

**Table: Creating the Lima Call for Climate Action:**

**An Analysis of Debate over ADP Drafts in Plenaries of 12 December 2014 and 13 December 2014 and of the Adopted Final Text**

Who supported and opposed what in ADP “Implementation of all elements of Decision 1/CP17”

Version 2 of 11 December 2014 at 22:30; selected paragraphs; discussion of who favored or opposed was on 12/12;

12/11 text paragraphs	Who spoke in favor?	Who spoke in opposition?	12/12 revised text	12/14 final text
2. What the agreement will address: “Mitigation, adaptation, <b>including</b> loss and damage...”	Favors “adaptation, including loss and damage” or oppose any inclusion of loss and damage: US (without loss and damage), New Zealand, Australia, Russia	Favors “adaptation, loss and damage,...” Nicaragua, Nauru, Solomon Islands, Ghana, Philippines, Nigeria, Mexico, Pakistan, Dominican Republic, Fiji	Loss and damage gone from the paragraph	Loss and damage only in a preambular paragraph: reference to the Warsaw International Mechanism on loss and damage.
6. Developed and <b>others capable</b> will mobilize/provide support for mitigation and adaption to the vulnerable	Malawi, Marshall Islands, Mali modifies it, Mexico, Saudi Arabia	delete paragraph: EU & Turkey Delete “other Parties in a position to do so”: Sudan, Brazil, China, Bolivia on behalf of G77, US, DRC	“and other Parties in a position to do so” was removed.	“Urges developed country parties to provide and mobilize” financial support, “recognizes complementary support” by other Parties.
7. Option 1) Communicate intended nationally determined contributions (INDCs)	China, Cuba, India, Pakistan, Bolivia/G77, Iran (ref. article 4), Nicaragua, Cuba (ref. article 4), Belarus (mod), Malaysia, Russia, Pakistan, DRC, Vietnam	Bangladesh, Trinidad	Adopted	Unchanged
7. Option 2) Communicate INDCs, progression beyond current undertaking	Australia, China, US, Canada, Malaysia (if amended to include CBDR), Korea (will consider), Australia, Switzerland, Trinidad, Panama, UAE, New Zealand, Japan, acceptable to Cuba, Belarus		Gone, although second part of Option 2 is the same as Option 1	“Progression beyond the current undertaking” is included
7. Option 3) Communicate INDCs with mitigation, and possibly adaptation, finance, tech development /transfer, and capacity-building; progression beyond current undertaking	Brazil, Tanzania, Africa group (mod), Philippines (mod), Solomon Islands, Malawi, Bangladesh, Dominican Republic, Malaysia, Korea (pref), Fiji, Mexico, DRC (mod), Marshall Islands, Sudan/Africa group, Trinidad		Gone	Still not included

New: in INDCs, LDCs and SIDS may communicate info on plans for low-GHG development reflecting their special circumstances (note: no mention of financial or tech support)	LDCs, SIDS, Africa group, those in favor of greater differentiation.		New	Adopted
New: all Parties invited to consider communicating undertakings in adaptation or including adaptation in INDCs		The EU pushed for mitigation-only INDCs, but language on including adaptation is weak enough to be permissible	New	Adopted
8. INDC timeline: first quarter of 2015 or in advance of COP21, facilitate clarity/transparency			Unchanged	Parties to communicate INDCs “well in advance” of COP21; by the first quarter of 2015 for “those Parties ready to do so.” “Facilitate the clarity, transparency and understanding” is adopted.
9. Option 1) Provide information to facilitate clarity/transparency	China OK w/addition, India, Boliva/G77, Cuba, Belarus, Malaysia/LMDC (pref), Vietnam (pref), Pakistan, DRC, Saudi Arabia (mod), Vietnam		Gone	Still not included
9. Option 2) In order to facilitate clarity/transparency, provide: reference point, time frames, scope, level, and considerations of equity and ambition	Philippines (mod), US (comfortable, mod), Canada, LMDC will consider, Korea, Vietnam (ok), Australia (mod), Sudan/Africa group, Switzerland, Iran (ok), Panama (ok) UAE (ok), Japan, New Zealand	China (no diff), Bolivia/G77	Gone	Still not included
9. Option 3) In order to facilitate clarity/transparency, provide: reference point, time frames, scope, assumptions, methodologies, adaptation, market mechanisms; reference as appropriate finance, technology development/	Brazil, AILAC, US (first part, to “mechanisms”), Dominican Republic, Mexico, LMDC will consider w/ mod, Fiji, Bangladesh (add annex II on INDCs to option), Trinidad, Panama (pref), South Africa (ok), Marshall Islands	China (no diff), Bolivia/G77	Adopted. Added flexibility on what “assumptions and methodologies” parties can use to provide quantifiable mitigation. Close to 3, without the annex. Gives parties some guidance on what they should include,	Version with “assumptions and methodological approaches” added is adopted; the change to “Fair and ambitious, in light of its national circumstances” is also adopted

transfer for developing; include considerations of equity and ambition; parties shall use annex 2 as appropriate.			but not too specific. Change to “fair and ambitious” from “fair and equitable, ambitious and consistent”	
10. Developed countries, operating entities of the Financial Mechanism, and other organizations capable to provide financial support for INDC preparation and communication			Unchanged	Adopted
11. Option 1) Publish INDCs on UNFCCC website	China, UAE, Nicaragua, Cuba, Belarus, Malaysia, Vietnam, India, DRC, Saudi Arabia, Brazil	AILAC, Russia (“none of the options are palatable”)	Included	Included
11. Option 2) a) Publish on UNFCCC website b) Dialogue in June 2015 to facilitate clarity/transparency c) Arrive with technical paper on aggregate effect of INDCs	Cuba (mod), Belarus, US, Canada, Malaysia (+CBDR), Korea, Panama (ok), Belarus (ok), UAE (ok), New Zealand, Japan, DRC (ok)	Bolivia, Russia	Half included: Prepare by 30 July 2015 a technical paper compiling the info provided by 30 June by Parties communicating INDCs	Alteration: prepare a synthesis report by 1 November 2015 on the INDCs received by 1 October 2015.
11 option 3) a) Publish on UNFCCC website b) Two dialogues to facilitate clarity/transparency, calculate aggregate effect, evaluate equity and arrive with technical paper on aggregate effect	AILAC, Marshall islands, Dominican Republic, Malaysia (+CBDR), Fiji, EU, Tuvalu/LDCs, Mexico, Panama (ok), Switzerland, Trinidad	Cuba, Bolivia, Russia	Gone	Still not included
12. Encourage ratification of Doha Amendment to Kyoto Protocol			Unchanged	Adopted
13. Option 1) Resolve to accelerate full implementation and enhance pre-2020 ambition	Australia, Belarus, US, Canada, Korea, EU, India, Switzerland		Gone	Still not included

13. Option 2) Forum to assess implementation	AILAC, Korea		Gone	Still not included
13. Option 3) Accelerated Implementation Mechanism to: a) Understand implementation status b) Explain implementation arrangements c) Assess need for finance, technological, and capacity-building support to enable NAMA implementation d) Review examination of best practices e) Facilitate pre-2020 coherence	Brazil, Bolivia/G77, China, Mexico Dominican Republic, Malaysia (mod), Fiji, Vietnam	Canada, Ethiopia (need emphasis on implementation)	Adopted, with changes: forum will start in May 2016, not June 2015. Removed: a) enhance the collective understanding of the implementation status of existing emission reductions and NAMAs with a view to accelerating pre-2020 mitigation ambition and identifying opportunities to expedite implementation	Reference to May 2016 and May 2017 sessions of the subsidiary removed; “accelerate the implementation” changed to “Accelerate the full implementation... and enhance ambition in the pre-2010 period.” Parts (a) through (d) deleted (the four subparagraphs remaining in the 12/12 draft text deleted).
14. Examining mitigation potential: organize technical expert meetings to identify options, enhance collaboration among committees, hone options, engage nonstate, support acceleration, announce agenda in advance – disseminate follow-up information	None opposed, Mexico proposed reference to women and indigenous peoples		Added: provide opportunities for the effective engagement of experts from Parties, organizations, civil society, <b>“indigenous peoples, women, youth, academic institutions,”</b> the private sector, and subnational authorities	Adopted as amended in 12/12 text
Annex II: enumeration of criteria to be included in INDCs		Proposes deletion of annex II: Malaysia/LMDC, Cuba Differentiation in annex ii is essential: Malaysia/LMDC, Argentina	Annex II is gone	Annex II is still not included. Elements for a draft negotiating text are included as Annex I.