B Greater Washington Research at BROOKINGS



Road-use Pricing How Would You Like to Spend Less Time in Traffic?

Benjamin Orr and Alice Rivlin Greater Washington Research at Brookings June 25th, 2009 The D.C. region is stuck in some of the worst traffic in the nation, and it's getting worse

- Average commuter wasted 60 hours stuck in traffic in 2005
 - 2nd worst in the nation
 - Slight dip in vehicle miles traveled (VMT) last year
 - Temporary effect of recession and \$4 gas
- 25+ percent of commutes longer than 45 minutes in 2007
- By 2030 1.6 million new residents working 1.2 million new jobs

Road-use pricing may be the answer

- Traditional solutions have failed
 - Additional roads result in greater congestion
 - Gas tax revenue per VMT continues to fall
- Two options are often discussed
 - Replace gas tax with VMT tax
 - Introduce/expand congestion pricing
- We propose combining the two options

Road-use pricing should expand on the Oregon pilot program

- Price every road in WMATA service area, adjacent systems
 - Pricing must be linked to transportation options
- GPS transponder installed in registered vehicles
 - Less intrusive than cameras, if properly implemented
 - Cheaper to implement
- Charge by distance, congestion level, and vehicle type (weight, safety, efficiency)
- Transponder sorts travel into various categories
- Motorists pay fee at fuel pump
 - Only category totals are sent to pump
 - Road-use fees replace state gas tax
 - Could replace federal gas tax
 - Could partially or wholly replace transportation portion of local taxes
- Tourists, others without transponder pay full gas tax



What difference does road-use pricing make? It depends on the price

- Average fee between 9.3 and 15 cents per mile (cpm)
 - 9.3 cpm = ~\$3 billion revenue (UA)
 - $15 \text{ cpm} = \sim$ \$4.8 billion revenue (UA)
- State gas tax raises ~\$420 million (UA)
 - Road-use pricing raises \$2.6 to 4.4 billion more
 - Average motorist would spend \$1100 to \$1900 more annually per 15,000 miles currently driven
- Local transportation spending ~\$3.8 billion (TPB)
 - Could raise additional \$1 billion
 - Break even at ~11.8 cpm

Revenues should be used to make road-use pricing more equitable

- Improve and expand mass transit
 - Most of VMT reduction due to increased transit use
- Need-based refund or discount
 - Tax credit
 - Discounted fees
 - Cash for clunkers
 - Negative impact on revenue and congestion relief
- Infrastructure maintenance then new investment
- Spent in jurisdictions proportional to their VMT
- Could partially or wholly replace transportation portion of local taxes, or divert to other purposes

Just as important, road-use pricing could generate \$1 billion in non-revenue benefits

- VMT reduced ~11 percent
- Congestion reduced ~75 to 80 percent
- More stable transportation funding
- New infrastructure investment guided by better data
- Fewer accidents
- Shorter, more reliable travel times
- Less pollution

Road-use pricing would be a radical new way of financing transportation and reducing congestion

- Implementation would require investment of time and money
 - Perhaps less money than commonly thought
- Some residents would be better off; others would be worse off
- Enough revenue to help low-income motorists
- More sustainable over the long-term

B Greater Washington Research at BROOKINGS

The national capital region should serve as an example of what truly sustainable transportation policy looks like for the rest of the nation

Benjamin Orr

Phone: 202-797-2969 borr@brookings.edu www.brookings.edu/washington