FDA's Mini-Sentinel program

Richard Platt, MD, MSc

Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute

June 2, 2010



richard_platt@harvard.edu

My assignment

- What are Mini-Sentinel's objectives?
- What deliverables are expected within the next two years?
- Which major challenges for scale-up to the Sentinel System will be addressed?



Objectives

- Provide a "laboratory" to <u>develop and evaluate</u> <u>policies, procedures, scientific methods,</u> <u>program operations, and costs</u> to inform a fully operational Sentinel Initiative
- Allow FDA to use existing automated healthcare data systems to:
 - Evaluate safety issues
 - Evaluate impact of FDA actions



Organizations

- America's Health Insurance Plans
- CIGNA Healthcare
- Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center
- Critical Path Institute
- Brigham and Women's Hospital
 - Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics
 - Division of General Medicine
- Duke U School of Medicine
- HMO Research Network: Group Health Research Institute Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute Henry Ford Research Foundation HealthPartners Research Foundation Lovelace Clinic Foundation Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation Meyers Primary Care Inst(UMass / Fallon)

- HealthCore, Inc
- Humana Miami Health Services Research Center
- Kaiser Permanente: Colorado, Georgia, Hawaii, Mid-Atlantic, N. California, Northwest, Ohio, and S. California regions
- Outcome Sciences, Inc
- Risk Sciences International
- Rutgers University Inst for Health
- U of Alabama at Birmingham
- U of Illinois at Chicago
- U of Iowa College of Public Health
- U of Pennsylvania School of Medicine
- Vanderbilt U School of Medicine
- Weill Cornell Medical College



Investigators

~200, including:

- All Vaccine Safety Datalink Principal Investigators
- 12 AHRQ CERTs Pls
- 7 AHRQ DEcIDE center PIs
- 12 current/former FDA advisory committee members
- 3 IOM "Future of Drug Safety" committee members
- 4 International Society of Pharmacoepidemiology presidents
- Critical Path Institute leadership



Data Environments

- 60 million individuals (administrative & claims)
- 10 million also have EMRs
- 88 inpatient facilities
- Device and disease registries



2-year Deliverables*

- Coordination
- Principles and Policies
- Communications
- Data
- Statistical methods
- Training for FDA personnel
- Assessment
 - Medical product safety
 - Impact of FDA actions

* Year 2 workplan not yet finalized



Deliverables: Coordination

- Operations Center
- Cores for data, methods, protocol
- Privacy panel



Deliverables: Principles/Policies

- Public health practice, not research
- Minimize transfer of private information
- Data partners participate voluntarily
- Maximum feasible transparency
- Public availability of "workproduct"
 - Tools, methods, protocols, software
 - Findings
- Confidentiality
- Conflict of Interest
- & cetera



Deliverables: Principles/Policies

- Public health practice, not research
- Minimize transfer of private information
- Data partners participate voluntarily
- Maximum feasible transparency
- Public availability of "workproduct"
 - Tools, methods, protocols, software
 - Findings
- Confidentiality
- Conflict of Interest
- & cetera



Deliverables: Communication

- Public website
- Secure data transfer capacity



Deliverables: Data

- Common Data Model
 - administrative data, claims (year 1)
 - vital signs, outpatient laboratory test results (year 2)
- Distributed Dataset containing 60 million people*
- Ability to query data
 - Standardized summary data
 - Modular programs that run against patient level data
 - Ad-hoc feasibility and project-specific programs
- Ability to retrieve/review full text hospital records



* Vital signs and test results for 5-10 million



Deliverables: Methods

Improved statistical methods for:

- Case mix adjustment (confounding)
- Adding information as it accumulates (sequential analysis)
- Case-based methods



Deliverables: Training

- Seminars at FDA
- FDA personnel as visiting scientific collaborators at Coordinating Center



Deliverables: Safety assessment

- Active surveillance
 - Myocardial infarction following oral hypoglycemics
 - Influenza vaccine adverse events
 - TBD
- Rapid response to FDA queries



Challenges that will be addressed 1*

- Organizational model
 - Coordinating center, content expertise, distributed data partners
 - Creating a stable system in the face of unpredictable funding
- Principles and Policies
 - Public health practice (includes ensuring data acquired for this purpose is not used for other purposes)
 - ? access by entities other than FDA
- Active surveillance
 - Common and rare adverse events
 - Framing surveillance as quantitative estimation of safety



* Five years

Challenges that will be addressed 2*

- Data
 - Administrative, claims, EHR (inpatient, outpatient)
 - Ability to link across sources
- Stretch goal use for other purposes



Challenges that will remain

- Accommodating HIT developments
 - Evolving data standards
 - New data types, e.g., personal health records
- Balancing intrinsic tensions
 - Sensitivity vs spurious signals
 - Confidentiality vs transparency
- Managing expectations
 - Understanding and communicating the strengths and limitations of these data and methods



