The 2004 Brown Center Report on American Education: HOW WELL ARE AMERICAN STUDENTS LEARNING?

> With studies of NAEP math items, middle school math teachers, and the revamped Blue Ribbon Schools awards

THE BROOKINGS INSTITUTION

The Brown Center Report on American Education

## Part THE NATION'S ACHIEVEMENT/ NAEP MATH ITEMS

#### Sample rubric from Singapore math curriculum

(grade levels at which skills are taught)

1-1

Table

Operations on Whole Numbers	1A (1.0)	1B (1.5)	2A (2.0)	2B (2.5)	3A (3.0)	3B (3.5)
Addition/subtraction of 2-digit numbers within 20	x					
Addition/subtraction of 2-digit numbers within 100		x				
Addition/subtraction of 3-digit numbers			x			
Addition/subtraction of 4-digit numbers					x	

Source: Primary Mathematics U.S. Edition Scope and Sequence for Singapore Math Curriculum, http://www.singaporemath.com/scope\_and\_sequence\_USedition\_PriMath.htm

#### When are key skills taught? (comparing the study's rubric and state frameworks)

	Study's Rubric	California	North Carolina	Massachusetts	Florida
Whole Numbers					
Addition/subtraction of whole numbers less than 100	1	1-2	1	1-2	1-2
Addition/subtraction of 3- and 4-digit numbers	2-3	2-3	2-3	2-3	3
Multiplication times tables and corresponding division facts	2-3	2-3	3	3	4
Multiplication/division of 3-digit number by 1-digit number	3	3	3-4	3-4	4
Division of up to a 4-digit number by a 2-digit number	5	5	4	5	5
Fractions					
Halves and quarters	1	2	2	1-2	1
Comparing and ordering unlike fractions	3	4	3	3-4	3
Addition/subtraction of related fractions	4	4	4	3-4	4
Addition/subtraction of unrelated fractions	5	5	5	5	4
Product of fractions	5	5	6	5-6	5
Division of a fraction by a whole number	5	5-6	6	5-6	5
Percentages					
Concepts and computing (with) percentages	5-6	5-6	6	5-7	5-6

Source: Data compiled from respective state department of education websites; Primary Mathematics U.S. Edition Scope and Sequence for Singapore Math Curriculum, http://www.singaporemath.com/scope\_and\_sequence\_USedition\_PriMath.htm

#### Problem solving items with arithmetic

(by grade level of item)

#### 4th Grade

Grade Level		N	Students Answering Correctly (%)	Standard Error	Minimum	Maximum
1st	6	(15.4%)	49.7	8.2	25	78
2nd	11	(28.2%)	41.7	4.9	9	66
3rd	8	(20.5%)	31.6	3.4	20	47
4th	11	(28.2%)	28.8	4.4	8	58
5th	3	(7.7%)	30.7	10.2	20	51

Note: N= 39, Mean grade level: 3.1, Mean percent of students answering correct: 36.4

Grade Level	N	Students Answering Correctly (%)	Standard Error	Minimum	Maximum
1st	7 (16.3%)	54.0	8.4	25	92
2nd	<b>10</b> (23.3%)	45.4	8.3	5	82
3rd	<b>8</b> (18.6%)	41.4	7.5	4	69
4th	<b>8</b> (18.6%)	32.6	8.7	6	73
5th	<b>6</b> (13.9%)	38.5	6.6	17	58
6th	<b>1</b> (2.3%)	NA	NA	NA	NA
7th	<b>3</b> (7.0%)	27.7	9.3	13	45

#### 8th Grade

Notes: N= 43, Mean grade level: 3.4, Mean percent of students answering correct: 41.4 The single sixth grade item is constructed response.

Source: Author's work and NAEP question tool http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ITMRLS/pickone.asp

# Summary of achievement and grade level of problem solving items (by content strands)

#### Table

1-4

Content Strand	N	Items with Whole Numbers Only	Average Grade Level of Items	Students Answering Correctly (%)
Algebra	5	<b>5</b> (100%)	2.3	24.4
Data analysis	3	<b>2</b> (66.7%)	2.5	45.3
Geometry	0	NA	NA	NA
Measurement	6	<b>4</b> (66.7%)	2.8	37.3
Number sense	25	<b>17</b> (48.6%)	3.4	37.5
Total	39	<b>28</b> (71.8%)	3.1	36.4

#### 4th Grade

#### 8th Grade

Content Strand	N	Items with Whole Numbers Only	Average Grade Level of Items	Students Answering Correctly (%)
Algebra	8	<b>7</b> (87.5%)	2.4	37.0
Data analysis	2	<b>1</b> (50.0%)	4.5	33.5
Geometry	5	<b>5</b> (100%)	3.2	22.6
Measurement	10	<b>5</b> (50.0%)	3.7	37.4
Number sense	18	<b>12</b> (66.7%)	3.6	49.4
Total	43	<b>30</b> (69.8%)	3.4	40.4

Source: Analysis of NAEP items in public release. Data on items available at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ITMRLS

#### Comparison of algebra and number sense items

1-5

Table

#### 4th Grade

Content Strand	N	Items with Whole Numbers Only	Average Grade Level of Items	Students Answering Correctly (%)
Algebra	15	<b>15</b> (100%)	2.3	40.5
Number sense	66	<b>47</b> (71.2%)	3.4	48.1

#### 8th Grade

Content Strand	N	Items with Whole Numbers Only	Average Grade Level of Items	Students Answering Correctly (%)
Algebra	25	<b>23</b> (92.0%)	2.6	45.6
Number sense	54	<b>31</b> (57.4%)	4.1	55.3

Source: Analysis of NAEP items in public release. Data on items available at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ITMRLS

1-6

Table

#### 4th Grade

Content Strand	Calculator?	N	Items with Whole Numbers Only	Average Grade Level
Algebra	Y	8	100%	2.9
Algebra	N	7	100%	1.6
Number sense	Y	27	70.4%	3.8
	N	39	71.8%	3.1

#### 8th Grade

Content Strand	Calculator?	N	Items with Whole Numbers Only	Average Grade Level
Algebra	Y	10	80.0%	3.4
Algebra	N	15	100%	2.1
Number sense	Y	21	42.9%	4.7
Number Sense	N	33	66.7%	3.6

Source: Analysis of NAEP items in public release. Data on items available at http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/ITMRLS Grade 4 Item Block: 2003-4M10 No. 8:



8. What is K + L – M? A) 1 B) 5 C) 7 D) 11

#### Grade 4 Block: 2003-4M6 No. 8

8. Peter wrote down a pattern of A's and B's that repeats in groups of 3. Here is the beginning of his pattern with some of the letters erased. Fill in the missing letters.

## $\underline{\mathbf{A}} \underline{\mathbf{B}} \_ \underline{\mathbf{A}} \_ \underline{\mathbf{B}} \_ \_$

#### Grade 8 Item Block: 1990-8M9 No. 8:

(2,5), (4,9), (6,13)

Which of the following describes what to do to the first number in each ordered pair shown above to obtain the corresponding second number?

A) Add 3

**B)** Subtract 3

C) Multiply by 2

**D)** Multiply by 2 and subtract 1

E) Multiply by 2 and add 1

The Brown Center Region on American Education

### Part THE CONTENT II TRAINING OF MIDDLE SCHOOL MATH TEACHERS

# Middle school math teacher backgrounds



Background	Percent
Undergraduate major in mathematics	22%
Undergraduate minor in mathematics	<b>19</b> %
Teaching credential or certificate in mathematics	<b>41</b> %

Middle school math teachers were asked:

Table 2-2

"In college, how many math courses did you complete that were offered by the mathematics department not offered by the education school/ department?"

<b>Completed Courses</b>	Percent
Four or more	77%
Three	7%
Тwo	7%
One	5%
None	4%

Middle school math teachers were asked:



"In college, how many math courses did you complete that were offered by the education school/ department—not offered by the mathematics department?"

Completed Courses	Dereent
Completed Courses	Percent
Four or more	17%
Three	9%
Two	<b>26</b> %
One	22%
None	24%

Percent of middle school mathematics teachers completing various college courses

Table

2-4

Completed Courses	Percent
General methods of teaching	92%
Methods of teaching mathematics	<b>78</b> %
Supervised student teaching in mathematics	<b>47</b> %
Mathematics for middle schoo teachers	45%
Instructional uses of computers/other technologies	43%
Geometry for elementary/ middle school teachers	36%
College algebra/trigonometry/ elementary functions	<b>66</b> %
Probability and statistics	56%
Geometry	<b>47</b> %
Computer programming or other computer science	45%
Calculus	43%

Percent of middle school mathematics teachers completing various college courses

Table

2-4

Completed Courses	Percent
Linear algebra	28%
Number theory	27%
Applications of mathematics/ problem solving	27%
Other upper division mathematics	25%
Abstract algebra	22%
Advanced calculus	<b>21</b> %
Differential equations	19%
History of mathematics	16%
Discrete mathematics	<b>12</b> %
Real analysis	<b>11</b> %
Engineering (any)	6%

Source: Dawayne Whittington, "Status of Middle School Mathematics Teaching," (Horizon Research, Inc., December 2002), p. 4. Middle school math teachers were asked to describe their teaching responsibilities in a single day

1	Table	
	2-5	

Responsibilities	Percent
All math courses	75%
Mostly math courses	17%
Some math courses	8%
No math courses	0%

# Amount of professional development reported during the past two school years

	No time	Less than 1 hour	1-2 hours	3-5 hours	More than 5 hours
Geometry	<b>43</b> %	<b>15</b> %	15%	9%	17%
Algebra	29%	10%	<b>18</b> %	10%	32%
Fractions and arithmetic	44%	14%	17%	9%	17%
Use of hands-on materials	23%	10%	23%	16%	28%
Integrating math topics	32%	13%	<b>21</b> %	12%	21%
Use of calculators	43%	<b>12</b> %	<b>16</b> %	11%	18%
Writing in math	33%	19%	23%	11%	13%
Math projects	<b>47</b> %	13%	20%	9%	11%
State standards	15%	9%	<b>19</b> %	13%	44%

Number of topics on which teachers received professional development



Number of Topics	Percent of Teachers
None	3%
1-3 topics	<b>16</b> %
4-7 topics	44%
8 or more topics	36%

# Teachers were asked to evaluate professional development they've received during the past two years.

	Not helpful	Somewhat helpful	Very helpful
Geometry	14%	57%	29%
Algebra	5%	54%	<b>41</b> %
Fractions and arithmetic	11%	56%	33%
Use of hands-on materials	8%	52%	<b>40</b> %
Integrating math topics	21%	52%	27%
Use of calculators	15%	44%	<b>41</b> %
Writing in math	17%	54%	29%
Math projects	29%	53%	<b>18</b> %
State standards	12%	48%	39%

Table

2-8

Responses from teachers who have participated in professional development

# Education and teaching assignments of teachers with high, middle, and low levels of content training



	<b>Low</b> (n=56)	Middle (n=133)	<b>High</b> (n=53)
4 or more education courses	23.6	18.3	9.3
Math credential	17.9	60.3	61.1
Full time	53.6	83.8	77.8

Percentage of teachers reporting 5 or more hours of professional development on various topics during the past two years, by level of content knowledge

Medium High Low 11% Geometry 18% 18% Algebra 33% 33% 30% **Fractions and arithmetic** 18% 9% 17% Use of hands-on materials 31% 27% 23% 25% 20% 17% Integrating math topics Use of calculators 13% 20% 19% Writing in math 9% 14% 11% Math projects 13% 7% 15% State standards 42% 48% 38%

Table 2-12

Percentage of teachers who would find professional development on the following topics "Very helpful," by level of content knowledge

	Low	Medium	High
Geometry	51%	40%	26%
Algebra	60%	47%	34%
Fractions and arithmetic	45%	33%	19%
Use of hands-on materials	47%	45%	30%
Integrating math topics	52%	46%	32%
Use of calculators	51%	38%	26%
Writing in math	44%	39%	25%
Math projects	45%	45%	36%
State standards	38%	41%	23%

Table

2-13

Middle school math teachers were asked: "Which of the following incentives do you think would be effective in persuading teachers to attend a summer institute?"

	Not effective	Somewhat effective	Very effective
Extra pay or stipend	0%	13%	87%
Salary schedule advancement	2%	18%	80%
Credits toward a degree	7%	36%	58%
Credit for leave or release time	22%	38%	40%

Table 2-14

# Part BLUE RIBBON

LARSH MARKEN

Ø

# Achievement of 1999 Blue Ribbon Schools

(1999 test scores)



#### Percentile

State	Number	99th-90th	89th-50th	49th-1st
California	39	<b>12</b> (31%)	<b>18</b> (46%)	9 (23%)
Illinois	3	<b>1</b> (33%)	<b>1</b> (33%)	<b>1</b> (33%)
Indiana	4	<b>0</b> (O%)	<b>2</b> (50%)	<b>2</b> (50%)
Michigan	9	<b>1</b> (11%)	<b>5</b> (56%)	<b>3</b> (33%)
Pennsylvania	10	<b>4</b> (40%)	<b>6</b> (60%)	<b>0</b> (O%)
New Mexico	1	<b>0</b> (O%)	<b>0</b> (O%)	<b>1</b> (100%)
Washington	4	<b>1</b> (25%)	<b>2</b> (50%)	<b>1</b> (25%)
Total	70	<b>19</b> (27%)	<b>34</b> (49%)	<b>17</b> (24%)

Note: Test scores from 1998-1999 school year, adjusted for socioeconomic status (SES). Public schools only. Blue Ribbon awards given in 1999.

Source: Tom Loveless. The Brown Center Report on American Education: How Well Are American Students Learning? (2000)

## Achievement of 1999 Blue Ribbon Schools

(2003 test scores)



Percentile

State	Number	99th-90th	89th-50th	49th-1st
California	38	<b>2</b> (5%)	<b>30</b> (79%)	<b>6</b> (16%)
Illinois	3	<b>0</b> (O%)	<b>3</b> (100%)	<b>0</b> (O%)
Indiana	4	<b>0</b> (O%)	<b>3</b> (75%)	<b>1</b> (25%)
Michigan	9	<b>0</b> (O%)	<b>6</b> (67%)	<b>3</b> (33%)
Pennsylvania	10	<b>2</b> (20%)	<b>7</b> (70%)	<b>1</b> (10%)
Washington	4	<b>0</b> (O%)	<b>3</b> (75%)	<b>1</b> (25%)
Total	68	4 (6%)	<b>52</b> (76%)	<b>12</b> (18%)

Note: Test scores from 2002-2003 school year, adjusted for socioeconomic status (SES). Public schools only. Blue Ribbon awards given in 1999.

Source: Data compiled from respective state department of education websites.

#### Achievement of 2003 Blue Ribbon Schools

(2003 test scores)



Percentile

Number	99th-90th	89th-50th	49th-1st
24	2 (8%)	<b>18</b> (75%)	<b>4</b> (17%)
6	<b>1</b> (17%)	<b>4</b> (66%)	<b>1</b> (17%)
3	<b>2</b> (67%)	<b>1</b> (33%)	<b>0</b> (0%)
8	<b>5</b> (63%)	<b>3</b> (37%)	<b>0</b> (0%)
3	<b>1</b> (33%)	<b>2</b> (67%)	<b>0</b> (0%)
10	<b>7</b> (70%)	<b>3</b> (30%)	<b>0</b> (0%)
3	<b>0</b> (O%)	<b>3</b> (100%)	<b>0</b> (0%)
57	<b>18</b> (31%)	34 (60%)	5 (9%)
	24 6 3 8 3 10	24 2 (8%)   6 1 (17%)   3 2 (67%)   8 5 (63%)   3 1 (33%)   10 7 (70%)   3 0 (0%)	24 2 (8%) 18 (75%)   6 1 (17%) 4 (66%)   3 2 (67%) 1 (33%)   8 5 (63%) 3 (37%)   3 1 (33%) 2 (67%)   10 7 (70%) 3 (30%)   3 0 (0%) 3 (100%)

Note: Test scores from 2002-2003 school year, adjusted for socioeconomic status (SES). Public schools only. Blue Ribbon awards given in 2003.

Source: Data compiled from respective state department of education websites.