

# **Comments on “School Size and Student Achievement in TIMSS 2003”**

Jens Ludwig  
Georgetown University &  
National Bureau of Economic  
Research

# Main points

- What is TIMMS good for?
- What can we learn from this paper about education policies regarding school size?
- What are big-picture issues about school size we should be thinking about?

# Strengths of TIMMS

- Cross-sectional data on representative samples of 8<sup>th</sup> graders (47 countries) and 4<sup>th</sup> graders (25 countries)
  - Best opportunity available for cross-country comparisons (relative country performance)
  - Comparisons over TIMMS waves also informative about relative progress across countries

# Limitations of TIMMS

- Cross-section design makes causal inference of education policies difficult
  - Even with rich set of student and family covariates ...
  - Still worried about why some children in a country wind up in small schools, others not

# Schutz paper

- Effects of school size important question...
- But study plays to TIMMS' limitations, not strengths
- Findings:
  - Students in “small” schools have higher math scores
  - “Optimal” school size is 600-900 students

# Selection bias concerns

- Author has done about best that can be done on this question with these data
- But selection bias concerns remain
- Schutz (p. 4) talks about possibility of focusing on cases where children don't have choice of schools
  - But place of residence itself is not exogenous
  - (Plus can't identify which kids do or don't have choice of schools given residential location)

# Selection bias, continued

- Hard to even *sign* selection bias here
  - More affluent, motivated parents might put premium on smaller schools?
  - Lazear (2001) model argues small classes most important for “high risk” students
    - Lower probability of disruptive behavior by kids, fewer costs of larger class sizes
    - Same logic could apply to school size as well

# Example of causality concern

High school	Location	Enrollment
Banneker	DC	412
Anacostia	DC	618
Eastern	DC	911
Ballou	DC	1,090
Wilson	Leafy NW DC	1,672
Bethesda- Chevy Chase	Leafy Bethesda	1,691

# Evidence on school size

- Most likely to come from countries where we have good longitudinal data
  - Most of those will be developed nations
- Collection of analogous data in selected developing countries might be especially valuable World Bank-style project

# Big picture questions

- Any potential *benefits* to big schools?
  - Opportunity for more diverse niche offerings
  - Possibility of greater matching of student interests to course content
  - So focus on one outcome domain (math) may not be able to identify “optimal” school size
    - Future research should think about outcome measures that can capture benefits of greater course-taking benefits

# Big picture questions, continued

- Any other benefits to big schools?
- Economies of scale
  - Fixed costs, so in terms of financial costs we expect  $MC < AC$
  - But perhaps some diseconomies of scale in “social control” (Cook and Ludwig, 2006)
    - Consistent with earlier findings of DC-area high school sizes

# Where does this leave us?

- We need good causal estimates of school size effects on student outcomes
  - Hard to derive
  - Longitudinal data would help
  - Focus on multiple subject area domains
  - Account for possible benefits from greater course offerings
- Need to also pay attention to cost side
  - When do MB from smaller schools on student achievement equal MC?