THE BROOKINGS INSTITUTION

MAMA SAYS: A NATIONAL SURVEY OF MOTHER'S ATTITUDES ON FATHERING

Washington, D.C.

Tuesday, December 1, 2009

PARTICIPANTS:

Welcome:

RON HASKINS Senior Fellow, Economic Studies

Overview:

BARBARA DAFOE WHITEHEAD Director, Center for Thrift and Generosity Institute for American Values

Moderator:

ROLAND C. WARREN
President
National Fatherhood Initiative

Panelists:

KATHRYN EDIN
Professor of Public Policy and Management
Harvard University

NORVAL GLENN Professor of Sociology University of Texas

NISA MUHAMMAD Executive Director Wedded Bliss Foundation

J. TRACY ROBINSON
Executive Director
Ohio Commission on Fatherhood

SHERI STEISEL Federal Affairs Counsel National Conference of State Legislatures

* * * * *

PROCEEDINGS

MR. HASKINS: Welcome to Brookings. My name is Ron Haskins, I'm a Senior Fellow here and Co-Director of the Center on Children and Families, along with Belle Sawhill, and I'd like to welcome you to this event. Many probably have followed the literature on mothers and fathers, and I think we could summarize a major part of the literature by quoting Yogi Bear, who said, you can learn a lot by listening.

And we have developed – Kathy Edin, who's in the front row here, has written several books, and there are other scholars who have done the same thing, go in and talk to mothers and fathers and ask them their opinion about all sorts of things and that's what this report is. It's a wonderful report based on what mothers say about marriage and child rearing and fathers and related topics.

And there's a companion report that's on National Fatherhood Institute's website which is an interview study of fathers so you get both sides of the equation. And there's some – lots of interesting similarities between what mothers and fathers say, and there's some even more interesting differences in what mothers and fathers say, and we plan to get into that this morning. Here's how we're going to proceed this morning. As soon as I get through yacking, Barbara Dafoe Whitehead will give an overview of the report, and then we'll have a brief discussion

among the people on the first panel, and we'll give the audience an

opportunity to ask questions. We'd like to have questions rather than

statements or comments. A very brief comment might be okay, but any

time you go beyond 45 seconds, then I might say something like, is there

a question in there somewhere, so let's have questions and not long

statements.

Then we'll – after you get – after I ask some questions of the

4

first panel and then give you a chance to ask questions, then we'll bring up

the second panel, they'll make opening statements, and Roland Warren,

who is moderating the second panel, will ask a few questions, then we'll

give the audience an opportunity to ask questions.

So let me announce that Sherry Steisel is not here,

unfortunately, she had a death in her family yesterday, and she had to

leave last night for Arizona, so it's unfortunate she can't be here. Sherry

would have talked about something, I hope we'll at least mention this

during the conversation this morning, and that is the changes that I think

are really significant in state child support enforcement programs, many of

which are trying to do a better job of reaching out to fathers and try to

involve fathers rather than just simply chase them for the money and, you

know, put them in jail if necessary, I mean really very tough practices in

child support enforcement program, as many people know, and Sherry is

in the national congress and state legislature, has been directly involved in a lot of these programs, so it would have been a very valuable perspective, we'll try to make up for that if we can.

But we do have Barbara Dafoe Whitehead here, who's a wonderful scholar. Here's a little known fact about Barbara, she's a historian, but she overcame that early disqualification, and she become what I think and have long regarded, and I've not known Barbara personally very well at all, but I have long regarded her as one of the foremost what I think we sometimes call public intellectuals in America.

Her 1993 article in the Atlantic Monthly entitled Improbably,
Dan Quail was right, is a milestone in a national – to reduce non-marital
births and promote marriage, as our books on divorce and marriage. I
was tempted to ask her about her book entitled "Why There Are No Good
Men Left", but I decided I wouldn't because I might not like the answer.
Barbara is now head of a project on Thrift and Generosity and Institute for
American Values, and as soon as she finishes that project, she's coming
to Washington to be the head of CBO and solve the national debt crisis.
Barbara Dafoe Whitehead.

MS. WHITEHEAD: Good morning, everyone, and thank you, Ron, for that nice introduction. And thanks to the NFI for commissioning this very rich and suggestive survey, and to Roland

Warren for leading that effort. I want to lead off the discussion today by

describing some of the survey's major findings. But first let me just say a

word about why a survey about mothers makes an important contribution

to helping us understand how to encourage and support responsible

fatherhood.

We live in an era of co-parenting, and as a general rule, we

expect mothers and fathers to share parenting responsibility for the

children they have together no matter what the parents' own relationship

is. And further, there's a strong policy consensus, I think it's fair to say,

that we should encourage healthy co-parenting relationships. Now,

mothers represent one-half of the co-parenting relationship, and I think

some mothers would argue that they represent more than half of the co-

parenting relationship, but be that as it may, we do know that mother's

evaluation of fathering plays a role in determining the quality both of

fathering and of the co-parenting partnership.

So what I like about this survey is that it acknowledges the

role of mothers and gives us a handle on those conditions and attitudes

that either promote or frustrate good fathering and good parenting.

So now let me just make this what really is a mad dash

through the survey, which, as I said, is very rich and has a lot of nuance,

and I'm going to ignore the nuance and just tell you key findings.

7

It's a companion survey to an earlier survey of fathers, also commissioned by NFI, and conducted by Norval Glenn, who also did this survey, and so it gives us some opportunity to compare what mothers think and what fathers think, so maybe we can talk about that in a minute.

But the survey report covers four main areas, mother's evaluation of father's performance, their general attitudes toward fathers and fatherhood, mother's views on what they see as main obstacles to good fathering, and mother's perception of some of the resources that might be helpful to fathers. So now let me just again hit on some of the highlights. First, on mother's evaluation of father's parenting performance, mothers were asked to agree or disagree with a series of statements that were designed to elicit their appraisal of the father's behavior on a number of measures, including, you know, the overall satisfaction with the father's performance, how much time he spends with the child, how warm and close the relationship might be, whether they thought the father had all the necessary skills to be a good father, and how well father contributed to the mother's ability to balance work and family responsibilities.

So one key finding, a very important one, has to do with the relationship of the mother's co-residency with her satisfaction or dissatisfaction with the father's performance. Mothers who lived with the fathers of their child, whether they were married to the fathers or

cohabiting, had dramatically greater satisfaction with the father's parenting

performance on most measures than mothers who did not live with the

fathers. And the differences between the living together mothers and the

not living together mother's satisfaction levels were huge. Norval Glenn is

going to say more about that later on, so I will just say that if you put it in

report card terms, you would say the mothers who live with the fathers of

the children were given the fathers A's or maybe B pluses, whereas the

mothers who were not living with the fathers were giving the fathers

probably C minuses or D's on most of the measures of their overall

assessment of the father's behavior.

Mothers not living with the fathers were especially unhappy

and dissatisfied if the fathers had other romantic marital or step-parent

responsibility. And with each additional successive relationship, the

mothers reported increasing levels of satisfaction. So this is a really, you

know, major finding of the survey.

A second key finding had to do with work/family balance.

And here mothers pretty much across the board agreed that fathers could

do more to help them achieve a better work/family balance.

As I said, this is widespread among all the mothers, but what

was particularly interesting was that the mothers who were overall pretty

happy with the dad's performance on most measures were less happy

with the father's contribution to helping them sustain a good work/family

balance. So, you know, again, in report card terms, the mothers who were

giving the dads A's on most measures were giving them something closer

to B minuses or C pluses on how well they contributed to helping the

mothers balance work and family.

And the work/family issue also came up in the survey when

mothers were asked about their perception of obstacles to good fathering,

and here again, work was the number one obstacle for almost all the

mothers.

A third key finding was that mother's strong religious beliefs

correlated with satisfaction with the father's performance whether the

mothers were living with the father or not. Mothers, even those who were

not religious, also cited faith communities as the institutions that were

most able to help fathers become better fathers. And they placed faith

communities above let's say community organizations or schools or other

helping institutions.

So now quickly let me turn to another area of the survey,

which is mother's general attitudes toward fathers. We asked fathers this

in the father survey, or at least Norval did, and we wanted to ask mother

the same question about is there a crisis of father absence in the country

today, and sure enough, the overwhelming majority of mothers, 93

percent, agreed. And the view of the father crisis was even stronger among African American mothers than for white mothers. So 83 percent of African American mothers strongly agreed that there was a father absence crisis compared to 62 percent of white mothers, it's interesting.

On the question of can dads be – are they irreplaceable or can they be replaced by a mother or another adult male role model. Here a majority of mothers, a somewhat small majority of mothers agreed that fathers can be replaced by a mother or another male role model. But a better measure perhaps is those who strongly agree, and they're about a fifth of the mothers strongly agree that fathers were replaceable by either a mother or a male role model, with twice as many of the mothers not living with the fathers agreeing that fathers could be replaced.

And then the third statement that we wanted to explore was this one, all things being – all else being equal, men perform best as fathers if they're married to the mothers of their children. And here two-thirds of the mothers agreed. And not surprisingly perhaps, married mothers were more likely to agree with this statement compared to cohabiting mothers or mothers who didn't live with the father. So that's my mad dash through the key findings, and there's much, much more. But let me just conclude by saying something about what the implications are for

policies for how we can use these survey findings to inform the work in promoting responsible fatherhood.

Well, the first one is that I think clearly we need to marshal huge amounts of social imagination and energy in order to help mothers and fathers who do not live together to form healthy father/child relationships and good co-parenting relationships, because this population was clearly at much greater risk for dissatisfaction, disagreements, potential conflict in their – both the father, you know, the mother's appraisal of the fathers closeness and ability to be a good father and also presumably in their ability to work together cooperatively.

The second major finding I think drawn from the survey evidence would be that it's really important to promote healthy marriage as the living together relationship that most reliably provides a long term basis for good fathering and good co-parenting.

Now, one question that often arises, and particularly in light of this survey's findings, is whether it's marriage or it's just living together that contributes to good fathering, since most of mothers who lived with the fathers also had pretty high appraisals of the father's performance.

But here I think we have to rely also on a very large body of social science evidence to support the idea that marriage is probably the most reliable foundation for long lasting co-residential, high commitment relationships

between the mother and father, and, of course, between the father and the

children.

Now, I want to – Norval and I both would hasten to add that

we're not suggesting that marriage promotion somehow substitutes for

helping parents who are not living together and not married, but rather that

it's a compliment, it's a complimentary strategy, and especially to get the

word out to younger people who have not yet entered their parenting life.

It's a long life, I can tell you, it goes on and on, but – in any event, to make

them aware of the social science evidence on the importance of marriage

to good fatherhood.

And then finally, but not lastly, extremely important to

encourage fathers to do more to help mothers balance work and family,

and this is a crucial issue I think to address for all fathers in almost any

family circumstance in the future, and especially today, when, as you

know, men are losing jobs at a great rate, and very often mothers have

become, if they weren't already, the sole earner in the family. So it's

extremely important I think to get it right, how both parents contribute to

having a good work/family balance.

Norval and I just speculate that balancing work and family

probably means more than just doing the fun things with the kids, it

probably means helping out with some of the more mundane chores that

we women are familiar with. So I'll conclude there on that happy note and

await questions. Thank you.

MR. HASKINS: So I can tell that Barbara Dafoe Whitehead

has never been at my house. My wife is a complete slave driver. She

doesn't even know what a washing machine is. Last week she asked me,

where do you keep the broom. So not every house is like that, but I wish it

were.

So now I get to ask some questions. I'll start out with this –

oh, let me say, first of all, that Roland Warren, who's the head of the

National Fatherhood Initiative that sponsored this study and the previous

study I mentioned, is here to my right, only on this panel, otherwise, he's

not on my right.

And Norval Glenn from the University of Texas, who actually

played a direct role in designing and conducting the study, and a long

time, here way before any of us, maybe Barbara, and we were talking

about who's the oldest a little while ago, but Norval has been studying

marriage and divorce and family relationships for many, many, many

years, I – to him kind of the dean of the field.

And let me just mention here that he did a study recently –

very – wanting to say that the sky world, which is generally not to the right

of either one of us, in fact, far to the left, nonetheless, has really come to

the view that the best learning environment for children is a married

couple family.

And Norval did an extremely clever thing, he studied articles

in the most prestigious child and family journal over a period of something

like 35 years, I forget exactly what it was, and he divided it in two periods,

an early period and a later period.

In the early period, most of the articles were written from the

perspective about they got a divorce and everybody got better, they

remarried, the kids recovered, everything is fine. And then the later period

in which a – article said, well, they got a divorce, it's kind of an issue,

especially the dad's, you know, had trouble, and it effected the kids, and

you can see the kids and so forth. So there was a big change in the –

world that you can find right in the pages of that journal and you can see it

happening over the years, and by the way, the journal would not publish -

So there might still be some issues here in the – world about

the importance of marriage. But let me start with this, the finding that

mothers agree that there's a father absence crisis in the country, and 83

percent of black mothers thought that.

Did the people – I mean the sample must have included a lot

of people who had babies outside marriage, who live in a household with

the kids without the father, and who are divorced. Did they make any

connection between their personal decisions and their personal behavior

and this feeling that we're having a crisis of father absence?

MR. GLENN: Well, it's hard to tell. We didn't ask the kind of

questions that really would make that kind of connection, but by inference,

I would say, yes, I think their personal experience is feeding into these

attitudes.

MR. HASKINS: What I'm getting at here is, you know, if

we're going to change as a nation and have more of our – have marriages

last longer and have more of our kids living in married couple families,

individuals are going to have to, you know, understand their

responsibilities here. Do you see any evidence from this survey or other

information that we are doing that as a country?

MR. GLENN: I think there's a bit of a disconnect between

aspirations and reality. And somehow I think, you know, bringing the two

together, because women agree there's a father absence crisis, they

agree that marriage is the, you know, best circumstance for bringing up

children, and yet the trends are all moving in the very opposite direction.

But I think the aspirations are very clear. So somehow we

have to figure out through a variety of means bringing aspirations and

reality a little bit closer together and helping people realize those

aspirations.

But I think – I don't think that people are just making this up,

I think it's a firm conviction, such a high percentage agree, and the dads

agree, too. In fact, the dads agree on all three of those main measures,

pro marriage, terrible problem with fatherhood, and, you know –

MR. HASKINS: Father absence?

MR. GLENN: -- father absence, sorry.

MR. GLENN: I agree; I think these attitudes are real, they're

not just what we call responsible, I think they're real attitudes.

MR. HASKINS: -- from the fatherhood survey, Barbara

already mentioned that the responses were generally the same; do you

see any evidence? I mean I know you're intimately involved in fatherhood

groups and advice groups all over the country, do you see any evidence

that fatherhood groups are focused on marriage and that they somehow

hold out the idea that the best way to have a close relationship with your

children and establish, you know, a meaningful life is to be married?

MR. WARREN: You know, I'd say it's probably a mixed bag.

I think there are some groups that are focused that way and then some

that are not focused that way. And I think one of the challenges in many

ways, particularly - depending on which communities that you're working

in is that, you know, a lot of times the view is sort of the fatherhood issue

and I kind of agree with the strategy in part, that the fatherhood issue is a

17

way to kind of get the guy on the ramp, sort of the on ramp to get you

onto, you know, sort of the highway. So we're going to talk about

fatherhood and connect there, and then, you know, then we'll kind of

transition into, you know, other discussions around, you know, how do you

and mom kind of get along together, and you know, you've living together,

and are you married and that kind of stuff.

And I think the challenge, though, is that sometimes that on

ramp is just very, very long and it never actually connects to a freeway.

And I think that's really where, you know, this research is really important,

no, you actually need to connect the dots there because if you're going to

keep him on that path, I mean the on ramps are heading in the same

direction as the freeway, so if you want to keep him on that same direction

that this marriage piece is really important, although it may be difficult for

some folks to kind of get their heads around that.

MR. HASKINS: Exactly on this point, President Obama, in

2008, made a very famous speech on Father's Day, probably the most

eloquent and moving speech ever given by a president on fatherhood, and

he went through all kinds of statements about the problems with fathers

not being with their children and the father's responsibility to be with the

children, he talked about government programs, and he talked about all

sorts of things, but he never talked about the role of marriage; do you see

that as a problem?

MR. WARREN: Well, I see it as an opportunity, because,

you know, fathering, from my perspective, is, you know, it's a lot like real

estate, it's location, location. And so if you really want to make

sure that the father is in a place where he's going to be doing the kinds of

things that good fathers do, he's got to be in a place where he can do that.

And the thing that makes you a father is a man is the fact you have kids.

And, you know, my sense is that there's a real opportunity here, and

particularly, you know, with the President and the First Lady and sort of

the image that they are, the conversations that they've had about their

marriage, and the impact of their marriage on their children, I think there's

an opportunity there to really create a broader conversation around this

issue and really kind of connect what moms and dads seem to be saying

in a big way, but maybe haven't figured out a way to kind of make work in

the best interest – in the best interest of our kids.

MR. HASKINS: Were you getting ready to say something –

MR. GLENN: What's that?

MR. HASKINS: I said are you getting ready to say

something? You looked like you were.

MR. GLENN: -- to my six minutes.

19

MR. HASKINS: -- to his six minutes. Okay. Well, let me challenge you on this as an opportunity. We're almost a year into the administration, the President has declared priorities, you know, it seems like dozens of priorities, and this is clearly not a priority, it's definitely coming up in Congress next year if we reauthorize welfare reform, and the President has not given any signal that he sees a government role in marriage; you don't find that – that doesn't concern you, or you, Barbara?

MS. WHITEHEAD: Well, you know, I'm a pretty big fan of President Obama, but I think you're touching on a point that those of us who have worked on these issues for a long time know that it's very politically delicate to talk about marriage, because it seems, though it should not seem, it seems as if when people hear that -- if you're somehow criticizing or discriminating against people who are married, and this has been a problem for a very long time. So I, however, am somewhat more hopeful now, because we are at a moment in time where things seem very difficult and even bleak, a lot of problems in the country, and it's a time I think for rethinking, recalibrating, maybe taking a different direction. So if we could, you know, take advantage of this moment to talk about marriage, it might work, I mean I'm going to persist. But it's a politically difficult word to say and has been for about 25 or 30 years, and before that it was the norm.

MR. HASKINS: Okay. So the last thing that I want to ask

you about is the really amazing finding that a majority of mothers,

especially mothers who do not live with the fathers, feel that the father's

role could be fulfilled by a single mother or by another man. How do you

think fathers who are at – don't live with their children and organizations

composed of those kinds of fathers, how do you think they're going to

react to this finding?

SPEAKER: Well, we'll see. But I, you know, I hope that,

you know, the fathers also feel this way, that they can be – that other

people can substitute for them. And I hope that they're going to be

educated to the extent to feel like, well, I really am in Florida and there's

no one else out there who can substitute for my contribution, but that's a

hope rather than an expectation.

SPEAKER: I do find it quite interesting that fathers would

agree that they're replaceable, you know, where did that idea come from,

and how recent is it? I can only speculate that it probably just reflects

looking around and seeing what the reality is, seeing a lot of single

mothers rearing children with fathers on the sidelines and not involved at

all and taking that as kind of the social norm.

But it is surprising that men who do say in the fatherhood

survey that they value their role as fathers and they think it's an important

part of being a man would think that they could be replaced, very interesting.

MR. HASKINS: Roland, again, you're close to fatherhood groups, how do you think they're going to respond to this finding?

MR. WARREN: Well, you know, again, it presents – I think it presents an opportunity for you in the sense that I think a core part of any fatherhood program is really helping men understand how important their role is. I mean for me it's sort of – I tend to be less political and just more practical. I mean do we really want, you know, sort of a culture or an environment where men's only responsibility to their children is, you know, is to basically get the mom pregnant, and then if we can catch them to get them involved in paying from a financial perspective. I mean every kid has an involved father at conception, right, so I'll let that one settle in.

MR. HASKINS: The stain on your tie would suggest that – SPEAKER: So the question is, you know, is he going to have an involved father at graduation, and I think there's, you know, there's years between that, and there's a lot of stuff that impacts this, as well. We'll tease out some of this more during the rest of the discussion.

But I mean there's – for me, I kind of see those two things as kind of working together, that guys think of the institution as really important, which is what they reported in the survey, the pops culture survey, very

important, it's off the charts, 90 plus percent said very important role, and

these are surveys of dads, not guys who would be dads, but guys that

who are dads, but then at the same time have enough perspective that

they're replaceable.

And I really think that a lot of that is also linked back to, you

know, the focus around skills. I mean the fact of the matter is that, you

know, historically, boys have learned to be dads by watching their dads,

you know. Now two out of three kids in the African American community,

myself included, grew up without a father, one out of three nationally, 25

million kids roughly, so you have more and more boys that aren't seeing

fathers doing that, and there's not a whole lot out there, a guy has a deficit

around skills in order to make that happen.

So if you say this is something that's really important to me,

it's really important to me, but yet I don't have the skills maybe that I need

in order to do it, a logical conclusion is, I guess I'm replaceable.

The second thing I would add to that and just close out is,

also the importance of media portrayals and the culture and how it – what

the culture says about fatherhood has an enormous impact on fatherhood

because fathering is more culturally constructive than motherhood is in

terms of it being more biologically constructive. And so I think that's the

other piece that's there, as well, and if you look at the most media

portrayals of dads, by and large, we're either dumb, dangerous, or diseffective, you know, or the guy reaching for the strychnine instead of the Tylenol, and we grew up from Father Knows Best to father knows nothing. I mean it really is an enormous transition, and that's -- media works, and it has an impact. And so, you know, so that's part of the opportunity there, is to use media and other vehicles in order to really put more hopeful messages so that boys aspire to be fathers, and men who are obviously producing children aspire to be fathers.

MR. HASKINS: So we should repeal the First Amendment; is that -- it's on the list somewhere. Just kidding. All right. So, audience, opportunity to ask questions, and I want to emphasize questions. Yes, in the front row here. Wait just a second until a mic gets – and then tell us your name, please.

MR. GUESS: I'm Robert Guess from the Economist magazine. I have a question for Professor Glenn. I've read some discussion about the stuff that goes before the question of fatherhood and marriage, which is, you know, the dating game, and I've read African American women complaining that there's a number problem, that if — because, on average, African American women — there are more well educated African American women than African American men, and then there's the sort of — the jail, parole, probation problem which effect

primarily men, so if you're a well educated, solvent African American

woman and you're looking for an African American man who have the

same qualities, there are a lot more of you than there are of them, which

creates a sort of imbalance, it's, you know, I think Debra Dickinson did a

back of the envelope calculation and said there's basically sort of two of

us for every one of them, and that makes it really hard to pin the guy

down, and it means that the guys have the opportunity not to be pinned

down because it's so much attention, they can play the field for longer. I

wondered if you have any thought as to whether that's true and how big

an issue it is.

MR. GLENN: That's a huge issue and that's certainly true.

There is a big sex ratio problem. There just aren't enough so called

eligible potential husbands, African American husbands for the women

who are seeking husbands. And so – reasons for that, one is the high rate

of incarceration of African American men. I think if that problem could be

solved, I think anything that could be done to reduce that incarceration

rate would be hugely beneficial. But that's a huge issue.

MR. GUESS: (off mic) Is there – I think back of the envelope

calculation about it – study about – is there empirical study about how big

the imbalance is?

MR. GLENN: Yeah, there's quite a bit of data on that actually. I'm trying to think of one of my own publications I could refer you to, but I shouldn't do that for reasons of taste, but yeah. And if you want specific numbers, I can't give them to you straight off of my head, but I can give them to you by consulting the data.

MR. HASKINS: Do you want to say anything?

MR. WARREN: Do I want to say something? Geez, as a black male who's married, the only thing I would add to that is, I do think that one of the things that was new to me when I came to Washington was this term "marriage ability." I didn't – I had never heard that out in the streets.

And I do think one of the challenges, to go to your question, is what that term actually means, and my sense was that here in Washington, when people talk about marriage ability, that they're talking about it as an economic construct, and it's very limited, and I think that when you think about black women and the opportunity to marry black men, there's enough "black men", and the question about marriage ability is really about economics. If that's the way you frame it, I think it's problematic. I think it should be – marriage ability should be framed much more broadly in terms of skills, values, you know, lots of other factors that are important there, and I think that that's going to be a challenge both for African

American men and for African American women given, you know, how,

you know, what's happening in colleges versus prisons and things – to

redefine what that actually means, because economics is not, you know,

going to be the sole driver in terms of whether you're going to have a

healthy, happy marriage or not.

MR. HASKINS: I hope you will remind Kathy Edin on the

second panel about this question because I think she has a lot to say

about it. David.

MR. LEVY: Hi, David Levy on sabbatical from the Children's

Rights Council. There's a great body of research from the '70's to now,

from Mulstein (phonetic) and Kelly down to Gail Sheehy in a 1998 New

York Times article about dads and some moms and grandparents who

feel dead bolted out of their children's' lives, pushed away from children's'

lives by the other spouse, the courts and legislatures, and doesn't this

effect marriage, because a dad knows that if he does get married, in five,

six, seven years down the road when they may be divorced, as there is in

about half the cases, doesn't this – isn't this a disincentive to getting onto

that – role into the highway of marriage when you know your rights are not

going to be protected down the road should divorce happen, as it does in

many cases. Did that figure into any of your research or investigations?

MS. WHITEHEAD: Are you talking about sort of the divorce

law situation and how – can you just –

MR. LEVY: Well, the lack of sufficient joint custody, shared

parenting, the attitude of many mothers that the father's role doesn't count

as you're implying here in some cases.

MR. GLENN: I think this is the toughest way to say it.

MS. WHITEHEAD: Yeah.

MR. GLENN: The laws inevitably – moms get custody

unless there's a huge battle and the father has a good lawyer. Fathers –

it's almost impossible to escape child support now, the system is so tight.

So why would it be -- the father's financial obligation is carefully guarded

by the courts or imposed on the fathers by the courts while the custody

arrangements are not necessarily, you know, observed very carefully by

the courts, isn't this an imbalance? And does that play some role in

fathers who – marriage?

SPEAKER: I think it does, I think that's a very important

issue. And the fact that man cannot be sure that their relationship with

their children can be maintained, I think that's a great disincentive to

starting a close relationship with a child, so I think that's a huge issue.

MR. WARREN: I'd just add a point to that. This is the part

for me that just kind of baffles me. - still having children, so, to me, I

mean the marriage issue is an important issue in terms of like the basket

that you put the children in, but I think the broader issue is that folks are

having the children without any discussion about the basket. So I don't

know that I would agree that a guy is saying, you know, if I get married, I

may lose custody of my children or not have access to my children,

therefore, I'm going to get her pregnant and not marry her.

SPEAKER: (off mic)

MR. WARREN: No, I know that's not what you're saying, but

what I'm saying is, that's the problem. So – but – would a guy have a

disincentive to get married because he may view – at some point he's

going to lose access to his children.

SPEAKER: Right.

MR. WARREN: And I – that would work for me more if what

we had was a situation where we had very low levels of unwed

pregnancies, out of wedlock pregnancies, then I could kind of – in other

words, but we don't, we have high levels of out of wedlock pregnancies

relative to every country on the planet, and low and declining marriage

rates, so that doesn't tell me that a guy is saying - that he's connecting

the dots between the two, I think it's much more complex than that, I think

it's more an erosion of believing that marriage has anything at all to do

with fatherhood, like those two things are just totally – it's, you know, it's

just two totally separate decisions that have nothing to do with each other,

don't intersect, one doesn't impact the other, they're just different

decisions, and I think that that, to me, more explains sort of why you see

those pieces and I think are the challenges, and I'm always hopeful the

opportunities present –

MR. HASKINS: In the back -

MR. HASKINS: All the way back on the -

MR. STOKES: My name is William Stokes, I'm with -

America's Care. Our society does not guarantee equal opportunity for all,

as our society cannot guarantee full employment with all jobs paying

minimum wage for all American citizens. How do you see that relate to

what you're talking about?

MR. WARREN: Is that for me? I think so. Norval is dying to

answer this question. You know, it's an interesting question because it's

kind of linking I think the economics piece to some of this, as well. You

know, I – but I think our society gives everyone equal opportunity around

choice.

I mean everyone has choices that they can make around

these things, and I think maybe part of the fallacy of the human condition

is that we believe that actions and consequences are not necessarily

linked in the sense that we can control our own consequences and

determine what our consequences will be after we've chosen our actions.

And I do think that, you know, there are lots of things from an

economic perspective that are challenges in our culture, but at the end of

the day, people have an opportunity to make choices that could impact

their legacy.

I was, essentially, you know, a teen father, I chose to marry

the mother and stay married for 28 years. My father was a teen father, he

chose not to stay married to my mother, well, not my mother. Did I marry

my mother? I married the mother of my children, I didn't marry my mother,

a great improvement. He chose not, you know, he chose not to stay

married to my mother, and there was an impact of that.

So, you know, my son is now married and the whole deal,

and neither one of my sons were teen fathers, you know. So I do think

that, you know, there's a certain part that you as an individual have control

over. You can't control certain things that are outside of you, but you have

control of a lot of things that, you know, that can control your destiny and

the destiny of your kids, and I just think that that's – this thing for me is a

very simple thing because it talks about men and women making

decisions, it's just very practical to me.

Here's a guy that has sex with a woman, and the question is,

what's their relationship and what will their relationship be to the kid, I

mean we know that, they'll be father and mother if there was a kid, but

what is their relation – to me, the choice that you make there is just – it

just seems to me those are the parts that you absolutely can't control

regardless of your economic situation. I think I'll just stop here.

MR. HASKINS: Okay. Right here on – right behind you on

the aisle.

MS. BURLEY: Christina Burley from Alliance with Family. I

notice that you focus on whites and blacks, but no mention of Hispanic

families, and I was just wondering, you know, with Hispanics being such a

huge proportion of the population, was there a specific reason for that?

MS. WHITEHEAD: I think that - Norval can correct me if I'm

wrong, but it seems to me that Hispanic and white mothers looked more

alike on most of the measures, and the big – where we did see differences

among the mothers was, some differences between African American and

white mothers, except on one measure, which was that I think Hispanic

mothers felt very strongly that they did not get much help in balancing

home and work, so that was one thing that really stuck out for us.

SPEAKER: Yeah, exactly. And in terms of most of the

variables, there was something – no difference between the mom,

Hispanic, whites, and the Hispanics.

MR. HASKINS: Okay. One more question. Right here on –

MS. YOUNG: Good morning. My name is Valerie Young

and I'm with the National Association of Mother Centers. Fathering is care

work, like mothering is care work. If you're going to father someone, it

means it's a verb, you're going to be actively involved in looking after

them. We live in a society, and I am getting to a question, we live in a

society that puts absolutely no public policy support underneath that. We

have no national paid sick days. If you have a paid leave policy for paid

vacation, paid time off, it's because you're lucky and you just happen to

get it where you work.

How can you compare and contrast the importance of marriage,

which I've heard a lot about today, but I've heard absolutely nothing about

facilitating the opportunity to father or to mother or to parent? You say we

live in an age of co-parenting, I think we live in an age of non-parenting,

and that's for everybody.

MR. GLENN: I think that's an important issue, and if you

look at the report, we do emphasize that work/family conflict is a huge

issue, it's very important. Any public policy that can help deal with that I

think is going to help both mothering and fathering, it's going to help parenting. So I agree that that needs attention.

MS. WHITEHEAD: I agree also. It is interesting, though, that government and also professional help rank rather low on the list of resources that people think would be important to good mothering, good fathering, good co-parenting, so in this – in these surveys, so I would support any public policy that helped, including the ones you mentioned, flexible work schedule, mandated parental leave, those are all very important. It's interesting that they aren't – don't, you know, face communities top.

MR. HASKINS: Let me ask you a question about that.

MS. WHITEHEAD: Yeah.

MR. HASKINS: Neither you nor Norval are seen widely as liberals, and yet your whole careers are based on families and government support for families and community support and so forth, and it's obvious here in Washington that the republican party is solidly opposed to the kind of programs that the lady who asked the question favors such as family leave or paid family leave; do you think that's a mistake, do you think the republicans are wrong, would you advise republicans to change their position and we should have governments – programs of this type? I would.

MS. WHITEHEAD: Yeah, I would.

MR. HASKINS: There you have it, two more votes. Do you want to make a comment? Okay, all right. So don't run away, we're going to switch panels right now.

(Pause)

MR. WARREN: Okay. Don't go anywhere, we're going to keep going. All right. Let me just get started for the sort of second half here. Again, thank you very much for joining us today. Let me just start by making just a couple of comments. One of the things that's sort of interesting, people have asked the question, well, why wouldn't National Fatherhood Initiative do a report like this on moms, and it really is, you know, from our standpoint, an important thing for us to do because of our strategy.

We have a multi sector approach to the work that we do, and you know, I've done a number of – shows over the years, and one of the things that's been very interesting about those shows has been talking to moms and talking to dads about this issue, so it's one of the things that gave us the idea to try to do a national survey around this issue. And just to kind of point out a couple of things here, it is a nationally represented sample, we over sampled Hispanics and African Americans so that we could, you know, get more data in that regard, so we really feel it's a very

tight survey in terms of methodology and strategy, Norval can talk to you

more about that. But we really felt that this whole notion about mom as a

gateway and the impact that mothers have on fathers and the quality of

fathering that we get was an important thing, and we wanted to make sure

that we were able to find out as much as we can so we could build that

into our programming and also build that into the policy discussions and

other discussions that are being had here in Washington.

So as Ron mentioned, we did a national survey of fathers

called Pops Culture, there's copies of that, you can grab extra ones if you

want to take back to share with others, by the way, and asked some

similar questions of dads that we did of moms, and you know, sort of give

it our approach to programming that we try to kind of focus our work

across a number of different sectors in order to reach dads, sort of our

strategy.

We thought it was really important to get some of the data

that we got. For example, one of the things that came up pretty clearly

was that moms reported that they think that the community should be

more supportive of dads. Many feel that fathers lack the skills that they

need in order to be the kinds of dads that their kids need them to be, and

that was a really important finding from our standpoint, how to create

better programming in order to do that, and it's a core part of enterprise

strategy.

Faith community program is a key part of our approach, kind

of reaching out to people in the faith community around this work. And

moms reported that churches and other communities of faith should be the

number on source of information for fathers and communities.

And this was even from moms who reported themselves as being

not religious or not very religious. They thought that churches and

communities of faith should be a place where fathers should be able to

learn how to be the best dads they can be.

And when you kind of look out in terms of what's happening in the

faith community, programmatically what you find is, there are men's'

ministries, but there aren't really a lot of supports around fathering. So

that was really an important finding from our perspective that came out of

the survey.

In terms of health care program, a key part of our strategy is

reaching fathers when they're new dads to get them on track right from the

start. And the mothers reported that if they had a choice, they would

choose a hospital that provided support for the father during her

pregnancy. Just think about that. It was off the charts. I think it was like

83 percent of the moms agreed with that.

So if I could find a hospital that was going to also include him and support him during the process, I would be more likely to choose that hospital. We asked the question, well, how many hospitals and medical facilities are really equipped in reaching out to moms in that way to say these are services that we can offer for dads or have program – that's one of the lines of programming that NFI has.

And then finally, to the woman's question here about the business and the work family balance programming aspect of it, as you've already heard to some degree, there's lots of implications of this, and you know, moms clearly report that they want more support for dads in this area. And I think one of the ways to kind of even think about this is, when you're supporting a father balance work and family, you're actually supporting a mom, as well. You know, one of the things that we do is, we call on companies and work with their HR folks to get them to add fatherhood programming into their employee assistance programs, that's a core part of our strategy. And it's interesting, because when we have discussions with the HR folks, we try to help them understand that when you have a dad who is – a mom who's struggling to balance work and family, she's connected to a father, whether she's married to him or not, that has a certain view about his role, either he's someone who provides,

nurtures and guides, or he views himself as someone that just provides, if

he's connected at all.

Well, nurturing and guiding is another aspect of what you

need to do with your kids, so guess whose responsibility that is, it

becomes mom, so then she feels like she has a full-time job at work and a

full-time job at home. So by helping men balance work and family more

effectively, you're also helping working mothers balance work and family

more effectively, as well.

So we see this in a very comprehensive way, and it's one of

the reasons we think the survey data is really important in a lot of different

ways.

We also hope to use the information from this survey

obviously to make our programming more effective and our outreach more

effective in terms of the work that we do, and NFI's core strategy is a

capacity builder. We work with community based organizations,

businesses, churches, prisons, I mean any place where fathers huddle in

any way, shape or form, whether they're huddling there for good or for

real, we try to make sure that we're in that setting in order to reach fathers

around this issue.

We also want to identify top policy priorities, because we

think that's really important, as well. The government has an enormously

important role both at the state and local level, a role to play around this issue, and we think that this data can help inform some of the decisions around program delivery, quality of programming, and that perspective,

and we think that that's critically important.

So I want to encourage you, if you haven't already, visit our website, which is fatherhood.org. If you want to learn more about the study, it's fatherhood.org/mamasays, and there's more data there. You can – you'll be able to download versions of the survey, as well. If anybody is interested, in the press, you know, Vincent DiCaro who's our Senior Director of Public Affairs is here, we can talk more about that, we're happy to chat about this. So let me do this, let me just briefly introduce the folks on our panel. We're delighted to have them with us. First we have Kathryn Edin from Harvard University. She is a Professor of Public Policy there. Kathryn, just raise your hand. She's going to chat a little bit.

Norval Glenn, you've already met, he's from the University of Texas, he's a Professor of Sociology. We have Nisa Muhammad. Nisa Muhammad is the CEO of the Wedded Bliss Foundation. And then we have Tracy Robinson, and he's the Executive Director of the Ohio Commission on Fatherhood.

And what we want to do is, we want to give each one of them, you know, five minutes, six minutes or so just to give some brief

remarks, and then we'll ask some questions and continue the discussion

and actually tease out some of the things that were brought up earlier with

a little more data and a little more discussion around those things. Okay.

So why don't we start with Kathryn.

MS. EDIN: Okay. So I'm a qualitative researcher – I go in

peoples' homes and talk to them about their lives, along with a team of

colleagues and graduate students. I'm drawing my remarks here from

studies of low income, non-custodial – mostly from non-custodial fathers,

unmarried fathers that we've studied in seven cities. Some of these

fathers have been studying in conjunction with the nationally

representative – families and child wellbeing survey, which is a birth

cohort survey of unmarried dads or of non-marital children who were born

in 2000.

And what I'm going to try to do here is to talk about some of

the things that I think the report hints at, but does not fully flush out. And

then I'm going to draw from findings from these other studies to try to help

interpret some of the very important lessons I think that this report

revealed.

So first of all, I want to talk about mom's role as gatekeeper,

a very unpopular topic. It's very interesting that the report charts how

men's' subsequent partnership transitions are consequential for women's'

satisfaction with fathering. But what the report doesn't look at is women's' subsequent partnership transitions, which are actually much more consequential to father's involvement with their children.

And – talk and Ron Nimsey (phonetic) with the fragile family survey and with the qualitative data we gathered as a part of that survey effort. We find that mothers – when fathers do transition to new partnerships, these complex family relationships do crowd out the amount of time that father is able to spend with the child, but don't crowd out his livelihood of involvement. When mothers, however, enter into new partnerships, and particularly when they have children in those new partnerships, they see a significant decline in the livelihood of any father involvement and a much larger crowding out of that.

What this suggests is that not only are fathers, you know, capacity stretched by complex relationships, but that mothers are, in some sense, swapping daddies. And I think one of the most important lessons from this report is that mothers believe fathers are replaceable.

Now, if you spend a lot of time talking to fathers, what you'll learn is, they don't really feel that they're replaceable, what they're probably responding to in your survey is the fact that they're fathering other children. And while they think they can replace those other fathers, right, because they're playing social fathers to children. This is Robert

Loraman (phonetic) and Elaine Sorenson's insight from nearly 15 years ago, that these so called unwed fathers are actually fathering all the time, they're just fathering sequentially. So fathers are very involved in fathering and they feel that they can replace another man. But usually they'll say, wait a minute now, I'm not replaceable, okay. So it's interesting to look at how complex families have become and the role that moms might be playing, especially the gatekeeper role with regard to increasing complexity in our own partnering and parenting.

Secondly, I want to talk about something the report just hints at, but it's really consequential for how we interpret the data. Family instability and complexity in the bottom of the American income distribution is at an all time high, it is historically unique in American history, it is also unique among rich nations.

We have very fragile marriage patterns, but this is mostly concentrated now in the bottom of the income distribution as a huge class divide in the likelihood of divorce.

We have extraordinary fragile cohabitation. In the United

States -- there's almost no such thing as a stable parental cohabitation.

And perhaps most importantly, we have very, very rapid patterns of repartnering. This then leads to another cycle of cohabitation, subsequent child bearing, and subsequent instability in marriage and cohabitation. In

fact, the fragile families and child wellbeing survey now shows that among

mothers in that study, only three percent over the five year period, from

the child's birth to the child's fifth birthday, are stable, single mothers.

So we look at the findings about marriage in this survey;

what we have to recognize is that in our culture, now, this is not true in

much of western Europe, but in our culture, it's either marriage, which is

relatively stable and is especially stable at the high end, growing more

stable, or it's – English children are being exposed to multiple partnerships

relatively rapidly.

So in the fragile families and child wellbeing, the average

child who is born non-marital will have between two and three partnership

transitions, these are relatively serious partnerships, by the time that child

reaches the age of five. And on the father's side, there are probably an

equal number of partner transitions. Many of these partnerships produce

subsequent children.

So that I believe is why marriage comes out so strongly in

the study. We don't really have a system where you're choosing between

a marriage and a stable single mother. We have a system, because of

our particular cultural context, we're choosing between a marriage, which

is usually quite stable in America, at least relatively, and a system in which

children are cycling through multiple partnerships rapidly and gaining half

sibs along the way.

Finally, I just wanted to say one thing about what this new

demographic reality means. You know, we've spent the last 20 or 30

years, I'm a little bit younger than Norval, I've only spent about 15 years

studying the American family, and I've only studied low income families

and mostly unmarried families, but it really strikes me that most of the

research we've done in this area has really kind of assumed the single

mother model and ignored this family instability and complexity.

So I just want to make a plea for incorporating this new

vision into our work. And I really think the report – the importance of this

reality in new ways.

MR. WARREN: Great, all right, great, thank you. Why don't

we next go with – let's start with Tracy.

MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, Roland. First I want to thank

Brookings for hosting this event and your kind hospitality. Regardless of

where one is professionally, once you speak to Brookings, you feel like

you've arrived. I also want to thank the National Fatherhood Initiative for

their inclusion and their leadership on this issue across the country. Let

me take 30 seconds and talk about the Ohio Commission on Fatherhood,

then I'll take the rest of the time to respond to the findings. The Ohio

Commission on Fatherhood was founded in 2001, when Peter Lawson

Jones was the state representative from Cuyahoga County, and he

offered the legislation to create the Fatherhood Commission.

When Governor Strickland was elected, he empowered the

Commission, gave us \$2.5 million in funding for fatherhood programming,

and our work is in the – Leadership is cheap whenever you talk about

fatherhood, and our Commission has the highest level of leadership in the

state of Ohio.

The Governor sits on the Commission, plus he appoints five

members of the public. There are two state senators that are appointed

by the President of the Senate, and four members of the House appointed

by the Speaker.

There's also an appointee by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of

Ohio, and then five state agencies sit on that Commission.

Our work centers around a number of initiatives, one,

increasing the public awareness of the central role that fathers play in

children's lives, building the parental skills of fathers, the prevention of

premature fatherhood, I will talk about that more, also providing

employment related services, particularly to non-residential fathers, and

then last, providing services to men who are incarcerated and reentering

society.

Three things I'd like to talk about to respond to the report;

the first one is, the report talked about the residence of the father, and

Roland is right, location, location, location. And my comments will kind of

compliment Kathy's and come down a little bit. I think this has been, until

Kathy talked, a middle class type discussion about how families are

formed.

What I see in Ohio, if we just look at our non-marital birth

rates, children that are born in the context of not married. And I brought a

few numbers here to share with you. And I'll use 2007 – birth statistics.

And I would think Ohio is not any different than the rest of the country,

since many companies use Ohio, Columbus in particular, to market new

products.

So there were 157,000 children born in Ohio in 2007; 84,500

of those children were born to married parents, or 57 percent. Thirty-three

percent, or 62,500, approximately, were born to unmarried parents. So

we see that families are being created and they're not married. There's

huge ramifications, public policy – And then if you drill down into the

unmarried, 42,500 of those were non-married whites, 20,000 of those

were unmarried African Americans.

And so what I'd like to do is, expand our thinking about the

father absence problem to put a multi cultural face on that problem,

because in the state of Ohio, there are more non-marital white births than

African American. And our work is – received in the Appalachian County,

as well.

I want to talk about the work/family balance. Once again, I

guess that supposes that a man has a job if he's having trouble balancing

those two things. But let's talk about the man who are not employed,

because that is a problem and a crisis.

If a father cannot finance his opinion, he really doesn't have a moral

standing in the family. And we believe that all men should make a – all

fathers should make a financial and emotional investment in their children,

but if they're not working, now they fall into – if they're non-resident

fathers, not married, into our child support system. And since our member

of the State Council is not here, I'll talk about child support for a moment.

You can get a felony for not paying child support. As we sit here, there

are 800 men in prison in Ohio for one reason, one reason only, they have

not paid their child support. They have not killed anyone, they have not

raped anyone, they did not create a crime with a weapon.

We pay \$25,000 a year to incarcerate that man. And so

what we do is, we encourage, we also usher men out of their children's

lives, because when they're in prison, the clock continues to tick. So

when they come out of prison, they have a \$15 or a \$20,000 child support

debt. And I generally ask an audience like this, is there anyone who

would like to take that bill or could handle that bill, no hands.

So how do we think a person who's incarcerated, so when

they get out of prison, they participate in underground economy, let's say

it's not even illegal, but it kind of pushes them out of their children's lives

because they don't want to hit the radar.

We have a bill in Ohio, Senate Bill 22, which will make a -

recommendation for judges to be able use an option of community

correction rather than removing those individuals from society. Another

recommendation that we're making in the area, and I noticed a mother

said that the issues of faith based communities, and we include that to

mean churches -- synagogues, that make a big difference in father's ability

to parent. And we partner with faith based organizations because they

have trust with fathers that the government may not have.

As well as, there are values such as kindness, goodness,

trustworthiness, honesty, as well as those fathers can receive hope and

encouragement, many times in a very challenging situation. So I want to

thank you for the opportunity.

MR. WARREN: Thank you, Tracy. I'm sorry, I should have

given you the opportunity to applaud, I apologize, I was a bad moderator.

49

All right. Why don't we go to Norval and end with Nisa? All right. Go ahead.

MR. GLENN: It's hard for a college professor to say anything important in six minutes. We're very good at taking six minutes worth of material and expanding that into 15 minutes, but if you have to do it the other way, it's difficult. So I'll get straight to the point. There are several – well, a couple of points that I want to emphasize. First, the survey by itself seems to indicate if you don't – if you're – in interpreting the data, it would indicate that the fathers who are cohabiting with the mothers, but not married to the mothers, are doing extremely well. In fact, we really didn't find any difference between the fathers – the mothers' attitudes towards the fathers according to whether they were married or not if they were living together.

But you have to take into account that these cohabitating relationships, while they may be good while they last, are very unstable. The probability that these cohabiting couples who are doing well, who are co-parenting now, will be doing that two years or five years or ten years down the road, are very small. So I would introduce that as a word of caution in interpreting the data.

The second major point I want to make is that I've been interpreting survey data for a long time, I'm not going to tell you how many

years, but it's been a long period of time, and there's one relationship in here that is the strongest relationship that I have seen in all of my years of interpreting survey data, and that's simply the relationship between whether or not the mother was living with the father of the focal child and her reported satisfaction with the parenting of the father. I don't want to get too technical here, but we tend to measure the strength of relationships by what percentage in the variance in one variable is explained statistically by another variable. In this case, it's 41 percent. By contrast, the second strongest predictor of satisfaction with the father is race. The explained variance there is two percent, guite a difference.

In fact, I went back through my publications and files of data, of analyses that I've done over the years, and I simply could not find a relationship as strong as this one, in which one variable explains 41 percent of the variance in another.

If you're talking about human individuals being the units of analysis, you do research in which states, or countries, or counties are units, you can get some very strong relationships. But when you're talking about human individuals, you rarely get more than four or five or six percent of the variance in one variable explained by another.

To give you an example, I looked at some data from the general social surveys about the relationship between years of school

completed and personal income adjusted for inflation, and the – I looked at the prime years of 30 to 49, and the percentage of variance in income explained by years of school completed is seven, and that's considered a very strong relationship. So I went into the data and tried to find something else, a case where one variable would explain 41 percent of

the variance in the other; I did find one.

In the presidential election of 2004, if you look only at the people who voted either for Kerry or Bush, political party identification accounts for 41 percent of the variance, exactly the same.

So this is an extremely strong relationship. And you could say, well, a lot of these mothers who are not living with the fathers are exhusbands, ex-boyfriends, and they have issues with these men that probably have nothing to do with fathering, and this spills over into their attitudes about the quality of the fathering of these men, and certainly that's true.

But at a minimum, these data indicate that here are people who are not successfully co-parenting. If the mother has this kind of negative attitude toward the father, they're not doing very well at parenting together. So I think this indicates just the extreme importance of good marriages, promoting good marriages, promoting good fatherhood. I'm not saying that you can't do anything else, promote good fatherhood, I certainly don't

want to neglect those fathers who are not living with their children, I think there are things that can be done to enhance the quality of their parenting certainly, but the number one issue here is promoting stable, high quality marriages.

MR. WARREN: Okay, great. I appreciate that. Nisa.

MS. MUHAMMAD: Thank you. I want to thank Brookings and the National Fatherhood Initiative for this opportunity, and Roland Warren for being able to come before you and speak this morning. We are very excited about the data of these results because they highlight what we see daily in the work that we do at Wedded Bliss Foundation, and that is to help couples create healthy relationships and healthy marriages to better the outcomes for children.

And the key thing is that marriage does matter. Marriage allows – creates benefits that cannot be duplicated in any other relationship. There are unique benefits for men, unique benefits for women, and also unique benefits for children. Marriage connects fathers to their children in ways that cannot be found in other types of relationships. The thing is that husbands make better fathers, it's very key and very simple, husbands make better fathers. The challenge is how do we help couples achieve their own range of wedded bliss? How do we

help them make marriage – how do we make marriage look alluring, sexy,

the thing to do so that more couples can, in fact, consider marriage?

How do we help low income families who could dominate single

parent families, how do we help these couples reconsider marriage? How

do we help couples achieve the romance, the excitement, the passion, the

intimacy and the closeness that they want and that they crave for, how do

we help them to get this? That's the challenge before us.

Out of wedlock parenting has become an increasingly

moving choice. Mothers parent and provide at the same time; fathers pay

child support enforcement and lack of access and visitation; children live

divided lives.

At Wedded Bliss Foundation, we do the groundwork of

helping couples to strengthen their relationship, consider marriage, and

obtain wedded bliss. We provide classes here in the D.C. area, and we

recently graduated, believe it or not, 103 couples out of our program

November 13th, with – Youth and Family Services. These couples are

now inspired to become marriage magnets in their community. We have

helped them to understand that marriage does have benefits and marriage

changes things in their lives and they want to take this information back to

their community.

People want healthy marriages, they don't want to avoid,

they just want to be able to avoid another failed union, and they don't

know how to do that, and that's what we have to offer in communities, not

just in the D.C. area, but in communities around the country. And it's not

only that adults want this, teens want this, as well.

We do our program at Kelly Miller Middle School, it's an

inner city middle school, and when we first started working there, we sent

out announcements that the children took home, and our first night of

classes, we had these teens that came, and they had their strollers and

they had their babies, and we were like what are these kids doing here.

And so, you know, I took them outside and I said, you know, this

program is for parents, and they said we're parents, and I started thinking,

well, okay, you ought to avoid that program, we want healthy relationships

also, we have children, we're parents, and so as a result of that, we have

to now begin a program for teen parents called Better Together, to help

teens understand the value of staying together as a couple and giving

them the skills to co-parent successfully so that when they are ready for

marriage, they consider each other as opposed to the two to three parent

transitions that Kathryn talked about, because they want the skills,

knowledge and information to be able to do it also.

So, in conclusion, you know, our message is that wedded bliss can be yours and we can show you how. We have to begin to create a culture that strengthens marriage, that promotes marriage, that helps people to understand that marriage does matter and it can make a

difference. Thank you.

MR. WARREN: Great, thank you. Well, I've got some questions for the panel. I'm going to go through some slides and just kind of use that data as a basis for some of the questions, and some of the information we've covered, so I'm going to skip over some pieces.

I want to just focus on this question about the performance, mom's satisfaction on a father's performance, to kind of spring off of what Norval was talking about to some degree and how that's sort of related to living arrangements. Here's the data from the survey here. The percentage of moms that were very or somewhat satisfied with the father's performance, married, cohabiting, and then living together, and that's the statistical aspect that he was saying that's very unique for you to find in surveys, and frankly, we didn't find anything like this in the father's surveys in any of the questions that we asked, this kind of relationship.

Again, when you ask the question, the percentage of mothers who said that time the father spent with the child was adequate or

more than adequate, again, you can see married, cohabiting, and then you see not living together.

And the percentage of mothers who said that the relationship between the father and the child was moderately or very close and warm, married and cohabiting versus not living together. So you can see, you know, the relationship there is pretty dramatic, and so, you know, it's sort of one of those things – this is a discussion around what mom is thinking, the marriage issue has come up quite a bit and it's sort of the elephant in the living room, you clean up after it, but it's still there when you get done. So it's just self-evident that this is a factor that is there and it's impacting a mom's perception of a dad's performance. And so what I wanted to do was just ask the panel, I just want to kind of tease that out a bit, and anyone can sort of jump in on this, to talk a little bit about, you know, this performance question and what you think that means in general.

The other question that I have related to that is, you know, there's some policy implications related to this, and specifically when we look at the Office of Child Support Enforcement and other groups like that, particularly that office, it has started to focus much more on sort of connecting the hearts of fathers to their children as opposed to just the wallets of fathers to their children. Does that make more sense now? I

mean what do you see when you see these kind of data in terms of the

mom's perceptions of performance? Kathryn.

MS. EDIN: Well, one of the major differences that I think

Tracy was alluding to earlier is the way in which families are formed when

you look at non-marital births and marital births. Your typical non-marital

birth is a birth that flows out of an accidental pregnancy. And the couple

usually doesn't know each other very well, they've dated for six or seven

months, if the fragile families data is any guide, and they really try to build

that relationship around the fact of an unexpected birth, so they're kind of

trying to rise to the occasion of this birth.

Your typical marital birth, on the other hand, is, you know,

involves all the planning in the world, because you had to plan the

marriage, and then there were three or four years, even five years

between the marriage and the first birth and so on. So you have two very

different ways of entering into parenthood.

One key thing that fathers, white, black, and to a little lesser extent,

Latino, will tell you is that when they enter into these relationships, they

firmly believe that their relationship to their child is not contingent upon

their relationship to that child's mother, and they'll tell you that even when

they're in that relationship with the child's mother.

So they are thinking that whether or not the relationship

survives is not related to whether or not the relationship with their child will

survive, and they are – remain very committed to that relationship with the

child, more so, in fact, than to the relationship to the mother. So this leads

to sort of, I guess the social scientists call an interesting selection

problem, in that you're not being very selective about your partner

because, in some way, you don't think that that partner is very important to

a long term relationship with your child.

Unfortunately, as this survey I think really eloquently

illustrates, this is not the case. The barriers to father involvement for

these dads are tremendous. In part, this is about mothers gate keeping, in

part, this is about other barriers fathers' face, logistically difficulties of not

living with your child and so on.

But this mishmash between what fathers believe and the

reality they face later on is, I think, something that policy might want to

focus on.

MR. WARREN: I put this chart up because it sort of reflects

that you were saying, Kathryn, to somebody. This is a – question says

percentage of mothers satisfied with the parenting of father by the father's

family situation, and it just drops off a cliff if you look at that from, you

know, unmarried, no relationship, unmarried, in a relationship, married to

another woman, no step – it's like the further he gets down that path, from a relationship standpoint to the point when he has other kids, her view of his performance drops. And it just hard for me to believe that going from sort of married to and not living with a guy and then being unmarried, that he would be that different, that dramatically. So there's something that's going on there in terms of the perception piece, which I think is pretty dramatically, and obviously has an impact on how important that mom will feel is – for the dad to be engaged, and how supportive she will be in that process.

The maternal gate keeping piece I think is an important one, too, and I just want to make one point about that I think is really important, and sort of analogy I use is that moms have the opportunity to either be facilitators or, you know, or people that are kind of referees.

And as you know, if you watch any NBA basketball, you know, referees are more concerned about rules than relationships, and facilitators are more concerned about relationships than rules. And it just seems to me that there's something that's happening here once that relation breaks up where the mom may have been sort of the facilitator in terms of access to the child, making sure dad's doing the kinds of things and providing that environment, even supporting dad when he's not there, on trips or away or whatever it is, even if he's incarcerated, but when that

relationship breaks, then, you know, it seems to me that there's an

opportunity to move into sort of the referee role, which becomes a bit more

difficult. I think, Tracy, you had something you wanted to say?

MR. ROBINSON: Yes; I wanted to talk about the prevention

of premature fatherhood, because Kathy said many of these births are

occurring, and pregnancies, accidental, I may add irresponsibly, and much

of our public policy is geared toward talking to females. Even in Medicaid,

it talks about policies, prevention of premature pregnancy, that's

interesting, men don't get pregnant.

And what happens is, we need to shape our messages

toward males, to tell them to either wait, abstain, or protect. I have two

teenage sons, Jacob is 18, Jonathan is 15, so I have – and wait seems to

work well, because if I say you can't, it's like pouring gasoline on fire.

And so what we must do more in the area of the prevention

of premature fatherhood, to allow men an opportunity to become at a

place where they're more mature to make a financial and emotional

investment in their children's' development or assets.

MR. WARREN: Thank you. Norval.

MR. GLENN: Yes; in regard to this gate keeping issue

which is very important, in the survey of fathers, the most frequently given

obstacle to good fathering given by those who weren't living with the

mothers was the mother.

MR. WARREN: Yeah, great. I've got two more topics that I

want to cover in the short time that we have. I want to transition now to

questions around race. And to kind of go back to - we don't have

Hispanic here because the Hispanic data was essentially the same as the

Caucasian data, but what moms – when you look at the data here, it says

the percentage of mothers who were satisfied with the performance of the

fathers, you can see a fairly substantial difference between white mothers

and black mothers on the performance.

The percentage of mothers who said that the father spent

adequate time with the children, again, a fairly dramatic percentage. The

percentage of mothers who were satisfied with the father's performance

based on their living arrangement, and what you see here is that married

black moms and married white moms essentially have the exact same

data, and that non-married black and white moms were very, very close,

as well. So it's an interesting – I thought it was an interesting sort of data

point when you look at these issues.

I want to throw that out to the panel. You know, some of this

is sort of obvious, but I want to put that out there in terms of, you know,

what your thoughts are about this data and race and how we think about

this issue and talk about this issue, particularly when we're talking about fatherhood and talking about marriage, do we nuance the way we talk about it with African American couples and Hispanic couples or white couples, I mean does that make sense, or I mean what would you say

from that? I want Nisa to start with that.

MS. MUHAMMAD: I think in the work that I do, I speak about the value and benefits of marriage, regardless of married or single, and I think what I have found is that generally the couples that I work with, the couples that I see, they want to be married, they want a stable relationship, they don't want the here today, gone tomorrow, they want the stability for themselves, they want the stability for their children, and they're looking for opportunities to achieve that. They watch Oprah, they watch Doctor Phil, they see the researchers that are out there are people who have money and influence, but they don't see it in their community. Easy to find a liquor store or a beauty supply then to find some place that's offering help in speaking of relationship and help them to form and sustain a healthy marriage.

And we talked about what the church offers, marriage ministries – every church, but the marriage rates are still just as low as – not involved in marriage ministries. And so they're looking for some place, they're looking for someone to be able to provide them the resources to be

able to form and sustain a healthy marriage, and the problem is that it's

not there, and with the low marriage rates in the black community, high out

of wed child birth rates, it's just more enhanced of a significant –

So in these couples, they're middle – led, they're like we're

coming to you or there's nothing, and so we work with couples and

families all around the country, trying to help them achieve the – that they

so desire to have, the romance, the passion, they want all of this, they see

it on TV, they hear about it, they read about it – they want this, but they

can't find any kind of resources in the community to help them achieve it.

MR. WARREN: Got you, great, good. All right. The last

section, I want to tease out the work/family balance aspect of this as we

close out. This video works well.

(Playing video.)

MR. WARREN: This is a clip from an Oprah show that I did

some years ago called the secret thoughts of fathers, and they pulled

together a panel of, you know, 12 – 13 fathers, asked them a bunch of

questions, and kind of a punch line that the moms were just blown away

that the guys really actually cared as much as they did, and you can see it

reflected in the comments that are here.

And that's also reflected in the data from our survey. When

we asked men about this as an institution, I mean, you know, it's off, you

know, it's 90 percent, it's off the chart, so it is something, and that's where the hope is, from my perspective, men really, really, really care about this issue, when they become a father, that getting to there to what we need becomes an issue.

And, you know, this work/family balance piece has been a really important one, and I think in many ways we just have not addressed in a big, big way. You know, when women started coming into the work force, we changed the work force, but we didn't really change the home very much at all, and so there's really a lot of work that still needs to be done there. The number one obstacle to good fathering from Pops Culture Survey, when we surveyed moms, the percentage of moms who agreed with the statement, the father of my child effect – balances work and family, again, you see that same kind of relationship there between married and cohabiting and those not living together; percentage of mothers who agree with the statement, I could achieve better work/family balance if I had additional support from the father of my child, you know, the majority of the moms surveyed said that even the ones that seem to be overall pretty satisfied there, so there's a lot of work and opportunity there, and we're hopeful that this data will help inform businesses, government and the culture at large, but there's work that needs to be done in earnest because it's having an impact on the quality of our

marriages, relationships, and the quality of our parenting, and we haven't

done as much there as we possibly could.

So to kind of close out, I'd like to kind of put that – put this issue to

the panel to chat about a little bit. You know, what, from your perspective,

what are some of the policy implications of these findings, are they

consistent with the kinds of things that you're seeing, and frankly, just

anecdotally, are they consistent with what you're seeing out in the public

square? Go ahead, Kathryn.

MS. EDIN: You know, this didn't initially resonate with me,

and again, I only really know about lower income folks, because what's

really going on among men in the lower part of the income distribution,

white, black, and Latino is, they're really claiming a larger role for

themselves in saying I don't want to just be a paycheck, in fact, I'm

rejecting that model of fathering, I want to be involved, and if I can't be

involved, frankly, I may not be that interested in paying.

MR. WARREN: Right.

MS. EDIN: Right; so initially I was kind of puzzled by this

result, but it did occur to me that, in these couples, it is the woman who's

really struggling with work and family balance, because often she's the

more gainfully employed, particularly in this economy, so his help is all the

more critical.

So there might be a real opportunity here in that fathers want

to really be claiming the new father role and mothers might be needing

their fathering whereas they hadn't previously.

MR. WARREN: A very good point. And probably my

observation doing this work for nearly ten years now is that there really is

sort of a tale of two cities with fathers, and we're more absent fathers than

we've ever had in the history of this country, certainly in terms of us

tracking it from a data perspective, but the fathers who are engaged are

more engaged than fathers in previous generations.

So I really believe that that kind of new model perspective is

really important, and that guys that want to be engaged are more

engaged. And I think there have been studies that have looked at access

and visitation and the child support payment, and the more access and

visitation, the more child support he pays, go figure, and it's one of the

reasons why there's not a federal program that's really designed to get

married fathers to pay for their children, no government institution for that,

because, you know, he's already connected heart to heart, and it's a very

short reach from the heart to the wallet, ask my wife. Was that taped? My

wife makes plenty of money, believe me, I'm reaching for hers. Anyway,

so any other comments related to this particular piece that we're – yes.

MR. ROBINSON: I will add, in Ohio, all the fatherhood

programs that I find must have a partnership with a child support office,

and many of them give incentives that, for men who join the fatherhood

program, they can immediately reinstate their driver's license. It gives the

fatherhood program an opportunity to work with them and to recalibrate

with them. And they also try to link visitation with child support through

mediation. Those are two separate systems.

A man could be paying child support and never have an

opportunity legally to have access to his children. So linking those do

increase child support payments and gives the fathers more access to

their children.

MR. WARREN: Great; I've got time for two questions or so.

Does anybody have any questions? Yes.

MS. LEWIS: Hi, I'm Latasha Lewis from the Consortium of

Social Science Association, and my question is about welfare reform. A

lot of times what women – evidence shows that a lot of times why women

don't get married or don't even cohabitate with the father of their children

is because they will get welfare benefits severely cut, their food stamps,

their – their Section 8 housing. If we're talking about how to have

government help create healthy families and bringing these people

together either in healthy cohabitation relationships or marriage, I think

one of the things we need to talk about is how do we change welfare to

stop it being a penalty for these women and men to get together in a

relationship. So I just wanted to hear more about what you thought about

that and what you would suggest.

MR. WARREN: Ron, do you want to – I know that's –

anyone?

MS. EDIN: Well, you know, the welfare penalty probably

doesn't have much of an effect on marriage, I think the evidence is pretty

clear, but it still, you know, just sort of doesn't make sense. There are

bigger penalties in the tax code, we can debate whether or not those are,

you know, those actually effect families, but even if they don't, it seems

unfair that married couple families would be penalized as compared to

single parent families.

I think the larger point is that we need to change our whole

service delivery system to recognize that 80 percent of the time, as new

survey data show, an unmarried child enters the world with two

romantically engaged parents who think there's a pretty good change

they're going to stay together and get married and raise this child together.

So our service delivery system is way too focused on single parents,

instead should be focused on fragile families.

69

MR. ROBINSON: I think you raise a very good question, because we incentivize non-marital births economically. And I think our country needs to have a discussion on how do we incentivize marriage and highlight the benefits of marriage, because if a young lady gets pregnant, she can receive Section 8, receive a place to stay, now she's out of her mother's house. She also has WIC, women, infant and children, cash assistance, and other benefits.

And so people demonstrate that they understand benefits.

So if we put benefits and incentives on other health choices that contribute to marriage and healthy families, we might get better outcomes.

MR. WARREN: And this is – it's not just the welfare reform aspect, I think your point is a very important point, it's also in other areas, as well, like with HUD, for example, we're starting to do some work there in terms of trying to put fatherhood programming as part of residential services in housing developments and we think that's really important, because, you know, frankly, if you're not on a lease, then you're not eligible for any other services. That could be important services that can be offered around a variety of different things, but if you're not on a lease, you're not able to do that, but there's a disincentive for him to go on the lease as a husband or anything else, because it's going to reduce the

70

benefit that they get, and it doesn't reduce his child support judgment, from what I understand, as well.

So there are a lot of different factors that are there that I think are, to Tracy's point, are making it a disincentive for couples to marry, be they high income or low income, and I think that ultimately, from my perspective, it really is impacting kids in a big, big way, and we have to take a look at that. One more question, anyone have anymore? Okay.

Oh, she shot it –

SPEAKER: We've talked about the private nature of relationships, marriage is a private decision, whether or not to continue a pregnancy is less a private decision than it was, but it's still supposedly a private decision. I think if fathers want to expand and enhance their role, we have to look at where the private and the public meet each other, because if they're going to make any progress at all, they have to come along together. And our public decisions, our policy decisions as a country are the foundation of our private actions as citizens. If fathers want to have a greater role in their children's lives, absolutely, they have to support their mothers, but they have to also undertake the work that disproportionately has fallen to women, which is the actual work of the compassion and the kindness and the honestly that you were talking about, which requires their physical presence. And in order to get their

physical presence there, they have to not be in low income jobs with no

benefits and no paid time off.

So I think while it's important to think of the private decisions

and private actions, it's an indication how much we have privatized the act

of care giving that they'll go to their religious institutions because it's not

seen that public policy has much of a role to play at all. So I think we can

all be more effective in all the different kinds of ways we're trying to tackle

this issue if we look for the common points where we can move forward in

bringing the private domain into sync with the public domain.

MR. WARREN: Yeah; I think that's a very good point. And

my view is, you know, where the private domain meets the public domain

is in the bedroom. You know, it's a private act that has very public

implications. I mean one of the things that really, from my perspective,

brought that home was the whole octo-mom situation. I mean there was

outrage about what happened, but at the end of the day, what happened?

The public came and supported her, why, there are children

involved. So you may not like what she did, you may think whatever, but

at the end of the day, it was a private act that she chose, but it had public

implications on all of us.

And I think that that's part of the shift that has to happen, and goes

back to my initial comment about choice, do you really think about that

when you're, you know, engaging in sex, that there are public implications

of this because one of the consequences for this can be children. And as

a result, you know, we as a collective have responsibility for the children,

they are the future that we'll, you know, that we'll never see, and so we all

share that responsibility. I don't know that people think that way about it,

and maybe it doesn't sound very romantic, candidly, so it's probably not a

great pickup line, but it's the reality that exists. And so we think that this

work is very, very important, and I appreciate you coming out and being a

part of this. As I said before, there are more surveys that you can pick up.

Oh, was somebody going to say something else? Oh, sorry.

SPEAKER: I just wanted to quickly respond to your point.

You know, I think I'm – liberal on this panel, and it does need to be said

that we have been hammering the economic process of low skilled men

for 40 years in this country, and we cannot move forward with a single

strategy.

I don't think anyone on this panel believes this, although we

haven't talked about that economic piece as much as we might. It is

absolutely critical to low income couples; they have some hold on the

American dream or they're not going to feel stable enough to marry.

And if you look at the rising divorce rates among very low skilled

men and women, which are astonishingly high, it does absolutely no good

to get people married just to see them divorce a couple of years later. So

healthy marriage and healthy stable two parent families requires a two-

pronged approach. So I just didn't want us to leave without being

reminded of that.

MR. WARREN: I concur 1,000 percent. Okay. Thank you

to the panel. Claps all around. Thank you to Brookings, thank you to Ron

Haskins, and thank you to all of you for coming out. Remember, pick up

more surveys in the back if you would like those. Thanks again.

* * * * *

74

CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC

I, Carleton J. Anderson, III do hereby certify that the forgoing

electronic file when originally transmitted was reduced to text at my

direction; that said transcript is a true record of the proceedings therein

referenced; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any

of the parties to the action in which these proceedings were taken; and,

furthermore, that I am neither a relative or employee of any attorney or

counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise

interested in the outcome of this action.

/s/Carleton J. Anderson, III

Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of Virginia

Commission No. 351998

Expires: November 30, 2012