also released the following exposure drafts for comments in 2015: Standards and Guidelines on Electronic Channels Operations in Nigeria,\textsuperscript{29} Guidelines on Transactions Switching in Nigeria,\textsuperscript{30} and a Consumer Protection Framework.\textsuperscript{31} Moreover, the CBN determined to establish a Payment System Development Fund to promote usage of e-payments and develop a sustainable financing mechanism to support payment system development initiatives. In October 2015, Enhancing Financial Innovation and Access (EFInA) provided recommendations with respect to “defining the modalities of the fund.”\textsuperscript{32}

In January 2016, the CBN, the Ministry of Finance, and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation signed an agreement regarding a Digital Financial Inclusion Project in Nigeria.\textsuperscript{33} Components of the agreement include, but are not limited to, recognition of the importance of digital payments with respect to financial inclusion and reducing public expenditure inefficiencies; an identification of the roles and responsibilities of stakeholders in the development of the digital payment ecosystem; and the development of an approach to achieving the end-to-end digital financial services infrastructure needed to reach the country’s target of 80 percent financial inclusion by 2020.\textsuperscript{34} The Nigerian Deposit Insurance Corporation also approved a pass-through deposit insurance policy that “guarantees the payment of insured amounts to subscribers of mobile money operators in the case of failure of insured institutions where pooled funds are maintained.” Subscribers are covered up to NGN 500,000 (about USD 2,538) per subscriber per deposit money bank, or the applicable coverage level for depositors in accordance with the Nigerian Deposit Insurance Corporation Act.\textsuperscript{35}

As of February 2016, three banks had adopted agent banking: Guarantee Trust Bank, First City Monument Bank, and Sterling Bank. Agents offer selected banking and payment services, including bank transfers, bill payments, and airtime top-up, under the auspices of the Agent Banking framework. The three banks had a total of 737 agents.\textsuperscript{36}

Following issuance of the super-agent framework in April 2015, a number of telecommunications companies expressed interest in obtaining super-agent licenses. In March 2016, the CBN granted approval-in-principle to Innovectives to operate as a super-agent\textsuperscript{37} and granted approval-in-principle to Interswitch Financial Inclusion Services to expand its agent network as a super-agent.\textsuperscript{38} Interswitch Financial Inclusion Services has indicated that it seeks to grow an active agent network of 150,000 people by 2020.\textsuperscript{39}

As of April 2016, the Nigerian Postal Services expected to soon introduce certain banking services to rural areas of Nigeria, which should help enable access to formal financial transactions and e-commerce among marginalized populations.\textsuperscript{40}

\section*{Moving forward}

Among the positive developments in Nigeria’s financial services sector is a decrease in losses due to fraudulent bank transactions. In February 2016, the director of the Banking and Payments System Department of the CBN noted that actual loss as a result of fraud in bank transactions dropped significantly from NGN 6.2 billion in 2014 to about NGN 2.3 billion in 2015. This drop was largely attributed to the successful deployment of various mobile payment systems that were enforced by the CBN in 2015.\textsuperscript{41}

Further, in an effort to advance the scaling of agent banking, the CBN plans to undertake a study to understand the barriers and opportunities surrounding agent banking for consumers and financial institutions; identify policies that could amplify agent banking, particularly in rural areas; and develop a viable agent banking model.\textsuperscript{42} In the interim, the entry of several super-agents into the market should strengthen the digital financial ecosystem.

\textit{See Nigeria endnotes on page 141}
PAKISTAN

OVERALL SCORE
69%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 100%
- Mobile capacity: 83%
- Regulatory environment: 89%
- Adoption: 36%

GDP (billion USD)
$244

Adult population (millions)
114

Unique mobile subscribership
45%

Financial account ownership among adults
13%

Financial account ownership among women
5%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Introduced “Level 0” risk-proportionate accounts in 2011 to facilitate access to formal financial services among underserved populations
- Launched the National Financial Inclusion Strategy in May 2015
- Joined the Better Than Cash Alliance in September 2015

Next steps
- Promote registration of mobile wallet accounts to deepen usage of diverse financial services
- Move forward with the objectives of the Country Support Program and the Universal Financial Access Initiative
Pakistan

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

While Pakistan had among the lowest levels of formal financial services adoption among the 2016 FDIP countries, the country’s increasing mobile capacity levels (particularly with respect to unique subscribership and 3G network coverage), combined with a robust mobile money ecosystem, have helped to advance financial inclusion. Moreover, the government of Pakistan has elevated financial inclusion as a national priority through the launch of a national financial inclusion strategy, regulatory efforts to promote agent banking, and regular data collection regarding branchless banking.

The State Bank of Pakistan (SBP) became a signatory to the Maya Declaration in 2011 and serves as a member of the Financial Inclusion Strategy Peer Learning Group. A Consultative Group on Branchless Banking was established in 2012 to examine branchless banking challenges and to develop policy recommendations on key development issues and innovative financial inclusion approaches.

The SBP regularly publishes quarterly branchless banking newsletters and has published an “Access to Finance” study that provides information on financial inclusion among women. The branchless banking newsletter from July to September 2015 noted that the branchless banking industry had surpassed its mobile wallet projections, reaching over 13 million accounts, with 21 percent growth in a single quarter and 80 percent growth in one year (September 2014 to September 2015). The agent network experienced growth as well, with about 268,000 agents at the end of the July to September 2015 quarter.

While over-the-counter (OTC) transactions have dominated the market in Pakistan, the national branchless banking report stated that OTC transactions were demonstrating signs of moving toward saturation, having grown 20 percent over the previous four quarters, compared with mobile wallet transactions that demonstrated 278 percent growth in the same period.

The Access to Finance study, which featured data from December 2013, disaggregated the number of borrowers and gross loan portfolios by men and women. The study noted that “women in rural and remote areas face additional constraints [with respect to financial access] due to lack of their mobility largely for cultural reasons” but affirmed that agent services and mobile wallets were helping to promote financial inclusion among women.

In March 2008, the SBP issued branchless banking regulations, which were among the first regulations globally that were specifically designed to foster branchless banking. The regulations accommodated three types of branchless accounts (known as Levels 1-3), each with progressively stricter know-your-customer (KYC) requirements and higher transaction/balance limits. These regulations follow a bank-led model, allowing commercial banks and microfinance banks with a banking license to apply for a branchless banking license. Mobile network operators (MNOs) are permitted to operate as agents on behalf of a bank, enabling them to provide marketing, distribution, and product development services.

In 2011, a “Level 0” account with flexible KYC requirements was instituted to help facilitate access to financial services among marginalized groups. 2015 guidelines on these low-risk “Asaan” accounts noted that they require a minimum PKR 100 (about USD 1) opening deposit, but there is no minimum balance requirement. As of August 2014, a biometric verification system for issuing all new SIMs was implemented, with the objective of ensuring a more user-friendly, efficient, and accurate registration process; mobile money providers hope to build off this system by opening accounts at the SIM registration terminals.
Financial inclusion updates

In May 2015, Pakistan’s national financial inclusion strategy was launched. Digitization of payments is included as a priority within the strategy, which aims to "build momentum and push forward reforms to achieve universal financial inclusion in an integrated and sustained manner." One of the targets identified in the strategy is that 50 percent of adults should possess a transaction account by 2020. As of January 2016, several technical committees, including a committee focused on "Digital Financial Services and Payment Systems," had been instituted to work toward implementation of the strategy.

In September 2015, Pakistan joined the United Nation’s Better Than Cash Alliance in order to foster collaboration with and gain support from other governments and key stakeholders with respect to addressing the technical and regulatory challenges pertaining to its digital payments goals.

The second Access to Finance Survey, released in 2015, found that while access to financial services remains low in Pakistan, Pakistan’s financial inclusion landscape has experienced considerable gains since 2008. For example, access to financial services offered by formal financial intermediaries (including providers of mobile money services) has increased by about 11 percentage points since 2008.

In December 2015, the SBP (in conjunction with the Ministry of Finance) approved the World Bank’s Country Support Program. The objective of the collaboration is to achieve the financial inclusion goals stated in Pakistan’s national financial inclusion strategy.

In February 2016, the SBP launched its Universal Financial Access Initiative, which aims to achieve sustainable development by equipping individuals with an opportunity to access and utilize financial services.

In April 2016, Pakistan launched PayPak, the country’s first domestic payment scheme. The service is provided by 1LINK. The mobile financial services platform is interoperable with banking accounts through the 1LINK switch, which enables ATM, POS and interbank funds transfer functions through mobile wallets.

The SBP has also enhanced the regulatory framework for consumer protection, with the Banking Conduct & Consumer Protection Department issuing a number of regulations and guidelines to protect consumers, including Guidelines of Business Conduct for Banks (CPD Circular No. 2 of 2015) and Guiding Principles on Fairness of Service Charges (CPD Circular No. 1 of 2015). Finally, to promote financial literacy, a “Child and Youth Financial Literacy Program” has been initiated under the auspices of Pakistan’s National Financial Literacy Program.

Moving forward

In the future, Pakistan plans to continue to expand its agent, ATM, and card merchant acceptance network and connect the Pakistan Post service to 1LINK. These efforts should help broaden financial access and facilitate greater convenience with respect to digital payments. Additionally, the SBP aims to increase levels of financial capability and usage by implementing nationwide awareness and education programs.

See Pakistan endnotes on page 142
**PERU**

**OVERALL SCORE** 69%

**DIMENSION SCORES**
- Country commitment: 100%
- Mobile capacity: 56%
- Regulatory environment: 100%
- Adoption: 44%

**GDP (billion USD)**: $203

**Adult population (millions)**: 20

**Unique mobile subscribership**: 69%

**Financial account ownership among adults**: 29%

**Financial account ownership among women**: 22%

**Formal commitment milestone**
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

**Selected financial inclusion highlights**
- Joined the Better Than Cash Alliance as a founding member
- Approved the Comisión Multisectorial de Inclusión Financiera in 2014, which then designed the country’s national financial inclusion strategy, published in July 2015
- Publicly launched the “BIM” mobile wallet as part of the Modelo Perú partnership in February 2016

**Next steps**
- Advance mobile capacity (e.g., by augmenting 3G network coverage) to strengthen the foundation for adoption of mobile financial services
- Move forward with rollout of the “BIM” platform by conducting outreach to consumers and monitoring adoption
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Although Peru’s mobile capacity and financial account penetration levels are among the lowest of the FDIP countries, Peru has demonstrated strong commitment to advancing financial inclusion and has fostered a regulatory framework that is highly conducive to digital payments. The diverse array of bank and nonbank financial service providers in the market and the launch of a fully interoperable electronic payments platform in February 2016 may accelerate the adoption of digital financial services in Peru. Moreover, the launch of Peru’s financial inclusion strategy should enhance coordination and implementation surrounding financial inclusion initiatives.

In September 2011, the Superintendencia de Banca, Seguros y AFP (SBS) del Peru committed to the Maya Declaration. Peru is also represented in the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s Financial Inclusion Strategy Peer Learning Group. Moreover, the government of Peru is a founding member of the Better Than Cash Alliance. In 2012, the Ministerio de Economía y Finanzas participated in the G20 Financial Inclusion Peer Learning Program and affirmed its national commitment to the policy of advancing financial inclusion by signing the “Los Cabos Declaration on Financial Inclusion.” The signing of this declaration initiated meetings between the Ministerio de Economía y Finanzas, the Ministerio de Desarrollo e Inclusión Social, the Central Bank, and the SBS (with the Banco de la Nación and the Ministerio de Educación del Perú joining later).

Together, these institutions developed the Comisión Multisectorial de Inclusión Financiera, which was approved by the decree of President Humala in 2014. The primary objective of the commission was to collaborate on the design of Peru’s National Strategy for Financial Inclusion. The Ministerio de Economía y Finanzas operates as the leader of the commission.

With respect to Peru’s regulatory environment, regulation from the SBS in 2005 enabled banks to use retail agents; an ensuing amendment to the agent banking legislation permitted agents to open individual accounts, created three account levels, and enabled e-money to fall under simplified anti-money laundering rules. The agent banking framework permitted banks to contract a diverse array of entities and promoted utilization of agent services by requiring that neither banks nor the agents themselves charge customers for transacting at agents. Operators of these “cajeros corresponsales” include “natural or legal persons operating out of proprietary or third party establishments distinct from those of the financial system.” Pharmacies, grocery stores, and select other establishments were thus able to operate as agents.

The agent banking ecosystem in Peru has evolved over time to encapsulate a greater diversity of providers and services. A 2008 SBS resolution specified that any licensed financial institution could engage third parties to deliver services on its behalf, subject to SBS authorization. In 2011, regulation enabled retail agents to open basic deposit accounts that were subject to risk-proportionate anti-money laundering/combating the financing of terrorism rules. In 2013, additional agent banking legislation permitted agents to offer microinsurance products.

Regarding electronic money (e-money) specifically, Peru’s parliament became the first in Latin America to enact e-money legislation when it passed Law No. 29985 in 2013. The law defined e-money and permitted banks and nonbanks (including mobile network operators) that are regulated by the SBS to issue e-money as a means of advancing financial inclusion. Basic electronic accounts were referenced in the e-money regulation and were permitted to be opened by banking agents. Law No. 29985 created electronic money issuer companies (Entidades Emisoras de Dinero Electrónico, or EEDE), supervised
by the SBS. EEDEs can contract third parties to channel transactions and can perform limited functions independently (e.g., they are not permitted to grant loans).

In May 2013, the Ministerio de Economía y Finanzas approved the regulation of the law by issuing Supreme Decree No. 090-2013-EF. The SBS then issued Resolutions No. 6283-2013 and No. 6284-2013 in fall 2013, which “established the regulatory framework for e-money transactions and issuer companies.” These supplementary regulations enabled e-money issuers to simplify the account opening process, particularly for customers in rural and poor communities. While no bank account is needed to use e-money, given that e-money is not considered a deposit, recipients must have an e-money account subject to basic identification processes (primarily through provision of an ID card or passport).

Financial inclusion updates

The National Strategy for Financial Inclusion was approved in July 2015. The strategy contains seven lines of action and promotes the use of digital financial services, access to savings, insurance, and financing, and highlights the importance of consumer protection and financial education programs. By implementing this strategy, Peru has “set an ambitious roadmap to expand and accelerate financial access and inclusion to 50% of adults by 2018 and then to at least 75% of adults by 2021.”

In February 2016, mobile wallet “BIM” (short for Billetera Movil, or “Mobile Wallet”) was launched. This innovative service is the first of its kind, as the wallet operates within the context of a shared transactional platform, shared branding and marketing, and shared business rules surrounding user types, transactions, fees, agents, and interoperability, across 34 financial service providers. The name given to the partnership behind this model is Modelo Perú, which was the result of an e-money initiative led by the Peruvian Bankers Association (ASBANC). The government has been highly supportive of this initiative due to its significant potential for enhancing financial inclusion.

Moving forward

While the development of an interoperable electronic platform is a tremendous step forward with respect to digital capacity, further enhancements in mobile capacity (including mobile subscribership and 3G network coverage) would complement the BIM initiative in promoting digital financial services. Additionally, implementing the financial education components of the national financial inclusion strategy should help facilitate greater awareness of and engagement with digital financial services.
**OVERALL SCORE**

76%

**DIMENSION SCORES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country commitment</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile capacity</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory environment</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDP (billion USD)</td>
<td>$285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult population (millions)</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique mobile subscribership</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial account ownership among adults</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial account ownership among women</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Formal commitment milestone**
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

**Selected financial inclusion highlights**
- Achieved the greatest overall scoring increase among the FDIP countries for 2016
- Launched a national financial inclusion strategy in July 2015
- Instituted the National Retail Payment System Framework in 2015

**Next steps**
- Leverage the findings of the Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas’ “Financial Inclusion Initiatives 2015” report, as well as the National Baseline Survey on Financial Inclusion and other studies, to identify underserved customers and target financial inclusion initiatives toward those customer segments
- Formally launch the Financial Inclusion Steering Committee
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

The launch of the Philippines’s national financial inclusion strategy, combined with growth in unique mobile subscribership and the development of interoperable digital financial services, drove a substantial increase in the Philippines’ ranking between 2015 and 2016. The activities of alternative financial service providers, including remittance agents, pawnshops, and mobile and telecommunications providers, have augmented the efforts of banks to promote financial inclusion. Enhancements in financial literacy among consumers and the implementation of the national financial inclusion strategy should help boost adoption of formal financial services moving forward.

The Philippines has demonstrated strong commitment to advancing financial inclusion. The Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP) serves as the country’s Maya Declaration signatory. The Republic of the Philippines is a member of the Better Than Cash Alliance. BSP has provided leadership to the Alliance for Financial Inclusion (AFI) Steering Committee and engaged with various AFI working groups to promote knowledge-sharing. Moreover, the BSP was one of the first central banks to establish an office dedicated to financial inclusion. The Inclusive Finance Advocacy Staff is responsible for implementing the microfinance and financial inclusion initiatives of the BSP.

In March 2011, the Philippine Development Plan 2011-2016 was approved by the president and specified financial inclusion as a critical aim. In 2014, the BSP hosted knowledge exchanges with over 30 delegates from Afghanistan, China, Myanmar, Nepal, Rwanda, Tunisia and Yemen. Additionally, the BSP is an active member of the G20 Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion.

The BSP engages in a number of financial inclusion data assessments, including the BSP Report on the State of Financial Inclusion. The latest report, published in 2014, noted that the number of unbanked cities and municipalities had decreased to 595 in 2014 from 604 in 2013. While adoption of mobile electronic wallets (e-wallets) has been significant, efforts to promote usage are still needed: The 2014 study noted that out of about 11 million registered mobile e-money wallets, only about 7 million were considered active. Moreover, there is further opportunity to expand access to e-wallets via mobile phones, considering there were about 51 million unique mobile subscribers in the country as of 2014.

Enabling regulations have contributed to the growth of digital financial services in the Philippines: Between 2001 and 2012, the BSP issued approximately 40 regulations regarding financial inclusion issues. Among other provisions, the regulations enabled greater flexibility for nonbank financial services providers, including “pawnshops, remittance agents, money changers/foreign exchange dealers, and mobile banking agents,” to reach underserved populations.

In 2000, Circulars 240 and 269 were issued to provide guidelines for banks to gain approval for offering electronic services. An anti-money laundering act was passed in 2001, and Circular 706 of 2011 updated these rules to promote a proportionate know-your-customer (KYC) system that allowed more options for customers to prove their identity.

In 2009, Circular 649 defined e-money and noted that e-money issuers could be banks, nonbank financial institutions supervised by the BSP, and “non-bank institutions registered with the BSP as a money transfer agent.” E-money is not considered a deposit and cannot bear interest. By October 2012, the BSP had opened micro-banking offices permitted under Circular 649. In 2010, Circular 704 allowed “linkage of banks with e-money issuers,” affirmed that e-money issuers could be either bank or nonbank entities, and promoted the development of an agent network.
Financial inclusion updates

In July 2015, the BSP launched a national financial inclusion strategy. That same month, the World Bank published a report detailing takeaways from a survey on financial capability and inclusion in the Philippines, conducted between February and September 2014. Among other findings, the survey noted that of the 20 million Filipino adults who reported saving money, only 10 million had bank accounts. Therefore, there are considerable untapped opportunities to introduce formal financial savings to many consumers.

A country diagnostic of the Philippines conducted by the Better Than Cash Alliance, published in July 2015, highlighted the need for a cooperative solution to improve the retail payments environment. The study found that only one percent of all payments were made electronically, which indicates a significant opportunity gap given that Filipinos make about 2.5 billion payments per month, equaling about USD 74 billion. Several months later, the BSP and other industry stakeholders launched the National Retail Payment System (NRPS) Framework. The NRPS provides principles for the governance of a safe and efficient electronic retail payment system by promoting interoperability among payment participants to ensure that electronically-accessible payment products and services are processed in an efficient and cost-effective manner. The model is design to promote market-based pricing and competition.

In terms of national data collection and dissemination, the latest issue of the BSP’s quarterly publication on financial inclusion in the Philippines available as of March 2016 was the “1st Quarter 2015” report, which examined the insurance landscape in the Philippines. The BSP also published its year-end “Financial Inclusion Initiatives 2015” report (conducted in the first quarter of 2015), which provided findings from the National Baseline Survey on Financial Inclusion (NBSFI). The NBSFI is the “first nationally representative survey of Filipino adults dedicated to collecting financial inclusion data from the perspective of the actual and potential users of financial products and services (i.e., demand side).” The survey found that while most Filipino adults were aware of banks, pawnshops, and ATMs, only about 26 percent were familiar with electronic money agents.

With respect to the regulatory environment for financial services, in January 2016, the BSP expanded the scope of permitted activities (specifically, full account opening) in micro-banking offices to facilitate greater access to financial services among underserved populations. In February 2016, the BSP lifted a 1999 moratorium on the approval of licenses for new financial institutions, which will be implemented over a two-year transition period. Incentives and exemptions to certain fees and other restrictions will be provided to entities that intend to open establishments in underserved areas. This initiative should help augment the Philippines’ supply-side financial services landscape.

Finally, as of April 2016 the BSP had drafted a measure to formally institutionalize a Financial Inclusion Steering Committee. The role of the committee will be to help facilitate implementation of the national financial inclusion strategy.

Moving forward

The findings of the 2015 NBSFI suggest a need to foster greater awareness of digital financial services among marginalized groups. Further efforts to promote financial literacy are also needed to advance sustainable financial inclusion in the Philippines. Formalization of the Financial Inclusion Steering Committee should help facilitate a coordinated and effective implementation of the country’s new financial inclusion strategy, which should in turn advance financial literacy initiatives and other financial inclusion-related efforts.

In terms of digital payments infrastructure, implementation of the interoperability agreement between digital payments mobile app PayMaya Philippines (the digital financial services arm of the Philippine Long Distance Telephone Company, or PLDT, and Smart Communications, Inc.) and Globe Telecom’s mobile money service GCash should help boost convenience and utility within the digital ecosystem. The partnership will permit
domestic remittances and enable merchant payments, bulk payments, government-to-person payments, and person-to-government payments moving forward. The NRPS is expected to help make sending, receiving, or transferring funds more cost-effective for providers and consumers more generally.

Finally, the BSP is working with entities such as the Department of Social Welfare and Development, the Department of Budget and Management, and the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs to identify pathways for cash transfers to be delivered through electronic channels.

See Philippines endnotes on page 145
RWANDA

OVERALL SCORE 76%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 94%
- Mobile capacity: 83%
- Regulatory environment: 100%
- Adoption: 50%

GDP (billion USD)
$8

Adult population (millions)
7

Unique mobile subscribership
52%

Financial account ownership among adults
42%

Financial account ownership among women
35%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Joined the Better Than Cash Alliance in 2014
- Reduced financial exclusion among adults age 16 and older about 17 percentage points between 2012 and 2016, according to a 2016 FinScope survey
- Implemented mobile money interoperability in 2015

Next steps
- Produce a comprehensive financial consumer protection framework
- Implement the proposed national interoperability switch to facilitate convenience and efficiency for consumers and providers
Rwanda

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Significant developments within Rwanda’s mobile sector, including the implementation of interoperable mobile money platforms, combined with the expansion of community savings and credit cooperatives (particularly Umurenge SACCOS), agent banking locations, and mobile money outlets, have helped boost financial inclusion in Rwanda. Rwanda has demonstrated strong commitment to promoting financial inclusion, particularly through digital mechanisms; indeed, the government has stated an objective to “digitize everything” by June 2016. Moreover, the government of Rwanda is a member of the Better Than Cash Alliance. Findings from the 2016 FinScope survey indicated that financial exclusion among adults age 16 and older dropped by 17 percentage points between 2012 and 2016. While significant progress has been made, opportunities for advancing financial capability and improving mobile capacity remain.

Regarding national recognition of the importance of inclusive finance, the Rwandan Financial Sector Development Program (FSDP) was launched in 2006 as one of the “key components of the implementation” of the Vision 2020 Economic Development and Poverty Reduction Strategy of Rwanda. The FSDP has developed action plans for strengthening financial inclusion, financial education, and financial literacy. Among the program’s objectives are “to enhance access and affordability of financial services” and to “develop an appropriate policy, legal, and regulatory framework for nonbank financial institutions.”

According to the National Bank of Rwanda (NBR), as of 2012, access to financial services had grown from 47 to 72 percent of the population since the adoption of the FSDP in 2008, with 416 Umurenge SACCOS established, four microfinance institutions developed into microfinance banks, and new bank service locations increased by almost 60 percent to 110 new locations. SACCOS have been instrumental in promoting access to formal financial services in Rwanda, with almost 25 percent of Rwandans age 18 and older members of these entities as of 2014.

In 2011, the NBR committed to the Maya Declaration, and in September 2013, the second phase of the FSDP was approved by the Cabinet. Phase II of the FSDP contained an “Action Plan for Financial Inclusion,” with a target of reaching 80 percent financial inclusion (including for both men and women) by 2017 and 90 percent by 2020.

As part of its national financial inclusion strategy, Rwanda instituted a national financial inclusion task force to coordinate financial inclusion initiatives. By 2014, a national financial education strategy had been adopted by Rwanda’s cabinet, and the financial sector development working group had been tasked with coordinating implementation of the strategy. With respect to consumer protection, the Economist Intelligence Unit noted that the NBR has since completed a diagnostic study of the financial consumer protection environment in Rwanda, which will be incorporated into draft legislation.

Rwanda has experienced tremendous growth in the use of electronic and mobile payments. The country’s regulatory environment has been identified as one of the drivers of enhanced digital financial inclusion, as it enables various entities (including bank and nonbank formal providers) to offer mobile financial services. Rwanda’s FSDP noted that in considering the country’s regulatory approach to innovative financial services, it was “helpful to focus on the nature of the products and services offered rather than on institutions.”

Agent banking is permitted in Rwanda, and both mobile operator-led and bank-led mobile financial
services are permissible models in Rwanda, subject to licensing by the NBR. Banking agents can accept deposits, conduct cash-out services, and process a few transactions. Although nonbank agents originally could conduct only cash-in/cash-out operations, the list of permissible activities has expanded over time. For example, Rwanda’s FDSP notes that e-money agents are permitted to provide cash-in/cash-out services and to conduct account opening.

In part due to the fact that about 90 percent of the population has a form of ID needed to register for a formal financial account, account opening processes are fairly straightforward for customers. An InterMedia survey highlighted tremendous growth in mobile money services in particular: One in five adults in Rwanda age 15 and older was an active mobile money user as of February 2015, about double the percentage of active bank users. Awareness is high for both the concept of mobile money (79 percent) and the major mobile money brands.

However, room for growth in terms of mobile money access and usage remains. For example, there is about a 26 percentage point gap between people who own a mobile phone and people who actively use mobile money services. Moreover, disparities in mobile phone ownership disproportionately affect women, those living below the poverty line, and rural residents, as illustrated in part by the fact that there is about a 21 percentage point gap in handset ownership among men and women.

Financial inclusion updates

One of the major advancements in Rwanda’s digital financial ecosystem over the previous year was the implementation of interoperable mobile money services in 2015. In fall 2015, Airtel Rwanda and Tigo Rwanda announced a partnership to pilot interoperability between their respective Airtel Money and Tigo Cash services. The arrangement rendered Rwanda only the second country in Africa to implement mobile money platform interoperability.

Moreover, MTN Rwanda has advanced cross-border remittance services in both Uganda and Kenya. In October 2015, MTN Rwanda announced a partnership with Safaricom in Kenya to enable MTN Mobile Money and M-PESA users to send and receive funds across borders. Previously, in September 2015, MTN Rwanda introduced cross-border transactions between MTN Rwanda and MTN Uganda. These and other payment services reflect a major demand in Rwanda: The 2016 FinScope survey found that about 57 percent of mobile money users began using the channel in order to remit money.

In March 2016, the results of the 2016 FinScope Rwanda survey were released. As noted previously, the survey found that financial exclusion had been reduced about 17 percentage points between 2012 and 2016, driven in large part by an increase in “formally served” individuals (i.e., those who have or use a product or service from a formal financial institution). The FinScope findings supported the conclusions of the 2015 InterMedia survey with respect to the role of mobile money in promoting adoption of formal accounts. While mobile money dormancy rates were low (about 5 percent), about one in four adults used their mobile money accounts monthly, suggesting possible opportunities to amplify usage.

In May 2016, the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning and Ericsson Group signed an agreement for the launch of a national interoperability switch during the World Economic Forum on Africa. The switch will be based on the Ericsson M-Commerce Interconnect platform. The planned Rwanda Interoperability Solution will connect diverse financial service providers within the country and permit users to engage in a range of digital payments across all financial platforms and service providers in real time.

Moving forward

In terms of financial infrastructure, the national interoperability switch is expected to be operational by early 2017. This switch should help advance adoption of digital financial services from a broad array of financial entities by enhancing convenience and efficiency.
Another component of improving adoption is enhancing awareness and understanding of formal financial services. While the 2015 InterMedia survey found that trust in banks and mobile money providers was generally quite strong, consumer awareness and understanding of financial services warrants strengthening in order to fully capitalize on the benefits of digital financial services.45 46

Finally, infrastructure improvements are needed to build trust and convenience regarding usage of mobile money services, as technical issues such as network downtime were at the top of the list of non-agent related complaints surrounding mobile money services, according to the 2015 InterMedia survey findings.47

See Rwanda endnotes on page 146
SOUTH AFRICA

OVERALL SCORE  78%

DIMENSION SCORES
Country commitment  83%
Mobile capacity  94%
Regulatory environment  67%
Adoption  72%

GDP (billion USD)
Adult population (millions)
Unique mobile subscribership
Financial account ownership among adults
Financial account ownership among women

$350  36  67%  70%  70%

Formal commitment milestone
• Joined the Alliance for Financial Inclusion in 2010

Selected financial inclusion highlights
• Placed in the top-five of the overall 2016 FDIP scorecard
• Tied for the highest mobile capacity score among the 2016 FDIP countries
• Considering a draft national financial inclusion strategy and policy

Next steps
• Monitor the rise in unsecured lending and consider how to best mitigate the risk of over-indebtedness
• Ensure any modifications to anti-money laundering/combating the financing of terrorism guidelines and policies reflect a risk-proportionate approach
South Africa

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

South Africa’s strong performance on the 2016 FDIP scorecard is primarily driven by its strong mobile capacity levels and high levels of formal financial account ownership relative to other FDIP countries. As of 2014, about 70 percent of adults age 15 and older in South Africa had an account with a financial institution or mobile money provider,6 and a recent survey by the FinMark Trust found that about 77 percent of adults age 16 and older had bank products in 2015.7 Yet despite high mobile phone usage, takeup of mobile money in South Africa has been significantly lower than in other African countries.8 Regulatory constraints and challenges regarding distribution and marketing have been cited as contributing to low levels of mobile money adoption.9

Although South Africa does not have an explicit financial inclusion strategy and is not a signatory of the Maya Declaration, financial inclusion has been recognized by South Africa’s government as a key objective.10 South Africa’s National Treasury is the primary government entity responsible for advancing and coordinating financial inclusion initiatives.11 The National Treasury represents South Africa in the G20 Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion and as a principal member of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion.12 13

Moreover, South Africa’s Financial Sector Charter was formalized in 2004 to serve as a “social pact between government, labor, organized civil society, and the financial services sector to both transform the sector and for the sector to play a quantifiable and meaningful role in steering the use of financial services towards specific developmental objectives.”14 The charter was replaced with the Financial Sector Code in 2012.15 A 2011 policy document by the National Treasury titled “A safer financial sector to serve South Africa better” identified expanding access through financial inclusion as a key priority area, as well as related issues such as building comprehensive consumer protection solutions.16

South Africa has already made progress toward its quantifiable targets regarding financial access. For example, the country met its original Financial Sector Charter goals of having at least 80 percent of its target market (Living Standards Measure 1-5)17 be within 20 km of a service point (either branch or ATM), and at least 80 percent of the target market within 20 km of a transaction point (i.e., a non-ATM location where an electronic transaction can be performed). Once these goals were achieved, the targets were modified to 15 km for service points and 10 km for transaction points.18 Additionally, South Africa’s National Development Plan “targets an increase in the share of the population with access to transactional banking services and savings facilities from 63 percent in 2011 to 90 percent in 2030.”19

As of 2015, South Africa was considering a draft national-level financial inclusion policy, a possible financial inclusion forum, and a national financial inclusion strategy.20 These targeted financial inclusion initiatives are expected to build upon the financial access themes established in the policy documents described above.

In terms of physical banking infrastructure, South Africa has a fairly robust banking infrastructure relative to other FDIP countries: There were about 11 commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults and about 66 ATMs per 100,000 adults as of 2014.21 Yet according to the 2015 FinScope South Africa survey, about 16 percent of adults in South Africa are still not formally served (i.e., they do not have a bank account or other formal nonbank products or services).22 Thus, there are still considerable opportunities for expanding financial inclusion.

Regarding regulations surrounding branchless banking initiatives, banks are permitted to use third-party entities to offer banking services on their behalf (i.e., agent banking).23 Banks are responsible for all regulatory and compliance components of the arrangements.24
respect to issuing e-money, South Africa follows a bank-led model in which organizations must have a banking license or partner with a bank in order to provide mobile financial services.25 Providing opportunities for nonbanks to strengthen their roles in the financial ecosystem could enhance competition within the market and drive greater adoption of formal financial services.26

Financial inclusion updates

The 2015 FinScope survey found that South Africa’s banked population experienced a 2 percentage point increase between 2014 and 2015, while the percentage of adults who relied only on informal financial mechanisms declined from 6 percent in 2014 to 3.4 percent in 2015.27 The study found that the high level of “thinly served” customers among the financially included population was driven by low usage of digital payments—in other words, these customers did not leverage digital payments to “save on transactional cost, time, transport costs, and queuing time.”28

On the regulatory side, South Africa’s Financial Intelligence Centre Amendment Bill 2015 proposes to shift know-your-customer responsibility to financial institutions and does not permit any exemptions or thresholds. The bill would presumably supersede Exemption 17 (discussed in the 2015 FDIP report) and other exemptions (e.g., a cross-border transaction exemption) for certain know-your-customer requirements with respect to low-risk, low-cost financial services.29 Elements of the bill are currently being redrafted following parliamentary hearings.30

In May 2016, Vodacom M-PESA’s service was shut down in South Africa after having gained far fewer than expected clients (about 76,000 active users) since its launch in 2010.31 Possible reasons that have been cited for the limited takeup of the service include a partnership arrangement with a bank that was perceived by customers to serve mostly middle- and high-income individuals and the fact that banking infrastructure in South Africa is sufficiently widespread to crowd out mobile money services in some cases.32

Moving forward

The 2015 FinScope survey highlighted considerable growth in unsecured lending, primarily used for short term purposes.33 The risk of over-indebtedness is a challenge that must be carefully assessed by financial leaders in South Africa moving forward to ensure financial stability and consumer protection. Moreover, the survey found that optimization of digital financial services was limited, indicating that better product design and awareness-building are vital to promoting full digital financial inclusion.34

In terms of country commitment, the government of South Africa should move forward with the finalization and adoption of its draft financial inclusion strategy and ensure effective implementation of the strategy through the designation of dedicated financial inclusion champions.

Finally, any changes to South Africa’s anti-money laundering/combating the financing of terrorism regime should maintain the principle of proportionality in order to prevent under-resourced individuals who engage in low-value, low-risk transactions from being excluded from the formal financial services sector.

See South Africa endnotes on page 147
TANZANIA

OVERALL SCORE 68%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 94%
- Mobile capacity: 72%
- Regulatory environment: 89%
- Adoption: 42%

GDP (billion USD)
- $48

Adult population (millions)
- 28

Unique mobile subscribership
- 44%

Financial account ownership among adults
- 40%

Financial account ownership among women
- 34%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Instituted the National Financial Inclusion Framework in 2013
- Launched mobile money interoperability across mobile network operators’ platforms in 2014
- Updated national financial inclusion target to 80 percent of adults using a financial access point by 2017, given that Tanzania surpassed its initial goal of 50 percent access

Next steps
- Develop comprehensive national financial consumer protection framework
- Implement financial education and capability initiatives as part of the new National Financial Education Framework
Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Tanzania has experienced vigorous growth in financial inclusion, surpassing its 2015 target of achieving financial access for 50 percent of the population. This increase in financial inclusion has largely been attributed to the success of mobile money in the country, with Tanzania being among 19 markets globally that had more mobile money accounts than bank accounts in 2015. Robust competition within Tanzania’s digital financial services market, combined with an interoperable mobile money market platform and strong commitment to advancing financial inclusion, have contributed to adoption of digital financial services.

In terms of country commitment, the Bank of Tanzania serves as the country’s Maya Declaration signatory. It committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011. The country’s National Financial Inclusion Framework (NFIF), instituted in 2013, set a number of targets related to access, usage, range, and quality of financial services. The Bank of Tanzania implements the NFIF with the support of peers in the Alliance for Financial Inclusion network.

Among the NFIF’s measurable targets were having 25 percent of people within 5 km of a financial access point by 2016 and ensuring 50 percent of Tanzanians had access to financial services by 2015. As of 2014, Tanzania had already exceeded its initial goals and consequently developed a new target of financial access among 75 percent of the population within the following six years. In February 2016, the target was again reassessed, with a new target of 80 percent of adults using a financial access point and 70 percent of the population living within 5 km of a financial access point by 2017.

With respect to Tanzania’s regulatory environment, in 2006 the Bank of Tanzania amended the Bank of Tanzania Act to enable it to regulate nonbank entities offering payment services. The 2007Electronic Payment Schemes Guidelines were leveraged to permit mobile network operators (MNOs) to provide payment services. In 2008, the Bank of Tanzania issued “letters of no objection” to partner banks of MNOs that sought to offer mobile money solutions, allowing MNOs to offer innovative products while still including banks closely in the arrangement. In 2013, agent banking guidelines were issued by the Bank of Tanzania.

Financial inclusion updates

On the regulatory side, in March 2015 the parliament of Tanzania passed the National Payment Systems Act, which carves out a more clearly defined role for the Bank of Tanzania with respect to mobile financial services regulation. The Act went into effect in October 2015. In November 2015, Mobile Money Regulations were enacted; these regulations are planned to be operational in July 2016. As noted previously, Tanzania’s quantifiable financial inclusion targets were updated in February 2016, with a key goal of having 80 percent of the adult population using a financial access point by 2017.

Recent interoperability agreements and the introduction of new digital financial service offerings should continue to promote growth in the mobile money sector. In February 2016, Vodacom Tanzania joined Airtel, Tigo, and Zantel in a mobile money interoperability agreement across their mobile networks. Previously, Tigo and Airtel had launched a wallet to wallet service in September 2014, with Tigo and Zantel implementing a service in December 2014. Thus, all four operators in Tanzania are now interoperable. Additionally, both Airtel and Vodacom have started to pay customers “interest” on mobile money balances, following in the steps of Tigo. Finally, in March 2016 Tigo launched a mobile credit product called Nivushe. With the introduction of this product, all of the largest MNOs in the
country have at least one mobile financial product in the market. For example, Vodacom offers the MPawa savings and loan product, and Airtel offers the Timiza short-term credit service.

In February 2016, the National Financial Education Framework 2016-2020 was launched by the Bank of Tanzania and the Financial Sector Deepening Trust. The new framework focuses on improving financial literacy and consumer protection and was launched as part of a public-private partnership consisting of the National Council for Financial Inclusion, the National Financial Education Secretariat, various interest groups, and the National Financial Education Technical Committee. The framework is aimed at improving financial capability among consumers in an effort to promote sustainable financial inclusion.

Moving forward

A 2016 GSMA report highlighted a number of challenges with respect to mobile-related costs in Tanzania. The report pointed out that mobile is one of the most heavily taxed sectors in Tanzania, accounting for more than a third of the cost of mobile ownership. Thus, regulators and industry leaders should consider the issue of taxation on mobile handsets and services in light of promoting access to digital financial mechanisms. On the demand-side, implementation of the relevant provisions of the National Financial Education Framework should contribute to enhanced financial capability among consumers in the medium- to long-term.

See Tanzania endnotes on page 148
TURKEY

OVERALL SCORE 72%

DIMENSION SCORES

Country commitment 89%
Mobile capacity 78%
Regulatory environment 67%
Adoption 64%

GDP (billion USD) $798
Adult population (millions) 51
Unique mobile subscribership 44%
Financial account ownership among adults 57%
Financial account ownership among women 44%

Formal commitment milestone
• Joined the Alliance for Financial Inclusion in 2013

Selected financial inclusion highlights
• Developed a new consumer protection law in 2013
• Launched a national financial inclusion strategy in 2014
• Recognized by the Alliance for Financial Inclusion and Women’s World Banking’s March 2016 report on “Policy Frameworks to Support Women’s Financial Inclusion” for including a focus on women within its comprehensive financial literacy program

Next steps
• Establish agent banking guidelines to facilitate greater distribution of financial access points in underserved areas
• Consider developing an action plan to complement and drive the implementation of the principles within the national financial inclusion strategy
Turkey

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

The passage of Turkey’s Law on Payment and Securities Settlement Systems, Payment Services and Electronic Money Institutions in 2013 and associated Regulation on Payment Services, Electronic Money Issuance, Payment Institutions, and Electronic Money Institutions in 2014 reflect the government’s recognition of the value of digital financial services. However, opportunities for improvement remain with respect to fully implementing regulations surrounding electronic money (e-money), expanding Turkey’s financial infrastructure into rural and otherwise underserved areas, and operationalizing Turkey’s financial inclusion commitments.

In terms of national-level commitment to promoting financial inclusion, Turkey committed to the G20’s Financial Inclusion Peer Learning Program during the G20 Los Cabos Summit in 2012. Turkey has not made specific commitments under the Maya Declaration on Financial Inclusion, but the Undersecretariat of Treasury joined the Alliance for Financial Inclusion as a principal member in November 2013.

Another relevant financial entity is the Financial Stability Committee (FSC), which was established under Decree Law No. 637, Article 38 of June 2011. The FSC is headed by the deputy prime minister for economic and financial affairs. Other members of the FSC include the undersecretary of treasury, the governor of the central bank, and the heads of the Banking Regulation and Supervision Agency, Capital Markets Board, and Savings Deposit Insurance Fund.

In June 2014, Turkey published its national financial inclusion strategy, Circular No. 2014/10 on “Financial Access, Financial Education, Financial Consumer Protection Strategy and Action Plans.” The Undersecretariat of Treasury, as the secretariat for the FSC, is responsible for monitoring the implementation of the strategy. The strategy identifies more than 50 actions relating to financial education and consumer protection and highlights the objective of advancing financial access. The strategy links the need for greater financial education with Turkey’s long-term macroeconomic goals. For example, enhancing financial education is expected to increase savings rates domestically by promoting healthy financial behaviors. While the strategy serves the important function of identifying issues central to financial inclusion, a more detailed plan regarding implementation of these objectives could help operationalize the goal of increasing access to financial services.

On the regulatory side, Turkey developed a new Consumer Protection Law in 2013. Under the legal and regulatory provisions mentioned previously regarding e-money, agent banking is not permitted, and e-money institutions are prohibited from offering credit. However, the legislation permits banks, e-money institutions, and payment institutions to issue e-money. While e-money institutions cannot accept deposits or grant interest, they can process cash payments, withdrawals, remittances, and utility bill payments. Turkey’s Banking Regulation and Supervision Agency requires nonbanking financial service providers to apply for operating licenses. Mobile network operators are permitted to use subsidiaries under their control to issue e-money but may not issue e-money directly.

Although the electronic payment law technically permits a variety of entities to serve as e-money institutions, some financial inclusion experts have cautioned that the eligibility requirements for e-money institutions—including having capital of at least TRY 5 million (about USD 1.7 million)—might preclude some non-traditional financial service providers from entering the market.
Financial inclusion updates

On the regulatory front, the deadline for prospective payment or e-money institutions to comply with requirements established under the Law on Payment and Securities Settlement Systems, Payment Services and Electronic Money Institutions and apply for status as e-money institutions was June 27, 2015.\(^{28} \text{29}\) As of August 2015, about 30 institutions had applied for e-money licenses, but no licenses had yet been awarded.\(^{30}\)

Political instability and conflict in countries such as Syria have affected Turkey, which had the greatest number of refugees of any country worldwide for the second consecutive year. With about 2.5 million refugees, Turkey faces unique challenges among the FDIP countries with respect to ensuring these individuals are able to access the services (e.g., international remittances) they need to support themselves and their families.\(^{31}\)

With respect to Turkey’s national commitment to promoting inclusive finance, the Alliance for Financial Inclusion and Women’s World Banking recognized Turkey’s comprehensive financial literacy program, which includes a focus on women, in their March 2016 report on “Policy Frameworks to Support Women’s Financial Inclusion.”\(^{32}\)

Moving forward

Policymakers and regulators should consider developing and implementing agent banking legislation that would enable banks to formally contract diverse entities as agents in order to further expand the distribution network for financial services. At the macroeconomic level, Turkey should monitor growth in loan usage and credit card usage, particularly given fairly low formal savings rates, in order to mitigate the risk of over-indebtedness.\(^{33}\) Finally, over the coming year, we will follow developments in Turkey’s financial landscape regarding approval of licenses for e-money institutions and monitor its response to the unique needs of refugee and migrant populations within the country.

---

See Turkey endnotes on page 150
UGANDA

OVERALL SCORE 78%

DIMENSION SCORES
- Country commitment: 100%
- Mobile capacity: 78%
- Regulatory environment: 94%
- Adoption: 58%

- GDP (billion USD): $27
- Adult population (millions): 19
- Unique mobile subscribership: 46%
- Financial account ownership among adults: 44%
- Financial account ownership among women: 37%

Formal commitment milestone
- Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights
- Established an updated target developed under the Sasana Accord to increase the percentage of the adult population that is considered formally financially included from 54 percent as of 2013 to at least 70 percent by 2017
- Created a joint working group on Mobile Money Financial Services and issued mobile money guidelines in October 2013
- Passed and approved amendments to the 2004 Financial Institutions Act in January 2016, which enabled the formalization of agent banking

Next steps
- Develop a comprehensive, formal regulatory framework for mobile money
- Strengthen oversight of financial sector to mitigate the risk of fraud and promote consumer confidence
Uganda

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Recent regulatory efforts to promote the extension of financial services through agents should help drive further expansion of formal financial services in Uganda, which has already benefited from the proliferation of mobile money. To date, mobile money has experienced strong takeup in Uganda, which featured the second-highest level of mobile money account ownership among the FDIP countries as of 2014. Indeed, mobile money has served as the primary driver of financial inclusion in Uganda: An InterMedia survey conducted from July to August 2015 found that about 40 percent of Ugandan adults age 15 and older were financially included, with 35 percent of adults holding registered mobile money accounts. However, confidence in these services may have been affected by a shutdown of mobile money services that occurred during the 2016 presidential and primary elections. Recent instances of fraud may also affect trust in formal financial institutions.

Nonetheless, Uganda has made a number of concrete commitments to advancing financial inclusion. In 2011, the Bank of Uganda became a signatory of the Maya Declaration. The Bank of Uganda has made a number of commitments under this declaration, including an updated target developed under the Sasana Accord to increase the percentage of the adult population (age 16 and older) that is considered formally financially included from 54 percent as of 2013 to at least 70 percent by 2017. Other major government players in Uganda’s financial services sector include the Ministry of Finance, the Uganda Communications Commission, and the Uganda Revenue Authority.

Uganda also demonstrated its commitment to promoting financial inclusion by creating a joint working group on Mobile Money Financial Services between the Bank of Uganda and the Uganda Communications Commission and by developing guidelines on mobile money services. Moreover, a national-level Financial Inclusion Project was launched in 2012 “to increase access to financial services and empower the users of financial services to make rational decisions in their personal finances so as to contribute to economic growth.” The initiative was built upon four pillars: financial literacy, financial consumer protection, financial innovations, and financial services data and measurement. The project was initially slated to run from 2012 to 2015.

With respect to the mobile ecosystem, a number of challenges have persisted despite growing adoption of mobile money, including mobile network issues and a lack of interoperability across mobile money services. Demographic disparities are also evident: While Uganda featured higher levels of mobile money adoption across various demographic segments than nearly all other FDIP countries, gender and income gaps remain in terms of account ownership. About 21 percent of low-income adults and 29 percent of women held mobile money accounts as of 2014, compared with 35 percent of all adults in Uganda.

Government entities in Uganda have engaged in a number of regulatory efforts to advance financial inclusion. For example, the Bank of Uganda distributed Financial Consumer Protection Guidelines to the public in 2011, led workshops on financial literacy and consumer protection, and developed a draft “Key Facts Document” designed to standardize informational templates for savings and loan products that was launched in April 2015.

In October 2013, the Bank of Uganda issued Mobile Money Guidelines, which included a non-exclusivity clause for mobile money agents. The guidelines were developed by the Bank of Uganda, with the input of the Uganda Communications Commission, mobile network operators (MNOs), supervised financial institutions, the National Information Technology Authority, the Uganda National Bureau of Standards, and other
key stakeholders. The guidelines permit MNOs to act as primary service providers, with banks working as partners to manage anti-money laundering efforts and manage the financial aspects of the services. However, these guidelines are not binding in the same manner as formal regulation.

Financial inclusion updates

One major development in Uganda since the 2015 FDIP report was the formal institution of a legal basis for agent banking. In January 2016, the Parliament of Uganda passed amendments to the 2004 Financial Institutions Act (FIA), which enabled the introduction of Islamic banking, Bancassurance, and agent banking into the financial services market. The approval of agent banking is expected to help facilitate the expansion of financial service access points into rural areas. Moreover, the FIA empowers the central bank to establish more than one credit reference bureau, which should promote competition within this sector. This competition could possibly lead to lower costs for consumers and facilitate the design of more affordable micro and small loans.

On the subject of credit, interest rates on loans may create barriers to financial inclusion and shift some customers to unregulated financial service providers that may have insufficient consumer protection provisions. A recent Moody’s analytic report stated that lending rates in Uganda (24.6 percent as of December 2015) “adversely affect borrowers’ debt repayment capacity by increasing their repayment amounts.”

Uganda’s National Identification and Registration Authority (NIRA), is implementing Uganda’s national ID initiative, which has been credited with helping to increase mobile money subscription. According to an in-country expert, registration for IDs has been shifted to the district level, which will help increase access to registration for those who were left out of the initial registration phase prior to the national elections. The government is strongly promoting the national ID initiative: For example, a recent government directive indicated that public servants who did not have national identity cards by July 1, 2016 would have their names removed from the government payroll.

A problematic development for the mobile money sector was that for nearly four days surrounding the election period in Uganda in February 2016, millions of mobile money users were unable to access mobile money services following a shutdown order from the Uganda Communications Commission. Telecommunications companies such as Airtel Uganda, which experiences “around 650,000 unique users per day and processes around 30 billion Ugandan shillings (USD 8.8 million) in transactions” via its mobile money platform, lost significant revenue during the shutdown (in Airtel Uganda’s case, thousands of dollars), and many of the more than six million mobile money account holders were (to say the least) inconvenienced. Following the elections, the government was sued by a non-governmental organization in connection with the shutdown.

Moving forward

Efforts to reduce fraud are vital for ensuring financial stability and consumer confidence. Several high-profile incidents of fraud may disrupt trust in Uganda’s formal financial sector. For example, in 2011, (now former) employees of MTN allegedly colluded to defraud the company of over 3 million dollars. Other reports indicate that since June 2015 funds have been fraudulently wired through Uganda’s central bank to locations such as Hong Kong and the United Arab Emirates, allegedly by government officials and hackers in Uganda. The latest incident was the transfer of SH 800 million (about USD 8 million) in February 2016. Strengthening oversight of these systems is critical to mitigating the risk of fraud and maintaining financial stability. On the digital financial services regulatory front, developing a specific, comprehensive regulatory framework for mobile money and issuing agent banking regulations could help provide clarity for providers and encourage greater participation within the financial markets.

See Uganda endnotes on page 151
VIETNAM

OVERALL SCORE
61%

DIMENSION SCORES
Country commitment 61%
Mobile capacity 78%
Regulatory environment 67%
Adoption 50%

GDP (billion USD) $186
Adult population (millions) 64
Unique mobile subscribership 79%
Financial account ownership among adults 31%
Financial account ownership among women 32%

Formal commitment milestone
• No specific national financial inclusion policy or multinational financial inclusion network membership

Selected financial inclusion highlights
• Published a national microfinance development strategy in 2011
• Granted trial licenses for mobile wallet initiatives in December 2014
• Exhibits robust unique mobile subscribership and 3G coverage rates

Next steps
• Amplify marketing efforts surrounding mobile money services to improve awareness among consumers
• Participate in multinational financial inclusion knowledge-sharing networks and develop a national financial inclusion strategy
Vietnam

Overview

Vietnam’s increasingly robust information technology infrastructure and strong mobile penetration levels, coupled with a fairly advanced national ID system,\(^6\) serve as enabling conditions for enhanced financial inclusion within the country. Given that only about 31 percent of adults age 15 and older in Vietnam had an account with a financial institution or mobile money provider as of 2014, according to the World Bank’s Global Financial Inclusion (Global Findex) database, considerable opportunities remain to promote greater access to and usage of formal financial services among Vietnam’s underserved population.\(^7\)

Vietnam’s regulatory capacity and the distribution of financial access points across underserved (predominantly rural) locations must be strengthened to advance sustainable financial inclusion. Facilitating enabling regulation related to branchless banking initiatives, enhancing engagement with international financial inclusion entities, developing a national financial inclusion strategy, and designating a dedicated body to facilitate coordination of financial inclusion stakeholders could help Vietnam reach greater levels of financial inclusion by promoting the entry of diverse providers into the digital financial services market and strengthening knowledge-sharing surrounding financial inclusion initiatives.

Access and usage

Banking landscape

The 2014 Global Findex found that less than one-third of adults in Vietnam had an account with a bank or other financial institution as of 2014.\(^8\) While Vietnam’s level of financial institution account penetration as of 2014 constituted about a 10 percentage point increase from the 2011 Global Findex findings, the figure was significantly lower than the average financial institution account penetration of East Asia and Pacific countries, which was about 69 percent as of 2014.\(^9\) Rates of account ownership between men and women in Vietnam were roughly comparable as of 2014, with about 32 percent of women in Vietnam holding an account with a bank or other financial institution as of 2014, compared with about 30 percent of men.\(^10\)

Among the 2016 FDIP countries in Southeast Asia, Central Asia, and the Middle East, Indonesia and the Philippines were the only two other countries besides Vietnam where higher percentages of women than men were account holders at a bank or other financial institution. The level of account ownership among adults in the bottom 40 percent of the income spectrum was considerably lower than among men or women, at about 19 percent.\(^11\)

According to the 2014 Global Findex, ownership of debit cards in Vietnam was below the regional average: About 27 percent of adults in Vietnam had a debit card in 2014, compared with 43 percent in East Asia and Pacific countries overall.\(^12\) The disparity between ownership of debit cards and usage of those cards was substantial: Only 3 percent of adults had used a debit card to make payments within the previous year as of 2014.\(^13\) Even fewer (1.2 percent) had used a credit card to make payments within the previous year.\(^14\) According to a MasterCard survey conducted from the fourth quarter of 2013 through the first quarter of 2014, trust in local banks was at over 60 percent in Vietnam, while trust levels for multinational banks and global payment card brands were generally lower.\(^15\)

As of 2014, the extent of saving at formal financial institutions within the previous year was low, at about 15 percent of adults in Vietnam.\(^16\) While this figure had nearly doubled from 2011, it was still significantly below the average for other countries in the East Asia and Pacific region (37 percent).\(^17\) The percentage of adults who borrowed from a formal financial institution within the previous 12 months as of 2014 exceeded the percentage of adults
who saved at them within that time frame by about 3 percentage points. Informal methods of borrowing were far more prevalent, with about 30 percent of adults borrowing from family or friends within the previous year as of 2014. 18

With respect to supply-side data, Vietnam had about four commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults in 2014, according to the International Monetary Fund’s 2015 Financial Access Survey. 19 This penetration level was lower than that of other FDIP countries in Southeast Asia—the Philippines had about nine commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults in 2014, while Indonesia had about 11 commercial bank branches per 100,000 adults. 20 In terms of ATM penetration, Vietnam had about 24 ATMs per 100,000 adults in 2014, which was comparable to ATM density in the Philippines but lower than in Indonesia, which featured about 50 ATMs per 100,000 adults in 2014. 21

Vietnam is also home to an important example of a private sector solution called iCareBenefits that, while not part of the traditional banking landscape, is providing a mechanism for access to finance for millions of factory workers. 22 iCareBenefits partners with companies to enable workers to easily finance the purchase of products such as smartphones, home appliances, and mobile-top up time. Due to the spread between the wholesale costs and retail prices of the goods it sells, iCareBenefits is able to offer workers access to financing at extremely low (often zero) interest rates. This enables workers not only to expand their purchasing power but also to build their credit history.

Mobile ecosystem
As of 2014, Vietnam boasted the second-highest rate of mobile subscriptions (147 subscriptions per 100 people) 23 among the 2016 FDIP country set. 24 Yet despite high mobile penetration levels, mobile money has not yet reached scale in Vietnam. As of 2014, only about 0.5 percent of all adults in Vietnam had used mobile money within the previous year, and an even lower percentage (0.2 percent) of low-income individuals had used mobile money within the previous year. Use of mobile money to receive wages was negligible, and the percentage of individuals who used a mobile phone to pay utility bills (among those who regularly paid utility bills) was about 0.2 percent. 25

However, while takeup of mobile money is not yet widespread in Vietnam, according to Manh Tuong Nguyen, Vice President of M-Service (the parent company of mobile money service MoMo), MoMo had experienced “30 to 50% growth per month in terms of transaction volume and user base” as of September 2015. 26 According to the GSMA Mobile Money Deployment Tracker, as of April 2016 MoMo was the only active mobile money deployment in Vietnam. 27 MoMo offered a variety of services, including bill payment and merchant payments, but did not offer international remittances. 28

Given the prevalence of transfers within Vietnam, there are significant opportunities for greater adoption of digital financial services such as mobile money. An Ericsson Consumer Insight Summary Report published in August 2014 noted that sending and receiving money were common in Vietnam, with 45 percent of surveyed consumers (including mobile phone users in urban and sub-rural areas) in Vietnam stating that they sent or received money. 29 These transfers were typically sent via a friend or a family member, as opposed to through mobile money transfer services. 30

Awareness of mobile money is considerably lower in Vietnam than in other Asian countries such as Bangladesh and Indonesia, with only 19 percent of surveyed consumers in Vietnam aware of mobile money, compared with 35 percent in Indonesia and about 100 percent in Bangladesh. 31 Amplifying and targeting advertising of mobile money services toward prospective users and extending financial capability efforts could help increase awareness and adoption rates.

Country commitment and regulatory environment
In contrast to the vast majority of FDIP countries, Vietnam is not a member of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion (AFI) and is not a signatory of the Maya Declaration. 32 33 While legislation pertaining to microfinance is in place—for example, the 2010 Law on Credit Institutions enabled licensed microfinance companies to provide deposit and credit services, 34 and Decision 2195/2011 established a path forward for Vietnam’s
microfinance system through 2020—the Economist Intelligence Unit noted in its “2015 Global Microscope” report that no specific government policy on financial inclusion exists in Vietnam. Enhanced engagement with financial inclusion-oriented learning networks and organizations could help promote knowledge-sharing and drive the development of specific financial inclusion commitments by Vietnam’s financial authorities.

In terms of branchless banking efforts, some progress has been made with respect to electronic money initiatives. For example, in December 2014 the State Bank of Vietnam (SBV) granted four trial licenses for mobile-wallet initiatives. However, these products primarily focused on banked customers; as noted above, only one mobile money deployment was available in Vietnam as of April 2016. Moreover, Vietnam’s current regulatory framework does not permit banking agents to operate on behalf of banks, constraining the potential expansion of access points for the underserved.

In terms of facilitating access to financial services, while the existence of Vietnam’s national ID should serve as an enabling condition, a December 2015 article stated that Vietnam’s requirement of face-to-face account opening posed a barrier to account access for many underserved individuals, particularly for Vietnam’s majority (67 percent in 2014) rural population. Instituting proportionate know-your-customer requirements could help mitigate this barrier—for example, by enabling individuals to open low-value accounts remotely.

Recent, publicly available data on adoption of financial services, particularly among the financially underserved, are limited in Vietnam, and no national survey of financial capability appears to have been conducted by Vietnam’s government to date. A 2014 International Finance Corporation (IFC) report noted that Visa had recently supported a survey on financial capability in 27 countries in which Vietnam ranked 25th on a series of questions pertaining to financial knowledge, perceptions, and behaviors among women. Thus, there is clearly space for enhanced financial education and capability initiatives in Vietnam, and a national financial inclusion strategy could help facilitate collaborative efforts toward achieving this objective.

On the topic of coordination, the 2014 IFC diagnostic found that government agencies still required greater collaboration to effectively safeguard consumer protection. The diagnostic also found that regulations did not directly target over-indebtedness, and there was limited information on credit among the low-income segment (although the Credit Information Center at the SBV had recently begun recording loans below about USD 2,500). While the report did not find significant signs of widespread over-indebtedness, the findings of the diagnostic reiterate the need for cooperation regarding consumer protection moving forward. Further, a 2015 diagnostic review of consumer protection and financial literacy in Vietnam by the World Bank noted that clear commitment to strengthening consumer protection was evident at the national level, but that Vietnam’s regulatory environment and capacity surrounding financial consumer protection (particularly with respect to coordination among regulators and supervisors) was still in the early stages of development.

In short, Vietnam is still in the nascent phases of building the institutional and regulatory capacity needed to effectively advance access to financial services. Improving coordination among government entities in Vietnam will serve as an important prerequisite to building a sustainable financial inclusion strategy and taking the appropriate regulatory actions needed to promote innovative and inclusive financial services, particularly through mobile devices.
ZAMBIA

OVERALL SCORE

67%

DIMENSION SCORES

Country commitment 94%
Mobile capacity 78%
Regulatory environment 78%
Adoption 42%

GDP (billion USD) $27
Adult population (millions) 8
Unique mobile subscribership 52%
Financial account ownership among adults 36%
Financial account ownership among women 33%

Formal commitment milestone

• Committed to the Maya Declaration in 2011

Selected financial inclusion highlights

• The 2015 FinScope survey highlighted the role of mobile money in amplifying financial inclusion, with about 14 percent of adults having or using mobile money
• Published the National Payment Systems Directives on Electronic Money Issuance in 2015
• Launched the Financial Inclusion Support Framework, in conjunction with the World Bank, in November 2015

Next steps

• Issue the draft branchless banking regulations
• Amplify efforts to promote financial literacy and increase awareness of digital financial services

DIMENSIONS
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Regulatory environment
Adoption

DATA SOURCES

GDP, adult population: World Bank
Unique mobile subscribership: GSMA
Financial account ownership: World Bank

NOTES

1 GDP in billion USD
2 Adult population in millions
3 Unique mobile subscribership
4 Financial account ownership among adults
5 Financial account ownership among women
Zambia

Overview of financial inclusion ecosystem

Considerable rural poverty levels and fairly limited infrastructure have posed challenges to financial inclusion in Zambia, but the country’s developing mobile money environment and government support for advancing financial inclusion have driven increased adoption of formal financial services. The 2015 FinScope survey in Zambia found that about 59 percent of adults were financially included and about 38 percent of adults were formally included as of 2015 (up from about 23 percent in 2009). The 2015 FinScope report highlighted the role of mobile money in contributing to recent financial inclusion growth, noting that about 14 percent of adults either have or use mobile money services.

While progress toward financial inclusion is evident, disparities across demographics remain. For example, women in Zambia are disproportionately likely to be excluded from formal financial services compared with men. Legal barriers, cultural norms, and low financial literacy levels contribute to this divide. However, efforts by government authorities such as the Bank of Zambia (discussed later in this summary) have helped reduce this disparity: According to the 2015 FinScope survey, the gender gap in financial inclusion was about 4 percentage points in 2015, down from about 7 percentage points in 2009. Inclusion among women increased more significantly between the 2009 and 2015 FinScope surveys than inclusion among men (around 24 percentage points).

On the supply-side, the number of mobile money agents in Zambia has exceeded the number of bank branches: As of 2013, commercial bank branches comprised about 25 percent of all financial points of service, mobile agents comprised about 43 percent, and other institutions comprised about 33 percent.

While usage of mobile money has increased in recent years, there remains significant opportunity to improve awareness and understanding of mobile money services. A recent study by InterMedia, Financial Sector Deepening Zambia, and the Mobile Money for the Poor program found that while many consumers in Zambia were interested in using formal financial services, of the 53 percent of Zambians who had heard of mobile money in 2015, about three-quarters had not used mobile money. Reasons for this disparity included a lack of appropriate identification, limited mobile phone ownership, a perception of not knowing enough about the service, not believing the service would be a good fit for their needs, or being concerned about losing money during transactions.

In terms of geographic disparities, the 2015 FinScope survey found that financial inclusion growth advanced more significantly from 2009 to 2015 in urban areas than in rural areas, although financial inclusion growth across rural areas was still considerable, at about 16 percentage points.

Regarding country commitment to advancing financial inclusion, in 2011 the Bank of Zambia committed to the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s Maya Declaration and set a target of ensuring access to financial services for 50 percent of the population by the end of 2016 as part of the bank’s strategic plan. The Bank of Zambia also serves as the lead implementer of the Financial Sector Development Plan (FSDP), which was drafted as a strategy for “addressing challenges in the Zambian financial sector.” The FSDP was implemented in 2004, following the completion of the Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP) in 2002, which noted a number of weaknesses in Zambia’s financial sector. These issues included limited financial access points for individuals in rural areas, burdensome bank fees and account opening requirements, and a lack of coordination among government entities.

In FSDP Phase II, equitable access to financial services (including among men and women) became a
major focus. FSDP leverages an extensive collaborative network that includes the Ministry of Finance (the coordinator and funder of FSDP’s activities), the Bank of Zambia (which houses the secretariat for FSDP), and the FinMark Trust (which offers technical expertise).

As part of the FSDP, Zambia met its target of developing a national financial literacy strategy and in July 2012 launched a strategy to integrate financial education into the school curriculum. As part of the Bank of Zambia’s efforts to strengthen consumer protection, the 2013 Maya Declaration report noted that the bank instituted caps on interest rates for lending, which applied to “commercial banks, microfinance institutions, and all other non-bank financial institutions.”

In March 2013, the Bank of Zambia presented an index to assess the extent of financial inclusion in the country. In November 2015, the World Bank Financial Inclusion Support Framework program was launched in Zambia; the program identified a number of action items for promoting inclusive finance, such as developing a dedicated national financial inclusion strategy, building financial capability, and improving financial infrastructure. To that end, the Bank of Zambia, Pensions and Insurance Authority, and Securities and Exchange Commission are coordinating with the Ministry of Finance and the World Bank on the development of a new financial inclusion strategy.

In terms of specific regulatory provisions, the National Payment Systems Act of 2007 enabled businesses involved in mobile banking and money transfer to be designated as such providers. In June 2015, the National Payment Systems Directives on Electronic Money Issuance were published in the government gazette. As of August 2015, the Bank of Zambia was in the process of issuing branchless banking regulations.

On the supply side, a Helix Institute of Digital Finance Report conducted between July and August 2015 found that the digital financial service market in Zambia is increasingly competitive, with widespread adoption of over-the-counter services. However, limited awareness of digital financial services has been cited as a constraining factor with respect to further expanding digital financial service usage.

In terms of new regulations, as noted above, the National Payment Systems Directives on Electronic Money Issuance were published in 2015.

Finally, the government of Zambia has been recognized for its efforts to promote financial inclusion among women, including through the establishment of a Women’s Financial Inclusion Taskforce.

**Moving forward**

In addition to issuing finalized branchless banking regulations, the government of Zambia should continue to invest in information and technology communications infrastructure in order to advance the availability of branchless banking services. With respect to underserved populations in particular, public and private sector representatives should work together to enhance awareness of digital financial services among underserved populations, particularly those in rural areas. Additionally, policymakers and financial service providers should leverage the latest FinScope findings and other data to design and deliver products that meet the needs of women in order to reduce the financial inclusion gender gap.

**Financial inclusion updates**

Recent surveys have found that the adoption of formal financial services is escalating, although awareness-building efforts are needed to accelerate this trend. The 2015 FinScope survey confirmed that Zambia had exceeded its target of reaching 50 percent financial inclusion by about 9 percentage points.
Research process

Timeline for data collection
Selected financial inclusion developments from June 2015 through the end of May 2016 are captured in the 2016 FDIP Report and Scorecard. While we have made every effort to ensure that the information included in this report is as complete as possible, the global financial inclusion landscape is complex and rapidly evolving. We welcome feedback on country-specific initiatives relevant to financial inclusion, as well as general feedback regarding the 2016 report and scorecard, at FDIPComments@brookings.edu.

Engagement strategy
We benefited from high levels of engagement among many of the in-country financial inclusion experts we reached out to during the consultation process for the 2016 FDIP Report. We are deeply grateful for their insights regarding the financial inclusion landscape in their respective countries.

In addition to coordinating with in-country financial inclusion authorities, we engaged with a diverse array of financial sector and development experts based in the United States through in-person meetings, calls, and participation in private and public events in order to solicit their perspectives on global trends, challenges, and opportunities with respect to financial inclusion.

For a list of many of the government officials, industry leaders, international finance institution representatives, and other key stakeholders who have contributed to the FDIP research effort over the previous year, please refer to the acknowledgments section of the report. Additional details regarding the FDIP engagement strategy for the 2016 research outputs are included below.

Consultation process regarding new 2016 FDIP countries
The 2016 FDIP Report includes five new countries that were not included in the 2015 report: the Dominican Republic, Egypt, El Salvador, Haiti, and Vietnam. We reached out to government representatives (and, where possible, non-government representatives) in each of these countries to provide them with an opportunity to review the draft profile (although not the specific scores) for their respective countries and to solicit their input on recent, country-specific financial inclusion developments.1

Consultation process regarding FDIP countries also addressed in the 2015 FDIP Report and Scorecard
For the 21 countries that were included in the 2015 FDIP Report, we provided our reviewers in those countries with a list of selected financial inclusion developments we had tracked since spring 2015. We solicited their feedback regarding those key developments, as well as their input regarding any other salient financial inclusion updates since spring 2015 and feedback on the 2015 FDIP research outputs more generally.

In addition to communications with in-country experts, the FDIP team expanded its engagement strategy for the second year of the project by hosting two roundtables at Brookings and participating in a broad array of international and domestic financial inclusion events. The Brookings roundtables, held in October 2015 and March 2016, provided an opportunity to explore key themes regarding the global financial inclusion landscape, including anti-money laundering/combating the financing of terrorism efforts, digital identity initiatives, and the gender gap in financial inclusion. These discussions, as well as the FDIP team’s participation in private meetings, invite-only roundtables, and public events among diverse financial inclusion entities, informed and enhanced the research process for the 2016 FDIP Report.
Scoring descriptions

The 2016 FDIP Report and Scorecard assess four “dimensions” that represent key areas associated with access to and usage of financial services: country commitment, mobile capacity, regulatory environment, and adoption of traditional and digital financial services. The 2016 FDIP Scorecard features 30 indicators worth 3 points each, for a total possible score of 90.

For the 2016 report, we have introduced the following new indicators:

- Existence of a specific consumer protection framework
- Availability of merchant payments via mobile money services
- Smartphone adoption
- Frequency of account usage with a formal financial institution (3 or more withdrawals in a given month)

The inclusion of these new indicators in the 2016 report reflects takeaways from conversations the FDIP team had with key stakeholders in the financial inclusion community, in which financial inclusion experts emphasized the importance of considering the quality and usage elements of financial inclusion, in addition to digital financial mechanisms.

In particular, the addition of a consumer protection framework indicator reflects our belief in the importance of consumer protection in promoting sustainable inclusive finance. We encourage interested readers to review the myriad financial consumer protection resources available, including findings from the World Bank’s 2013 surveys on consumer protection and financial literacy.

With respect to merchant payments, tracking digital merchant payments is valuable since these services often provide a safer and more secure platform for consumers and merchants compared with cash, lower costs for providers, and can “help create a digital transaction history, which can be used to provide credit to merchants.” Evidence of these benefits is provided in a recent report by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), which highlighted perceptions of and behaviors toward digital payments in low-income communities in India. The report noted that while only 6 percent of merchants in India accepted digital payments, merchants and consumers who used digital payments were very satisfied with the experience. The report identified a number of suggestions for scaling up digital payments, including increasing awareness among consumers and enabling merchants to try digital payments options at minimal or no cost.

Thus, while adoption of digital channels for merchant payments can be challenging, particularly in societies with a cultural emphasis on cash, the benefits of scaling this component of the digital financial services ecosystem can be considerable from both consumer and business perspectives. We focus on merchant payments with respect to mobile money services in particular given the availability of consistent data across our focus countries from the GSMA.

We measure smartphone adoption across our focus countries in an effort to determine the potential base for building out uptake of convenient, user-friendly mobile money services. As noted in the 2015 FDIP Report, we believe that increasing smartphone penetration will enable access to more user-friendly interfaces and drive greater adoption of formal financial services. According to the GSMA, developing economies will produce most of the future growth in smartphone penetration: The number of smartphone connections globally is expected to increase to about 2.6 billion by 2020, with about 90 percent of that growth coming from developing economies.

Finally, given that financial inclusion means moving beyond access to formal financial services to enhanced usage of those services, we measure the frequency of withdrawals in a given month (specifically, three or more withdrawals per month) among adults with accounts at a formal financial institution. We measure the number of withdrawals rather than the number of deposits, as automated deposits may be provided to an account without a customer actually accessing the funds (i.e., the account is dormant). We selected the highest frequency of account usage available from the 2014 Global Findex database given that low-income individuals and other often underserved populations are typically dealing in small value transactions due to limited cash flows and/or caps on
transaction amounts, and thus may need to access their account more frequently than wealthier individuals. To enhance differentiation across countries, facilitate greater parity across dimensions, and focus on adoption rates among underserved populations (including low-income individuals and women), we removed the following indicators for the 2016 scorecard:

• Existence of specific digital financial service commitments by a government entity
• Number of mobile money deployments
• Availability of person-to-person domestic transfers via mobile money services
• Percentage of adults with accounts at formal financial institutions
• Percentage of rural residents with accounts at formal financial institutions
• Percentage of adults with mobile money accounts
• Percentage of rural residents with mobile money accounts

We have also refined several indicators, including the account access and usage indicator (formerly titled “proportionate KYC”), the “cash-in/cash-out” indicator, the “platform interoperability” indicator, and the “dedicated financial body” indicator.

Country commitment indicator descriptions

1. National-level participation in international financial inclusion-oriented organizations or networks.
   - Description: Has the country signed the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s Maya Declaration on Financial Inclusion or joined international groups such as the Better Than Cash Alliance or the G20’s Financial Inclusion Peer Learning Program?
   - Scoring: 1=No; 3=Yes
   - Sources: Information for this indicator is based on the online membership listings for the Alliance for Financial Inclusion, the Maya Declaration on Financial Inclusion, and the Better Than Cash Alliance, as well as information regarding participation in the G20’s Financial Inclusion Peer Learning Program.

2. Existence of a national financial inclusion strategy.
   - Description: Does a comprehensive national financial inclusion strategy exist?
   - Scoring: 1=No; 2=A national financial inclusion strategy is in development; 3=Yes
   - Note: As noted in the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s “Financial Inclusion Strategies: Global Trends and Lessons Learnt from the AFI Network” presentation, comprehensive national financial inclusion strategies may be presented within a national financial sector development plan or as a stand-alone strategy.10
   - Sources: Information for this indicator was primarily derived from surveys of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s “2015 Maya Declaration Progress Report: Commitments into Action;” the Alliance for Financial Inclusion Financial Inclusion Strategy Peer Learning Group’s “A Timeline of Achievement” and “National Financial Inclusion Strategies: Current State of Practice” documents; the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion;” evaluations of online content available from governmental and INGO websites; and information provided by government officials within select FDIP countries.

3. Existence of quantifiable financial inclusion targets.
   - Description: Do formal, publicly available quantifiable goals related to financial inclusion exist at a national level?
   - Scoring: 1=No; 3=Yes
   - Note: While many countries have developed action items related to financial inclusion that contain general timelines for completion, this indicator
specifically focuses on numerical targets. For the purposes of this study, macroeconomic goals, such as an increase in the percentage of savings as GDP, and goals related solely to microfinance (in the narrow sense of microloans) were not included in our evaluation of quantifiable financial inclusion goals.

- Sources: Information for this indicator was primarily based on the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s “2015 Maya Declaration Progress Report: Commitments into Action,” with surveys of governmental authorities’ websites, news reports, INGO websites, and correspondence with country contacts deployed as supplementary data.

4. **Existence of a recent demand-side financial services survey conducted or supported by a government entity.**

- Description: Has a nationally representative, demand-side financial services survey been recently conducted or supported by a government entity within the country?

- Scoring: 1=No; 3=Yes

- Note: For purposes of this study, “recent” refers to surveys published within the previous four years (i.e., surveys published prior to 2012 are not considered recent). “Conducted or supported” in this context signifies that the government either commissioned the survey or was explicitly noted as a partner institution by the lead institution conducting the survey.

- Sources: The predominant sources consulted for this indicator include the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s “2015 Maya Declaration Progress Report: Commitments into Action” and the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion.” Other supplementary sources include surveys of governmental authorities’ and INGO’s websites, as well as correspondence with government representatives in various FDIP focus countries.

5. **Existence of a dedicated financial inclusion body within the public sector.**

- Description: Does the country have a dedicated financial inclusion body within the regulator, ministry of finance, or other governmental entity?

- Scoring: 1=No; 2=A dedicated final inclusion body is in development; 3=Yes

- Note: Where a dedicated financial inclusion body was not in place, but evidence of dedicated staff coupled with active financial inclusion initiatives was available, we awarded a score of 3.

- Sources: The primary source consulted for this indicator was the World Bank’s “Financial Inclusion Strategies Database,” which features more than 50 countries that have either “made formal commitments under the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s Maya Declaration or have been identified by the Financial Inclusion Strategy Peer Learning Group as having significant national strategies.” Supplementary sources include searches of governmental websites, a review of the Alliance for Financial Inclusion’s “2015 Maya Declaration Progress Report: Commitments into Action,” and correspondence with government representatives.

6. **Existence of a consumer protection framework regarding financial services.**

- Description: Is a financial consumer protection framework in place?

- Scoring: 1=No; 2=A specialized financial consumer protection framework is in development or finalized but not yet fully implemented OR certain financial consumer protection provisions are in place; 3=A financial consumer protection framework is in effect.

- Sources: The primary source consulted for this indicator was the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion.” Supplementary sources included surveys of publicly available consumer protection regulations, news sources, and analyses from multinational organizations.
Mobile capacity indicator descriptions

1. Market penetration with respect to unique subscribers.
   - Description: GSMA Intelligence defines this indicator as “Total subscribers in the market divided by the total population at the end of the period, expressed as a percentage.” As noted by the GSMA, “[s]ubscribers differ from connections such that a unique user can have multiple connections.”
   - Scoring: 1=0-33%; 2=34-66%; 3=67-100%
   - Source: GSMA Intelligence Database, 2016.

2. 3G mobile coverage by population.
   - Description: “3G mobile coverage, expressed as a percentage of the total market population, at the end of the period,” according to the GSMA.
   - Scoring: 1=0-33%; 2=34-66%; 3=67-100%
   - Source: GSMA Intelligence Database, 2016.

   - Description: According to the GSMA, this indicator is defined as “[s]martphone connections expressed as a percentage share of total connections (excluding M2M). It is not calculated as smartphone connections divided by total population.”
   - Scoring: 1=0-33%; 2=34-66%; 3=67-100%
   - Source: GSMA Intelligence Database, 2016.

4. Availability of bill payments via mobile money services.
   - Description: Do mobile money service providers offer bill payment services?
   - Scoring: 1=No; 3=Yes

5. Availability of international remittances via mobile money services.
   - Description: Do mobile money service providers offer international remittances?
   - Scoring: 1=No; 3=Yes

6. Availability of merchant payments via mobile money services.
   - Description: Are merchant payments available via mobile money services?
   - Scoring: 1=No; 3=Yes

Regulatory environment indicator descriptions

1. Agent banking.
   - Description: Can banks or other formal financial institutions contract with other legal entities to serve as agents to provide financial services?
   - Scoring: 1=No; 3=Yes
   - Sources: Sources used to score this indicator include the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion,” surveys of country-specific legislation, news reports, INGO publications, and correspondence with in-country experts.

2. Mobile network operator-led mobile financial service deployments.
   - Description: Are mobile network operators eligible to apply for licenses or other formal approval from the regulator to lead mobile money deployments?
   - Scoring: 1=No; 3=Yes
   - Sources: Scoring for this indicator is based primarily on the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion,” surveys of country-specific legislation, news reports, INGO publications, and correspondence with in-country experts.
3. **E-money regulations.**
   - **Description:** Have regulations, policies, or other guidance concerning electronic money (e-money) been issued?
   - **Scoring:** 1=No e-money regulations are in place or appear to be in development; 2=E-money regulations are in development; 3=E-money regulations have been issued.
   - **Sources:** Scoring for this indicator is primarily based on analysis from the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion,” in addition to surveys of relevant regulations on governmental websites and correspondence with government representatives.

4. **Mobile money platform interoperability.**
   - **Description:** Is the capacity for mobile money platform interoperability required by the regulator or other financial inclusion authority and/or are mobile money platforms actively interoperable?
   - **Scoring:** 1=No requirements concerning the capacity for platform interoperability have been issued by the regulator, and there is no evidence of interoperability; 2=Platforms are explicitly required to have the capacity for interoperability OR efforts to develop an interoperable platform have been advanced significantly; 3=Two or more mobile money platforms are actively interoperable.
   - **Note:** While there are numerous types of interoperability, for the purposes of this study we focus on platform interoperability, in which mobile money platforms are interconnected so that a “customer with an account with one service provider can send or receive money to or from the account of a customer with a different service provider.”
   - **Sources:** The predominant sources consulted for this indicator were surveys of regulations on governmental websites, news articles, INGO and industry publications, and correspondence with in-country experts. This research was supplemented with surveys of the Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion.”

5. **Account access and usage.**
   - **Description:** Are account opening and usage requirements (e.g., know-your-customer processes and minimum balance requirements) for savings products at regulated financial institutions conducive to the adoption of these products by underserved populations?
   - **Scoring:** 1=No/Somewhat; 3=Yes
   - **Sources:** The Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion” was the main resource consulted for this indicator. Correspondence with country contacts and reviews of country-specific regulations, INGO and industry reports, and news articles served as supplementary sources.

6. **Cash-in/cash-out at agent locations.**
   - **Description:** Are agents permitted to perform both cash-in and cash-out services within the context of an inclusive regulatory environment?
   - **Scoring:** 1=Agents are not permitted to perform cash-in and cash-out services; 2=Agents are permitted to perform cash-in and cash-out services, but regulations constrain the entry of certain agents into the market; 3=Agents are permitted to perform cash-in and cash-out services within the context of an inclusive regulatory environment.
   - **Sources:** The Economist Intelligence Unit’s “Global Microscope 2015: The Enabling Environment for Financial Inclusion” served as the main source for this indicator, in addition to surveys of news articles, websites of industry associations and financial service providers, correspondence with in-country experts, and studies conducted by non-governmental entities.
Adoption indicator descriptions

For each of the percentage indicators below, the scoring ranges have been normalized since the range of data across all countries included in this study spanned a relatively narrow subrange. We normalized the data by taking the difference between the highest and lowest values across all countries in this study, and then dividing that range into three equal subranges, corresponding respectively to a score of 1, 2, and 3. For example, using this approach, for an indicator in which the raw data ranged from 50 percent for the worst-performing country to 79 percent for the best-performing country, countries with raw data scores from 50 percent to 59 percent would receive a “1”, countries with raw data scores from 60 percent to 69 percent would receive a “2”, and countries with raw data scores from 70 percent to 79 percent would receive a “3.”

The specific indicators we used to measure adoption are the following:

1. Formal financial institution account penetration among lower-income adults.
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is “percentage of respondents who report having an account (by themselves or together with someone else) at a bank or another type of financial institution; having a debit card in their own name; receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural products into an account at a financial institution in the past 12 months; paying utility bills or school fees from an account at a financial institution in the past 12 months; or receiving wages or government transfers into a card in the past 12 months (income, poorest 40%, % age 15+).”
   - Scoring: 1=3-24%; 2=25-47%; 3=48-69%

2. Formal financial institution account penetration among women.
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator “denotes the percentage of respondents who report having an account (by themselves or together with someone else) at a bank or another type of financial institution; having a debit card in their own name; receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural products into an account at a financial institution in the past 12 months (female, % age 15+).”
   - Scoring: 1=3-24%; 2=25-47%; 3=48-69%

3. Borrowing from a financial institution.
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is the percentage of adults who “borrowed any money in the past 12 months (by themselves or together with someone else) from a bank or another type of financial institution. This does not include the use of credit cards.”
   - Scoring: 1=2-7%; 2=8-14%; 3=15-20%

4. Saving at a financial institution.
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is the percentage of respondents who “report saving or setting aside any money in the past 12 months by using an account at a bank or another type of financial institution (% age 15+).”
   - Scoring: 1=3-12%; 2=13-23%; 3=24-33%

5. Debit card use.
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is “the percentage of respondents who report using their own debit card directly to make a purchase in the last 12 months (% age 15+).”
   - Scoring: 1=0-13%; 2=14-28%; 3=29-42%

6. Credit card use.
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is “the percentage of respondents who report using their own credit card in the past 12 months (% age 15+).”
   - Scoring: 1=0-9%; 2=10-19%; 3=20-29%.
7. **Percentage of adults utilizing online bill payments and purchases.**
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is the percentage of adults who reported “paying bills or making purchases online using the Internet in the past 12 months (% age 15+).”
   - Scoring: 1=0-5%; 2=6-13%; 3=14-19%

8. **Mobile money account penetration among lower-income adults.**
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is the percentage of respondents who report personally using a mobile phone to pay bills or to send or receive money through a GSM Association (GSMA) Mobile Money for the Unbanked (MMU) service in the past 12 months; or receiving wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural products through a mobile phone in the past 12 months (income, lowest 40%, % age 15+).
   - Scoring: 1=0-17%; 2=18-35%; 3=36-53%

9. **Mobile money account penetration among women.**
   - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is the percentage of women who “personally used a mobile phone to pay bills or to send or receive money through a mobile money service in the previous 12 months or who received wages, government transfers, or payments for agricultural products through a phone in the previous 12 months.”
   - Scoring: 1=0-17%; 2=18-37%; 3=38-55%

10. **Mobile phone used to receive salary or wages (among recent wage-earners).**
    - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator reads “among respondents who reported receiving any money from an employer in the past 12 months in the form of a salary or wages for doing work, percentage of adults who received salary or wages through a mobile phone in the last 12 months.”
    - Scoring: 1=0-7%; 2=8-17%; 3=18-25%

11. **Mobile phone used to make utility payments (among adults regularly making utility bill payments).**
    - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator “denotes, among respondents reporting personally making regular payments in the past 12 months for water, electricity, or trash collection, the percentage who made these payments through a mobile phone (% paying utility bills, age 15+).”
    - Scoring: 1=0-17%; 2=18-37%; 3=38-55%

12. **Frequency of account usage.**
    - Description: The 2014 Global Findex description for the data used for this indicator is “the percentage of respondents with an account at a bank or another type of financial institution who report that money is withdrawn from their account three or more times in a typical month (% with an account, age 15+).”
    - Scoring: 1=3-12%; 2=13-22%; 3=23-32%
The table below indicates the percentage point difference in countries’ percentage scores between 2015 and 2016. Thus, the five new FDIP countries featured in the 2016 report are not included in the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>△ Overall Score</th>
<th>△ Country Commitment Score</th>
<th>△ Mobile Capacity Score</th>
<th>△ Regulatory Environment Score</th>
<th>△ Adoption Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-11</td>
<td>-5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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9. With respect to establishing metrics for effective financial capability interventions, the Center for Financial Inclusion at Accion noted the following outputs: “increased product uptake, reduced dormancy, higher savings balances, and the like.” While the frequency of withdrawals is an imperfect proxy for measuring the prevalence of financially capable consumers, assessing the frequency of withdrawals reflects our belief in the importance of considering the usage dimension of financial...


12 Note that all GSMA Intelligence Database data reflects Q1 2016 figures. GSMA Mobile Money for the Unbanked Deployment Tracker data was current as of April 2016. Data points from these sources were accessed on May 9, 2016.


15 Ibid.

16 Consistent with the GSMA’s consideration of enabling mobile money environments, we consider regulatory landscapes in which mobile network operators are permitted to lead mobile money services directly, through a dedicated subsidiary, as a payments bank (or equivalent), or through a “letter of no objection” to the non-bank or its partner bank, to constitute an “enabling” environment (note that the GSMA has additional criteria relating to cash-in/cash-out at agents, interoperability, and capital requirements). Therefore, countries that fit these criteria are awarded a 3 for this indicator. See http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/SOTIR_2014.pdf (page 71). We recognize that there are many non-bank mobile money providers beyond simply mobile network operators (MNOs); however, since data show that leadership of MNOs is generally associated with faster growing deployments, we focus on MNOs for the purposes of this study. See http://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/is-regulation-holding-back-financial-inclusion-a-look-at-the-evidence and http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2578312 for further information.


18 For the purposes of this study, we consider proportionate “know your customer” processes to be such requirements that may be relaxed depending upon the level of perceived risk posed by the customer. The Financial Action Task Force states that a “progressive” know your customer/customer due diligence approach allows transaction/payment limits to vary based on the level of documentation available from the customer confirming his/her identity. See “Anti-money laundering and terrorist financing measures,” Financial Action Task Force Guidance, June 2011, 27, http://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/content/images/AML%20CFT%20measures%20and%20financial%20inclusion.pdf.

19 By “inclusive,” here we mean that the array of entities that financial service providers are permitted to contract as agents are diverse, and regulations regarding agent selection are consistent with the types of services those agents offer, regardless of the category of financial service provider.

20 Note that all scores for the indicators in the adoption dimension are based on data from the 2014 Global Financial Inclusion database (Global Findex).

21 In this example, the subranges are equal; however, for instances where this was not the case, the subranges were adapted so that the subranges at the high and low ends of the overall range were equal, while the middle subrange was slightly wider. See the individual indicator descriptions for further details.

22 2014 Global Findex data for this indicator was not available for Tanzania, Ethiopia, and Haiti. Our approach to missing numerical data was to assign the respective countries a composite indicator score comprising the average of all country scores for the indicator—therefore, for the percentage of wage earners who used a mobile phone to receive their salary or wages, Tanzania, Ethiopia, and Haiti each received a score of 1.

23 2014 Global Findex data for this indicator was not available for Malawi and Haiti. As noted above, our approach to missing numerical data was to assign the respective countries a composite indicator score comprising the average of all country scores for the indicator—therefore, for the percentage of adults who used a mobile phone to make utility payments (among adults who regularly made bill payments), Malawi and Haiti received a composite score of 1.

24 2014 Global Findex data for this indicator was not available for Afghanistan and Pakistan. As noted above, our approach to missing numerical data was to assign the respective countries a composite indicator score comprising the average of all country scores for the indicator—therefore, for the percentage of adults with an account at a bank or another type of financial institution who report that money is withdrawn from their account three or more times in a typical month, Afghanistan and Pakistan each received a composite score of 2.
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