# **ONLINE APPENDIX**

Appendix Table A1. Effect of using weights and truncation of the sample on basic moments for inflation forecasts and perceived inflation in New Zealand.

	Sample and weights												
Survey Date	Eull o	1 <sub>0</sub>	Truncated s	ample with	Full sample with sample								
	Full sa	ampie	sample	weights	weights								
Date	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)							
Panel A: 1-year-ahead inflation forecasts, percentage points													
2013Q4	7.1	5.9	5.3	3.3	6.5	5.0							
2014Q1	7.5	5.0	6.0	2.7	8.0	5.7							
2014Q3	4.4	2.8	4.3	2.5	4.5	2.9							
2014Q4	4.9 3.1		4.8	2.9	5.0	3.2							
	Panel B: 5-to-10-year-ahead inflation forecast, percentage points												
2014Q3	3.5	2.6	3.6	2.5	3.7	2.8							
	Panel C: 1-year inflation nowcasts/backcasts, percentage points												
2013Q4	5.3	4.2	4.3	3.5	5.1	4.6							
2014Q1	5.9	4.3	5.8	3.4	6.9	5.4							
2014Q3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.							
2014Q4	4.3 2.5		4.1	2.3	4.1	2.5							

Notes: The table presents inflation forecasts/nowcasts/backcasts of firms' managers. *Truncated sample* refers to when we exclude responses that are greater than 15 percentage points or less than -2 percentage points. *Full sample* includes all observations. Moments in columns (3)-(6) are constructed using sample weights. See the text and note to Table 1 for more details. Sample weights are based on firm counts. Results are similar if weights are based on employment counts.

Appendix Table A2. Distribution of firm sizes (firm count) in the survey data vis-à-vis census data.

Employment _ size _ _			nce, Insuranc			M	anufacturing	7	Other services				
	and Business services survey				survey				survey				
	raw	weight A	weight B	census	raw	weight A	weight B	census	raw	weight A	weight B	_ census	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
				Panel A	A: Share i	n the total n	umber of fir	ms					
6-9	0.27	0.42	0.43	0.43	0.24	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.29	0.44	0.44	0.43	
10-19	0.31	0.34	0.34	0.33	0.36	0.33	0.35	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.35	0.34	
20-49	0.27	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.23	0.22	0.19	0.22	0.26	0.16	0.15	0.16	
50-99	0.13	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.04	0.05	0.05	
100+	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	
				Pane	l B: Share	e in the total	employmen	t					
6-9	0.07	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.18	0.18	0.15	
10-19	0.15	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.27	0.26	0.22	
20-49	0.31	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.25	0.24	0.21	0.20	0.36	0.29	0.26	0.24	
50-99	0.31	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.31	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.32	0.16	0.17	0.15	
100+	0.17	0.32	0.33	0.38	0.23	0.37	0.40	0.43	0.05	0.09	0.13	0.24	
					Panel C	: Average fir	m size						
6-9	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.3	7.7	7.8	7.8	7.3	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.2	
10-19	13.8	13.9	13.9	13.3	13.7	13.8	13.7	13.4	13.9	13.8	13.8	13.3	
20-49	32.4	32.3	32.3	29.7	36.1	36.5	36.3	29.7	33.0	32.7	32.6	29.2	

50-99	68.5	68.3	68.2	68.4	73.6	74.0	73.6	69.8	63.9	63.9	63.3	68.6
100+	247.8	246.1	241.5	260.7	220.9	243.9	241.2	252.1	128.4	119.1	118.1	191.9

Notes: Columns (1), (5),and (9) report distributions in the survey without weights. Columns (4), (8), and (12) report distributions in the population (Census). Columns (2), (3), (6), (7), (10), and (11) reports distribution after applying weights to match the distribution in the population. Sample "weight A" is based on firm count. Sample "weight B" is based on firm employment.

# **Proofs**

### **Proof of Proposition 1.**

Suppose expectations are ideally  $\epsilon$ -anchored at time t for horizon  $\tau$ , then  $\forall i \in [0,1]$  we have

$$\pi_{t+\tau|t}^i = \int_{\pi^* - \epsilon}^{\pi^* + \epsilon} x dF_{t+\tau|t}^i(x) \le \pi^* + \epsilon$$

Similarly we can show that  $\pi_{t+\tau|t}^i \ge \pi^* - \epsilon$ . Hence,

$$\begin{split} & \left(\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \pi^{*}\right)^{2} < \epsilon^{2} \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} \left(\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \pi^{*}\right)^{2} di < \epsilon^{2} \\ & \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} \left(\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \int_{0}^{1} \pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} di + \int_{0}^{1} \pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} di - \pi^{*}\right)^{2} di < \epsilon^{2} \\ & \Rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} \left(\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \int_{0}^{1} \pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} di\right)^{2} di + \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} di - \pi^{*}\right)^{2} di < \epsilon^{2} \\ & \Rightarrow bias_{t+\tau|t}^{2} + \int_{0}^{1} \left(\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \int_{0}^{1} \pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} di\right)^{2} di < \epsilon^{2} \end{split}$$

Thus,  $bias_{t+\tau|t} < \epsilon$ . Q.E.D.

#### **Proof of Proposition 2.**

Notice that similar to proof of Proposition 1 we can show that as expectations are strongly  $\epsilon$ -anchored,

$$\left(\pi^i_{t+\tau|t} - \int_0^1 \pi^i_{t+\tau|t} di\right)^2 < \epsilon^2, \forall i \in [0,1] \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \left(\pi^i_{t+\tau|t} - \int_0^1 \pi^i_{t+\tau|t} di\right)^2 di < \epsilon^2 \Rightarrow s d_{t+\tau|t} < \epsilon.$$

Note that we cannot say anything about the bias relative to the central bank's target here as being strongly anchored does not imply anything about expectations being close to that of the central bank's. Q.E.D.

**Proof of Lemma 1.** Suppose inflation expectations are ideally  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -anchored, then from proof of Proposition 1 recall that

$$\pi^i_{t+\tau|t} < \pi^* + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall i \in [0,1] \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \pi^i_{t+\tau|t} di < \pi^* + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \pi^i_{t+\tau|t} di - \epsilon < \pi^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Now, since  $F_{t+\tau|t}^i\left(\pi^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = 0$ ,  $\forall i \in [0,1]$  and any CDF is weakly increasing, we must have

$$F_{t+\tau|t}^i \left( \int_0^1 \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i di - \epsilon \right) = 0, \forall i \in [0,1].$$

Similarly,

$$\pi^i_{t+\tau|t} > \pi^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall i \in [0,1] \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \pi^i_{t+\tau|t} di > \pi^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \Rightarrow \int_0^1 \pi^i_{t+\tau|t} di + \epsilon > \pi^* + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Again, since  $F_{t+\tau|t}^i\left(\pi^* + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = 1, \forall i \in [0,1]$ , and any CDF is weakly increasing, we must have

$$F_{t+\tau|t}^i\left(\int_0^1 \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i di + \epsilon\right) = 1, \forall i \in [0,1].$$

Thus,

$$F_{t+\tau|t}^i\left(\int_0^1 \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i di + \epsilon\right) - F_{t+\tau|t}^i\left(\int_0^1 \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i di - \epsilon\right) = 1, \forall i \in [0,1].$$

which implies that expectations are strongly  $\epsilon$ -anchored. Notice that the reverse does not need to be true as being strongly anchored does not imply anything about expectations being close to the forecast of the central bank. Q.E.D.

#### **Proof of Proposition 3.**

Notice that

$$\begin{split} E_t^i \left\{ \left( \pi_{t+\tau} - \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i \right)^2 \right\} &= \int_{\pi_{t+\tau|t}^i - \epsilon}^{\pi_{t+\tau|t}^i + \epsilon} \left( x - \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i \right)^2 dF_{t+\tau|t}^i(x) \\ &< \epsilon^2 \int_{\pi_{t+\tau|t}^i - \epsilon}^{\pi_{t+\tau|t}^i + \epsilon} dF_{t+\tau|t}^i(x) \\ &= \epsilon^2. \end{split}$$

Q.E.D.

## Proof of Lemma 2.

Recall from proof of Lemma 1 that if expectations are ideally  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -anchored, then

$$\pi^i_{t+\tau|t} < \pi^* + \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall i \in [0,1] \Rightarrow \pi^i_{t+\tau|t} - \epsilon < \pi^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Now, since  $F_{t+\tau|t}^i\left(\pi^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = 0$ ,  $\forall i \in [0,1]$  and any CDF is weakly increasing, we must have

$$F_{t+\tau|t}^i(\pi_{t+\tau|t}^i - \epsilon) = 0, \forall i \in [0,1].$$

Similarly,

$$\pi^i_{t+\tau|t} > \pi^* - \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \forall i \in [0,1] \Rightarrow \pi^i_{t+\tau|t} + \epsilon > \pi^* + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Again, since  $F_{t+\tau|t}^i\left(\pi^* + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) = 1, \forall i \in [0,1]$ , and any CDF is weakly increasing, we must have

$$F_{t+\tau|t}^i \left( \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i + \epsilon \right) = 1, \forall i \in [0,1].$$

Thus,

$$F_{t+\tau|t}^i \left( \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i + \epsilon \right) - F_{t+\tau|t}^i \left( \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i - \epsilon \right) = 1, \forall i \in [0,1].$$

Q.E.D.

The argument is identical to proving that if expectations are strongly  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -anchored then they are also weakly  $\epsilon$ -anchored.

# **Proof of Proposition 4.**

For this proof we are going to use the identity that for any given random variable X with CDF F(.),

$$E\{X\} = \int_0^{+\infty} \left(1 - F(x)\right) dx - \int_{-\infty}^0 F(x) dx.$$

Now, let  $FR^i_{t+\tau|t}\equiv E^i_t\{\pi_{t+\tau}\}-E^i_{t-1}\{\pi_{t+\tau-1}\},$  and notice that

$$\begin{split} \left| FR_{t+\tau|t}^{i} \right| &= |\int_{0}^{+\infty} \left( 1 - F_{t+\tau|t}^{i}(x) \right) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{0} F_{t+\tau-1|t-1}^{i}(x) dx \\ &- \int_{0}^{+\infty} \left( 1 - F_{t+\tau|t}^{i}(x) \right) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{0} F_{t+\tau-1|t-1}^{i}(x) dx \, | \\ &= \left| \int_{R} \left( F_{t+\tau|t}^{i}(x) - F_{t+\tau-1|t-1}^{i}(x) \right) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{R} \left| F_{t+\tau|t}^{i}(x) - F_{t+\tau-1|t-1}^{i}(x) \right| dx \\ &< \epsilon. \end{split}$$

Q.E.D.

## Proof of Lemma 3.

Notice that if inflation expectations for horizon  $\tau$  are ideally  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -anchored at t and t-1, then

$$\int_{R} \left| F_{t+\tau|t}^{i}(x) - F_{t+\tau-1|t-1}^{i}(x) \right| dx = \int_{\pi^{*} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\pi^{*} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} \left| F_{t+\tau|t}^{i}(x) - F_{t+\tau-1|t-1}^{i}(x) \right| dx < \int_{\pi^{*} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{\pi^{*} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}} 1. dx = \epsilon$$

meaning that expectations are consistently  $\epsilon$ -anchored.

Now, for the second part of the lemma, suppose inflation expectations for horizon  $\tau$  are strongly  $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -anchored at t and t-1. Let for this part of proof

$$a \equiv \min\{\overline{\pi_{t+\tau|t}}\,, \overline{\pi_{t+\tau-1|t-1}}\}, b \equiv \max\{\overline{\pi_{t+\tau|t}}\,, \overline{\pi_{t+\tau-1|t-1}}\}$$

Notice that  $b - a = \overline{FR_{t+\tau|t}} = \delta$ .

Now observe that

$$\int_{R} |F_{t+\tau|t}^{i}(x) - F_{t+\tau-1|t-1}^{i}(x)| dx = \int_{a-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{b+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} |F_{t+\tau|t}^{i}(x) - F_{t+\tau-1|t-1}^{i}(x)| dx$$

$$< \int_{a-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}^{b+\frac{\epsilon}{2}} 1 \cdot dx = b - a + \epsilon = \delta + \epsilon$$

Meaning that expectations are consistently  $(\epsilon + \delta)$ -anchored. Q.E.D.

## **Proof of Proposition 5.**

The regression coefficient is given by

$$\beta_{\tau} = \frac{\int_{0}^{1} (\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+\tau|t}}) (\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}) di}{\int_{0}^{1} (\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}})^{2} di}$$

Now notice that for  $\tau \geq T$ , since expectations are strongly  $\epsilon_{\tau}$ -anchored, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{1} & (\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+\tau|t}}) (\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}) di = \int_{\left\{i: \pi_{t+1|t}^{i} > \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}\right\}} & (\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+\tau|t}}) (\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}) di \\ & + \int_{\left\{i: \pi_{t+1|t}^{i} < \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}\right\}} & (\pi_{t+\tau|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+\tau|t}}) (\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}) di \\ & < \epsilon_{\tau} \int_{\left\{i: \pi_{t+1|t}^{i} > \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}\right\}} & (\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}) di \\ & - \epsilon_{\tau} \int_{\left\{i: \pi_{t+1|t}^{i} < \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}\right\}} & (\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}) di \\ & < \epsilon_{\tau} \int_{0}^{1} |\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}| di \end{split}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\int_0^1 \! \left( \pi_{t+\tau|t}^i - \overline{\pi_{t+\tau|t}} \right) \! \left( \pi_{t+1|t}^i - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}} \right) \! di > -\epsilon_\tau \int_0^1 \! |\pi_{t+1|t}^i - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}| di$$

Thus,

$$|\beta_{\tau}| < \epsilon_{\tau} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} |\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}| di}{\int_{0}^{1} (\pi_{t+1|t}^{i} - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}})^{2} di}$$

So, as long as  $\int_0^1 \left(\pi_{t+1|t}^i - \overline{\pi_{t+1|t}}\right)^2 di \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_{\tau} \to 0$  as  $\epsilon_{\tau} \to 0$ . Q.E.D.