Poverty and Optimism in Africa: Adaptation or Survival?

Carol Graham and Matthew Hoover The Brookings Institution/University of Maryland Prepared for Gallup Positive Psychology Summit October 5, 2006

Overview

- We and others have studied links between optimism and happiness and other positive traits and behaviors (labor market outcomes, health, support for markets and democracy)
- In other regions (U.S., Latin America, Russia), optimism is positively correlated with wealth and education
- In this paper we study these relationships in Africa, a context of extreme adversity
- In Africa, optimism about children's future (controlling for individual's own status, so close to "pure" optimism) is INVERSELY correlated with income and education – OPPOSITE of all other places
- Why? a) you need to be optimistic to survive in extreme poverty in Africa? b) reflects individuals' ability to adapt their expectations downwards due to extreme uncertainty but to also retain hopes for their children?
- We do not have panel data to test these hypotheses but results are suggestive

Market and Policy Support

- We also studied the relationship between these optimistic traits and support for democracy and markets, as we have in other regions
- We find that poor's optimism is positively correlated with preference for democracy but not with preference for markets (in other regions, happiness and/or optimism are correlated with both)
- Attitudinal traits may be more important in assessments of democracy – which is simply defined as the holding of elections, while economic conditions and outcomes may be more important in assessments of the market in a region where its definition is murky and its operations are incomplete and unpredictable

DATA - Afrobarometer

- Cross section survey, modeled on Euro and Latino-barometers
- Began in four countries; now 11 countries in our data set
- Provides individual level socio-economic and demographic information
- Includes a range of questions about attitudes about democracy, markets, corruption, trade, etc.
- Unlike Euro and Latinobametros, Afrobarometer does not have a happiness question. However, in other contexts we find that happiness and optimism about the future are positively correlated
- Income data is potentially flawed, as based on individuals self reporting themselves in particular income categories
- Data is publicly available: <u>www.afrobarometer.org</u>
- Some comparative analysis based on the Latinobarometro

Description of Variables

9

| Africa Variables | Observations | Mean S | Std. Dev. | <u>Min</u> | Max |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|------------|-----|
| ELQ | 17818 | 3.70 | 2.32 | 0 | 10 |
| ELQ_kids | 16363 | 6.79 | 2.95 | 0 | 10 |
| Change_ELQ_Kids | 16323 | 2.99 | 2.87 | -10 | 10 |
| ELQ_Income_Gap | 16029 | 0.51 | 3.33 | -10 | 10 |
| L_conditions | 18102 | 2.76 | 1.17 | 1 | 5 |
| L_Conditions_12m_future | 15649 | 3.63 | 1.08 | 1 | 5 |
| Prefer_democ | 14584 | 0.83 | 0.38 | 0 | 1 |
| Prefer_Mkt_Econ | 16640 | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| Freq_Pol_Index | 17875 | 6.05 | 3.17 | 0 | 12 |
| Freq_Crime_Victim | 18117 | 0.64 | 1.18 | 0 | 8 |
| Personal_Security | 18132 | 3.15 | 1.24 | 0 | 4 |
| | | | | | |
| Latin America Variables | Observations | Mean S | Std. Dev. | <u>Min</u> | Max |
| Democ_Best | 18207 | 2.98 | 0.76 | 1 | 4 |
| Mkt_Econ_Best | 17738 | 2.85 | 0.79 | 1 | 4 |
| Bigcity | 20222 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| Crime_Victim | 20042 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0 | _1 |
| Change_ELQ_Kids | 16296 | 1.09 | 1.71 | -8 | 9 |

| Description |
|--|
| Respondent's self ranking of economic status |
| Respondent's expectations of childrens' economic status |
| ELQ_kids - ELQ |
| ELQ - Income |
| Respondent's self ranking of living conditions |
| Respondent's expectations of living conditions in 12 months |
| 1=prefers democracy, 0=sometimes non-democracy is best |
| 1=prefers market economy 0=prefer government economy |
| Sum of answers to 3 questions regarding political involvement |
| Sum of answers to questions on being robbery or attack victims |
| Respondent's perceptions of how safe they feel |
| |
| Description |
| 4=Strongly agree that democracy is best. 1=Strongly disagree |

4=Strongly agree that market economy is best. 1=Strongly disagree 1=Respondent lives in a city with a population exceeding 100,000 1=Victim of a crime

ELQ_kids - ELQ

Regressions: Change_ELQ_Kids

Table 1: Regression of Change_ELQ_Kids in Africa

Table 1a: Regression of Change_ELQ_Kids in Latin America

| Observations | 14237 | |
|--------------|---------|--|
| LRchi2(30) | 1751.59 | |
| Prob > chi2 | 0.00 | |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.03 | |

| Change_ELQ_Kids | Coefficient | T-Score |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Δαρ | -0 0010 | _0 20 |
| Age? | -0.0010 | -0.16 |
| Ngez | -0.0000 | 2 00 |
| Mala | -0.0250 | -2.90 |
| | 0.0279 | 0.95 |
| Income | -0.015/** | -2.55 |
| Urban | -0.0055 | -0.16 |
| Unemployed | 0.0093 | 0.27 |
| Freq_Crime_Victim | 0.0272** | 2.12 |
| Capeverde | 0.9281*** | 13.27 |
| Lesotho | -0.7496*** | -9.98 |
| Mali | 0.7510*** | 10.21 |
| Mozambique | 0.4465*** | 5.86 |
| Safrica | 0.6849*** | 11.54 |
| Kenya | 1.0961*** | 18.57 |
| Malawi | -0.1720** | -2.11 |
| Namibia | 0.5072*** | 7.76 |
| Nigeria | 1.4786*** | 26.81 |
| Tanzania | -0.2524*** | -3.52 |

Notes: Uganda is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Afrobarometer

| Observations | 14279 |
|--------------|--------|
| LRchi2(30) | 552.69 |
| Prob > chi2 | 0.00 |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.01 |

| Change_ELQ_Kids | Coefficient | T-Score |
|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| Age | -0.0245*** | -4.75 |
| Age2 | 0.0001*** | 3.39 |
| Yedu | 0.0049 | 1.21 |
| Male | 0.0304 | 1.01 |
| Wealth | 0.0154** | 2.07 |
| Unemp | 0.1465** | 2.26 |
| Crime_Victim | -0.037 | -1.12 |
| Argentina | 0.9390*** | 5.05 |
| Bolivia | 0.5967*** | 3.19 |
| Brazil | 0.6956*** | 3.68 |
| Colombia | 0.0596 | -0.32 |
| Costa_Rica | 0.3296* | 1.76 |
| Chile | 0.9526*** | 5.15 |
| Ecuador | 0.0351 | 0.19 |
| El_Salvador | 0.1685 | 0.90 |
| Guatemala | 0.1505 | 0.80 |
| Honduras | 0.5891*** | 3.14 |
| Mexico | 0.6732*** | 3.61 |
| Nicaragua | 0.0674 | 0.36 |
| Panama | 0.3858** | 2.05 |
| Paraguay | 0.4100** | 2.12 |
| Peru | 0.6248*** | 3.32 |
| Venezuela | 0.4272** | 1.98 |

Notes: Uruguay is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Latinobarometro 2000

Regression: ELQ_Income_Gap in Africa

Table 2: Regression of ELQ_Income_Gap in Africa

| Observations | 15440 |
|--------------|---------|
| LRchi2(30) | 3354.66 |
| Prob > chi2 | 0.00 |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.04 |

| ELQ_Income_Gap | Coefficient | I-Score |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age | -0.0969*** | -19.58 |
| Age2 | 0.0008*** | 16.18 |
| Yeduc | -0.1535*** | -18.93 |
| Male | -0.0556** | -1.97 |
| Urban | 0.1735*** | 5.25 |
| Unemployed | 1.0237*** | 31.55 |
| Freq_Crime_Victim | -0.0161 | -1.32 |
| Capeverde | 1.0719*** | 16.45 |
| Lesotho | 0.1839*** | 2.77 |
| Mali | 0.4167*** | 6.12 |
| Mozambique | -0.1345** | -2.01 |
| Safrica | 0.2072*** | 3.73 |
| Kenya | 0.4999*** | 8.97 |
| Malawi | -1.1015*** | -14.33 |
| Namibia | 1.4894*** | 24.10 |
| Nigeria | 0.6790*** | 12.71 |
| Tanzania | 0.3540*** | 5.33 |
| | | |

Notes: Uganda is the dropped country dummy

*Significant at the 10% level

**Significant at the 5% level

***Significant at the 1% level

Source: Afrobarometer

Regressions: Living Conditions on Crime in Africa

Table 3: Regressions of Living Conditions on Crime in Africa

Only includes observations where personal security >= 3

Observations 11675 **Observations** 3954 LRchi2(30) 1880.57 LRchi2(30) 605.18 Prob > chi20.00 Prob > chi20.00 Pseudo R2 0.05 Pseudo R2 0.05 L Conditions Coefficient T-Score L Conditions Coefficient -0 0442*** -0.0370*** Age -7.34 Age Aae2 0.0003*** 5.75 0.0003*** Ade2 0.0822*** 8.06 0.0854*** Yeduc Yeduc Male -0.0833** -2.46 Male -0.1164** 11.24 Income 0.0794*** Income 0.0787*** Urban -0.25 Urban 0.2278*** -0.0098Unemployed -0.0300 -0.75 Unemployed -0.0363 Freq Crime Victim Freq Crime Victim -0.0794*** -4.08 -0.0459** 0.3267*** 4.58 0.0999 Capeverde Capeverde Lesotho -0.8754*** -10.77 Lesotho -1.2125*** Mali -0.1684** -2.16 Mali -0.2251 Mozambique 0.8037*** 10.22 Mozambique 0.3064** Safrica -0.76 Safrica -0.2786** -0.05340.3875*** 5.61 Kenya 0.5895*** Malawi -1.1061*** -13.71 Malawi -0.3532 Namibia Namibia 0.8630*** 11.02 0.8255*** Nigeria 1.0310*** 15.86 Nigeria 0.7854*** -1.36 Tanzania -0.1136 Tanzania 0.2647**

Notes: Uganda is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Afrobarometer Notes: Uganda is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Afrobarometer

Only includes observations where personal security < 3

T-Score

-3.71

3.08

4.79

-2 00

6.41

3 20

-0.53

-2.43

0.64

-9.92

-1.21

2.39

-2.45

5.46

-1.43

5.89

5.82

2.14

Regressions: Policy Support in Africa

Table 4: Regressions of Policy Support in Africa

| Observations | 12010 | Observations | 12492 |
|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| LRchi2(30) | 403.75 | LRchi2(30) | 761.61 |
| Prob > chi2 | 0.00 | Prob > chi2 | 0.00 |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.04 | Pseudo R2 | 0.04 |

| Prefer_Democ | Coefficient | T-Score |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age | 0.0163* | 1.77 |
| Age2 | -0.0000 | -0.84 |
| Yeduc | 0.0705*** | 4.67 |
| Male | 0.0543 | 1.10 |
| Income | 0.0158 | 1.60 |
| Urban | 0.2892*** | 5.08 |
| Unemployed | 0.0689 | 1.17 |
| Freq_Crime_Victim | -0.1007*** | -5.16 |
| Support_Trade | -0.0929*** | -4.04 |
| Capeverde | 0.1883 | 1.40 |
| Lesotho | -1.0113*** | -9.49 |
| Mali | 0.1266 | 1.03 |
| Mozambique | -0.6164*** | -5.59 |
| Safrica | -0.5453*** | -5.42 |
| Kenya | 0.6074*** | 5.45 |
| Malawi | -0.6678*** | -6.10 |
| Namibia | -0.2536** | -2.22 |
| Nigeria | -0.2474*** | -2.66 |
| Tanzania | -0 2664** | -2 22 |

Notes: Uganda is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Afrobarometer

| Prefer_Mkt_Econ | Coefficient | T-Score |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age | 0.0078 | 1.18 |
| Age2 | -0.0001* | -1.70 |
| Yeduc | 0.0961*** | 8.44 |
| Male | 0.0309 | 0.82 |
| Income | 0.0399*** | 5.18 |
| Urban | 0.1315*** | 3.05 |
| Unemployed | 0.0320 | 0.72 |
| Freq_Crime_Victim | -0.0199 | -1.25 |
| Support_Trade | 0.0047 | 0.27 |
| Capeverde | -0.3344*** | -3.77 |
| Lesotho | -1.2501*** | -14.16 |
| Mali | -0.3752*** | -4.37 |
| Mozambique | 0.0662 | 0.77 |
| Safrica | -0.5679*** | -7.51 |
| Kenya | -0.6551*** | -9.29 |
| Malawi | -0.0183 | -0.20 |
| Namibia | 0.2238** | 2.52 |
| Nigeria | 0.0904 | 1.26 |
| Tanzania | 0.0736 | 0.81 |

Notes: Uganda is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Afrobarometer

Regressions: Policy Support in Latin America

Table 4a: Regressions of Policy Support in Latin America

| Observations | 15733 | Observations | 15448 |
|--------------|---------|--------------|--------|
| LRchi2(30) | 1708.78 | LRchi2(30) | 544.36 |
| Prob > chi2 | 0.00 | Prob > chi2 | 0.00 |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.05 | Pseudo R2 | 0.02 |

| Democ_Best | Coefficient | T-Score |
|------------------------|-------------|---------|
| ۸ | 0 0030 | 0.25 |
| Age | 0.0038 | 0.25 |
| Age2 | -0.0000 | 0.49 |
| Yeduc | 0.0177*** | 5.30 |
| Male | 0.1196*** | 4.83 |
| Wealth | 0.0378*** | 5.69 |
| Bigcity | -0.0523 | -1.81 |
| Unemp | -0.0941 | -1.65 |
| Crime_Victim | -0.0366 | -0.91 |
| Favor_Econ_Integration | 0.3153*** | -8.77 |
| Argentina | -0.6510*** | -12.99 |
| Bolivia | -1.0217*** | -1.70 |
| Brasil | -0.0256 | -10.48 |
| Colombia | -0.7922*** | -5.87 |
| Costarica | -0.4559*** | -5.44 |
| Chile | -0.4067*** | -8.70 |
| Dominican | -0.7004*** | -14.06 |
| Ecuador | -1.0578*** | -7.41 |
| Elsalvador | -0.5759*** | -13.64 |
| Guatemala | -1.0870*** | -7.95 |
| Honduras | -0.7100*** | -11.53 |
| México | -0.8928*** | -4.48 |
| Nicaragua | -0.3565*** | -10.89 |
| Panamá | -0.9242*** | -20.30 |
| Paraguay | -1.4951*** | -15.47 |
| Perú | -1.2131*** | 9.42 |
| Venezuela | 0.8500*** | |

Notes: Uruguay is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Latinobarometro 2005

| Mkt_Econ_Best | Coefficient | T-Score |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Age | -0.0119** | -2.37 |
| Age2 | 0.0001** | 2.18 |
| Yeduc | -0.0014 | -0.32 |
| Male | 0.0697** | 2.26 |
| Wealth | -0.0218** | -1.97 |
| Bigcity | -0.0624* | -1.82 |
| Unemp | -0.1221** | -2.01 |
| Crime_Victim | -0.0285 | -0.89 |
| Favor_Econ_Integration | 0.2359*** | 12.33 |
| Argentina | -0.4966*** | -5.52 |
| Bolivia | -0.1997** | -2.24 |
| Brasil | 0.3402*** | 3.64 |
| Colombia | -0.0711 | -0.81 |
| Costarica | -0.0623 | -0.64 |
| Chile | 0.0446 | 0.49 |
| Dominican | -0.4787*** | -5.08 |
| Ecuador | -0.1752* | -1.91 |
| Elsalvador | -0.8991*** | -9.42 |
| Guatemala | -0.7093*** | -7.49 |
| Honduras | -0.1565 | -1.62 |
| México | -0.1462* | -1.68 |
| Nicaragua | 0.0947 | 0.97 |
| Panamá | -0.5592*** | -6.02 |
| Paraguay | -0.3686** <u>*</u> | -4.0 <u>5</u> |
| Perú | -0.2637*** | -2.93 |
| Vanazuala | 0 1060 | 1 17 |

Notes: Uruguay is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Latinobarometro 2005

Regressions: Political Involvement in Africa

Table 5: Regressions of Political Involvement in Africa

| Observations | 15410 | |
|--------------|---------|--|
| LRchi2(30) | 2378.43 | |
| Prob > chi2 | 0.00 | |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.03 | |

| Freq_Pol_Index | Coefficient | T-Score |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| L_Conditions | -0.0217* | -1.68 |
| Age | 0.0557*** | 10.99 |
| Age2 | -0.0005*** | -9.14 |
| Yeduc | 0.1841*** | 21.37 |
| Male | 0.3477*** | 12.25 |
| Income | 0.0135** | 2.30 |
| Urban | -0.0868*** | -2.66 |
| Unemployed | -0.1311*** | -3.89 |
| Freq_Crime_Victim | 0.0409*** | 3.35 |
| Capeverde | -1.1697*** | -17.18 |
| Lesotho | 0.3061*** | 4.47 |
| Mali | -1.3679*** | -19.36 |
| Mozambique | -1.0756*** | -16.04 |
| Safrica | -1.3647*** | -23.94 |
| Kenya | -0.3597*** | -6.60 |
| Malawi | -0.6152*** | -8.96 |
| Namibia | -0.7507*** | -11.61 |
| Nigeria | -0.8265*** | -15.44 |
| Tanzania | -0.5040*** | -7.65 |

Notes: Uganda is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Afrobarometer

| Observations | 14042 |
|--------------|---------|
| LRchi2(30) | 2103.68 |
| Prob > chi2 | 0.00 |
| Pseudo R2 | 0.03 |

| Freq_Pol_Index | Coefficient | T-Score |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| Change_ELQ_Kids | 0.0202*** | 3.66 |
| Age | 0.0539*** | 10.04 |
| Age2 | -0.0004*** | -8.23 |
| Yeduc | 0.1832*** | 20.57 |
| Male | 0.3350*** | 11.28 |
| Income | 0.0106* | 1.72 |
| Urban | -0.1374*** | -4.01 |
| Unemployed | -0.1479*** | -4.22 |
| Freq_Crime_Victim | 0.0431*** | 3.41 |
| Capeverde | -1.1798*** | -16.67 |
| Lesotho | 0.3323*** | 4.37 |
| Mali | -1.3648*** | -18.39 |
| Mozambique | -1.0051*** | -13.52 |
| Safrica | -1.3402*** | -22.48 |
| Kenya | -0.4100*** | -7.12 |
| Malawi | -0.5901*** | -7.51 |
| Namibia | -0.7733*** | -11.47 |
| Nigeria | -0.8853*** | -15.73 |
| Tanzania | -0.4655*** | -6.63 |

Notes: Uganda is the dropped country dummy *Significant at the 10% level **Significant at the 5% level ***Significant at the 1% level *Source:* Afrobarometer

Notes on Regressions

- Footnote for Table 3 in power point only not paper:
- In order to ensure that our results were not skewed by zero or below zero responses (most of these are the wealthiest respondents scoring their children than they), we used a Tobit specification, where optimism is a latent variable that is reflected in the gap but truncated at zero. The results are essentially unchanged.

Conclusions

- We find unusual levels of optimism for children's future outcomes among the poorest in Africa. This is the opposite of what we find in other regions, where such optimism is correlated with income and education
- We posit that this could be explained by a) the need to have optimistic traits to survive poverty in such adverse conditions or b) that it reflects the poor's ability to adjust expectations downward in such extreme circumstances but to retain hope for their children

Need panel data to test these hypotheses

- Has implications for "happy peasant" debate or controversy. In this instance, the poor assess their own future prospects realistically, but retain high hopes for their children
- For example, those who are optimistic for their children's future are more likely to get involved in political events and to participate in the community

Conclusions, Cont.

- Optimism among the poor is positively correlated with support for democracy but not for markets
- Support for markets more closely linked to economic endowments and outcomes rather than attitudinal traits
- May reflect clearer definition/conceptual frame surrounding democracy in the African context (the holding of elections?) versus markets, which are both incomplete and unpredictable
 Need data for more years of Afrobarometer to explore this further as well as over time trends