COMPARING LEGAL MARIJUANA SYSTEMS IN **COLORADO AND WASHINGTON**

COLORADO AND WASHINGTON		
	COLORADO	WASHINGTON
Legalization Vehicle	Amendment 64	Initiative 502
Passed	55.3 - 44.7, November 6, 2012	55.7 - 44.3, November 6, 2012
Timing	Rules required by July 2013, delivered on time (Emergency rules promulgated on June 28, 2013; Permanent rules promulgated Sept. 9, 2014), first stores opened January 2014.	Rules due December 2013, promulgated October 2013, but licensing process slower than anticipated; first grower licenses issued in March 2014, first stores licensed and opening in July 2014.
Means of Legal Change	Only by another constitutional amendment	Can be amended by normal legislative action
Administering Agency	Department of Revenue, Marijuana Enforcement Division (MED)	Liquor Control Board
Age requirement for legal possession	21 and over	21 and over
Home grows permitted?	Up to 6 plants, with 3 flowering at any time	Prohibited
DUI	5 nanogram per milliliter limit for driving	5 nanogram per milliliter limit for driving
Tax Structure	15% excise tax on cultivator; 10% special sales tax; 2.9% standard sales tax; additional local taxes can be levied.	25 percent excise tax on sales from grower to processor, processor to retailer, and retailer to customer; plus normal local taxes apply.
After-tax price of legal marijuana?	Average Market Rate for Flower: \$1,876/ pound. After tax cost depends on the locality.	Yet to be seen, expected to be much higher than still-unregulated medical marijuana prices in the state.
Where does tax revenue go?	Marijuana Tax Cash Fund; Marijuana Cash Fund. Monies used to fund MED, school construction, expanded education and prevention efforts, law enforcement. Revenue sharing with local governments that allow marijuana sales.	Complicated allocation: first money goes to fund administrative costs, various research projects and prevention or substance abuse programs; later money split between more marijuana-specific programs, general healthcare spending, and the state's general fund.
Licensing Regime	Vertical Integration for first 9 mos2 yrs (depending on locality). Grower/processor/retailer licensed by MED. Medical users must register through Department of Public Health and Environment.	Growers, processors, and retailers must be licensed. No vertical integration allowed: growers and processors cannot be retailers, though joint grower-processor licensed issued.
Pre-existing	Builds on top of existing system, which began to be regulated beginning in 2009 and which remains in place; privileges incumbents of the old system, who get first access to new recreational market.	Unregulated, largely untaxed medical dispensaries remain in unresolved legal limbo, for now enjoy non-enforcement; legislature expected to provide some resolution or merger with I-502 system in coming months.
Diversion Prevention	Seed to Sale Tracking System; Limits on quantities purchased/possessed; Education campaigns; video surveillance requirements.	Has same measures as CO, and high hopes that tight control over licensing and rather deliberate (i.e., slow) roll-out will allow more effective prevention of cross-state diversion.
	Counties, municipalities can opt out. Local governments can regulate the number of grow operations and dispensaries. Local	Controversial: preemption of local drug laws, but some localities seeking to use zoning laws to effectively exclude stores

grow operations and dispensaries. Local Local control governments can assess additional taxes. Local governments can issue zoning and other ordinances regulating production and consumption.

Extensive criminal background checks for all licensees; Seed to Sale tracking system; Vertical integration (initially); increasing product & potency testing

As of 1/1/2014, there were licenses Total size of legal distributed for 178 marijuana cultivation market

Regulatory Features

facilities and 136 retail dispensaries. State commissioned a survey of market demand. Separate revenue analyses/ projections conducted by the Department Official Analysis of Revenue, Governor's Office of State Planning and Budgeting, and the Colorado Legislative Council

Public use rules vague; homegrows Common Complaints | creating additional local problems; paperwork delays at MED.

Slow implementation; low projected legal supply when stores do open; frustration that medical dispensaries get no ability

were encouraged by non-binding State

Attorney-General opinion. Legal battle

to follow. As of now, there is no revenue-

sharing with local governments, so many

cities may feel incentives are to opt out.

of all licensees; extensive product safety

Yet to be seen, but only projected to be

about a quarter of the total market for

502 mandates cost-benefit analysis

marijuana in Washington after a year of

conducted by Washington State Institute

for Public Policy (WSIPP), with preliminary

report in 2015 and subsequent reports in

Extensive criminal background checks

testing

full-scale operation

2017, 2022, and 2032

to make transition to legal recreational system; continued legal ambiguity for medical marijuana BROOKINGS

MAY 2013 BROOKINGS/WOLA COMPARISON:

http://www.brookings.edu/research/papers/2013/05/21-legal-marijuanacolorado-washington

http://www.brookings.edu/~/media/research/files/papers/2013/05/21%20 legal%20marijuana%20colorado%20washington%20walsh/qa%20legal%20 marijuana%20in%20colorado%20and%20washington%20web.pdf

Chart by Philip Wallach & John Hudak